

Equal Identities:

A human rights review of the experiences of
trans and gender diverse people in Australia

March 2026



humanrights.gov.au

3. Being Seen and Heard (Dignity)

Being seen and being heard are fundamental to a person's dignity. Trans and gender diverse people in Australia still face challenges being seen and counted accurately. Some of the more pronounced barriers are being recognised in their affirmed gender and accessing healthcare. Additionally, research and data collection often render trans and gender diverse people as invisible. All the barriers to being recognised as who they are affect trans and gender diverse people's identity, health and wellbeing.

Recommendation 7:

All government, government-affiliated and government-funded bodies that collect demographic data should ensure data on gender, sexuality and innate variations of sex characteristics (sometimes known as intersex variations) is collected in line with the [*ABS Standard for Sex, Gender, Variations of Sex Characteristics and Sexual Orientation Variables \(2020\)*](#).

This includes:

- a. collecting data on gender identity from everybody to ensure that health and support services have the data necessary to meet the needs of trans and gender diverse children and adolescents
- b. implementing new data collection protocols in partnership with LGBTIQ+ and trans and gender diverse specific organisations to establish community trust and ensure privacy and sensitivity concerns are understood.

Recommendation 8:

The Australian Government Department of Health, Disability and Ageing should require LGBTIQ+ and trans and gender diverse representation on key advisory groups, committees and rapid reviews. The Department should also establish a specific ongoing LGBTIQ+ Health Advisory Group to:

- a. provide advice on matters relating to trans and gender diverse health, and LGBTIQ+ health more broadly
- b. provide advice on relevant government initiatives affecting LGBTIQ+ communities, such as the [*National Suicide Prevention Strategy 2025-2035*](#) and the [*National Action Plan for the Health and Wellbeing of LGBTIQ+ People 2025-2035*](#)
- c. advise on LGBTIQ+ health data collection and contribute to the continuous improvement of the Health Data Portal and key national data sets.

Recommendation 9:

Healthcare providers and education and training institutions (i.e. universities, TAFEs) should ensure that all healthcare and healthcare-adjacent workers and students receive education and ongoing professional development on inclusive care for trans and gender diverse people. This includes awareness of how intersecting forms of discrimination can affect trans and gender diverse people's health and access to healthcare services.

Recommendation 10:

Federal, state and territory governments should introduce or amend legislation to ban conversion or suppression practices. This legislation should follow the following principles:

- a. design the legislative framework in consultation with survivors of conversion or suppression practices
- b. apply the ban on conversion and suppression practices to both religious and secular settings
- c. make it unlawful to take someone out of the jurisdiction for conversion or suppression practices
- d. allow reporting by third parties
- e. carefully define and provide examples of what is and is not a conversion or suppression practice
- f. include an education plan which covers:
 - i. who is protected by the law
 - ii. how to identify conversion or suppression practices
 - iii. awareness of harm caused by conversion or suppression practices.

Recommendation 11

Federal, state and territory governments should reduce barriers that prevent trans and gender diverse people from accessing all forms of healthcare, including gender-affirming healthcare. Reducing barriers includes:

- a. increasing staff and service resourcing to meet urgent needs on existing waitlists for publicly funded hospitals and clinics
- b. running proactive public awareness campaigns that address misinformation and disinformation which target trans and gender diverse people's healthcare
- c. funding service access for trans and gender diverse people in remote, rural and regional communities.

Recommendation 12

Federal, state and territory governments should:

- a. end pauses on puberty suppressants and other hormone therapies for children and young people
- b. ensure that, in line with other areas of adolescent medicine, *Gillick* competence and clinical standards of care are the framework guiding the provision of healthcare to trans and gender diverse children and young people.

Recommendation 13

The Australian Government should repeal Section 43A of the *Sex Discrimination Act 1984* (Cth).

3.1 Data and research

- There is a significant gap in research and data on trans and gender diverse populations and experiences in Australia. The lack of sufficient data creates challenges for designing policies, developing practices and allocating funding to support trans and gender diverse populations.
- The ABS 2020 *Standard for Sex, Gender, Variations of Sex Characteristics and Sexual Orientation Variables* outlines best practice for data collection questions about trans and gender diverse people.
- Researchers also need to consider data privacy and ensure that research design accounts for intersecting marginalisations and identities.

Relevant human rights laws and principles

- There are no specific provisions in international human rights law that refer to inclusion and accurate representation of gender diversity in research and data. However, the *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights* (ICESCR) outlines that countries should monitor progress in areas such as the right to healthcare, education, housing and employment.
- The Australian Government cannot monitor progress on their obligation to ensure appropriate and accessible services for trans and gender diverse people without adequate data collection.
- In 2018, the UN provided States with guidance that aligns with the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development in 'A Human Rights-Based Approach to Data'. This publication notes the importance of more systematic data disaggregation.³⁷²
- **Yogyakarta Principle 25e – The right to participate in public life:** calls for governments to 'Develop and implement affirmative action programmes to promote public and political participation for persons marginalised on the basis of sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression or sex characteristics'.³⁷³ Governments must have robust data on trans and gender diverse people to identify areas of need and develop such affirmative action programs.

The importance of data collection

The lack of accurate population data on trans and gender diverse people has wide ranging ramifications. Governments and researchers regularly use population data from the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) Census of Population and Housing (the Census) to influence the allocation of resources and service provision. The Census has historically not collected data on sexuality or gender identity. One researcher explains why this is significant:

For groups of people that have been misrepresented, marginalized and oppressed by existing systems of power, data can offer a powerful tool in the fightback against inequality and injustice. When LGBTQ people are presented in a table, graph or data visualization, the general population are reminded of their existence, the inequalities they encounter and what remains unknown about their lives and experiences. The worlds of politics and policymaking run on data, which means that LGBTQ rights organizations are required to engage with existing systems of power and ways of working to bring about change.³⁷⁴

Several submissions emphasised that knowledge gaps impact on policy, practices and funding for these populations. The gaps also create a vacuum where misinformation and disinformation may spread.³⁷⁵ CoPQTI's submission explained the consequences of the lack of data:

Given we know from community research with transgender and gender diverse people that there are significant structural disadvantage and stigma in areas including employment, housing, health, family alienation, experiences of violence, and migration, it is urgent that we resolve data inequity so we can direct services to where they are most needed.³⁷⁶

As one practical example: a submission noted the need for more reliable data to inform the delivery of better healthcare. The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists explained: 'More consistent data is required, as well as more research

into LGBTIQ+ mental health more broadly, including protective factors, comorbidity, effective interventions, and specific issues faced by high-risk populations.'³⁷⁷

The ABS Census

Organisations have previously raised the challenges arising from the lack of data on the trans and gender diverse population. ACON noted in its 2019 'Blueprint for Improving the Health & Wellbeing of the Trans & Gender Diverse Community in NSW': 'the absence of Census questions that accurately capture gender diversity in population-based surveys makes it difficult to conclusively estimate the size of the trans and gender diverse community'.³⁷⁸ After the 2021 Census, the Commission successfully conciliated a complaint of discrimination brought against the ABS for failing 'to ask meaningful questions to properly count members of the LGBTIQ+ community'.³⁷⁹

The 2026 Census will include questions about sexuality and gender identity for people aged 16 and over. The ABS had recommended that data on gender be collected for all persons, having assessed a strong need for data about younger populations.³⁸⁰

The ABS Census will not include a question about innate variations of sex characteristics, which means population data will not be available for this community.

In December 2024, the ABS released experimental estimates of LGBTIQ+ populations in Australia (note these do not include the 'A' for asexual). These estimates are based on a pooled dataset of 44,984 respondents from 4 recent health surveys conducted with Australians aged 16 years and over. The estimates were that 4.5% of Australians 16 years and older are LGBTIQ+. When looking at gender identity specifically, the estimate was that 0.9% of the population aged 16 and older is trans or gender diverse.³⁸¹ This data is an important step forward, as these experimental estimates are the first of their kind in Australia.

Innate variations of sex characteristics (IVSC)

Also known as intersex variations, people with IVSC are those born with sex characteristics that do not fit with typical medical notions of male or female bodies.

There are more than 40 known IVSC. These may be related to chromosomes, gonads, hormones or genitals. Some IVSC are visible at birth; others may manifest at puberty, while some people may never know that they have IVSC. It is estimated that between 0.3% to 1.7% of the population has IVSC. It is hard to obtain accurate figures because of the historical and ongoing secrecy around IVSC, stigma and misconceptions.³⁸² The exclusion of questions about IVSC from the Census exacerbates these problems.

Having IVSC or being intersex is not the same as being trans and gender diverse. Just like endosex people (those whose sex characteristics fall in the typical medical notions of male and female bodies), people with IVSC may be cisgender, trans or gender diverse. This all depends on whether the person identifies with their sex recorded at birth.³⁸³

InterAction for Health and Human Rights provides information about best practice to collect data around people with IVSC.³⁸⁴

Data collection best practice

Evidence shows that insensitive data collection approaches frequently exclude trans and gender diverse people.³⁸⁵ One common problem is to have no options for non-binary genders. Other times questions do not provide space for respondents to write in culturally specific genders (i.e. fa'afafine, hijra, 2Spirit).

The most up-to-date Australian guidelines for researchers and demographers to collect accurate population data are the ABS 2020 *Standard for Sex, Gender, Variations of Sex Characteristics and Sexual Orientation Variables* (the ABS 2020 Standard). The ABS



2020 Standard suggests that researchers use multiple questions to ask a person's gender, sex recorded at birth, sexual orientation and if they have variations of sex characteristics. The recommended sex and gender questions are:

What was [your/Person's name/their] sex recorded at birth?

Please [tick/mark/select] one box.

- ☐ Male
- ☐ Female
- ☐ Another term (please specify)

Gender refers to current gender, which may be different to sex recorded at birth and may be different to what is indicated on legal documents.

Please [tick/mark/select] one box:

- ☐ Man or male
- ☐ Woman or female
- ☐ Non-binary
- ☐ [I/They] use a different term (please specify)
- ☐ Prefer not to answer.³⁸⁶

Stats NZ Tatauranga Aotearoa uses a similar framework to the ABS 2020 Standard. That framework also instils the principle of 'gender by default'. This requires all government agencies to default to:

the collection and output of gender data as opposed to sex. Users should have a clearly established information need for collecting and outputting sex data. This approach is in line with self-determination from a human rights perspective (New Zealand Human Rights Commission, 2020) and promotes the respect and inclusion of all people.³⁸⁷

In November 2025, the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) announced new data collection requirements for its grants program. Beginning in January 2026, all grant applications must indicate how researchers will take into account gender, sex, sexual orientation and variations of sex characteristics, where appropriate, in the proposed research. Furthermore, projects should apply the ABS 2020 Standard to data collection.³⁸⁸

Some submissions expressed concern that approaches to research and data collection do not always follow best practice guidelines. They argued that researchers need to do more to ensure sensitivity, and researchers need greater awareness when conducting research with marginalised groups.³⁸⁹

Several submissions also highlighted the need for researchers to implement an intersectional lens. This would ensure that data can inform the design and delivery of services to groups such as trans and gender diverse people from culturally and racially marginalised backgrounds, First Peoples, migrant, refugee and asylum seeker backgrounds, people with disability and currently or formerly imprisoned people.³⁹⁰ One way to avoid homogenising diverse groups and to capture intersectional experiences is to co-design data collection with relevant communities.³⁹¹

Sensitivities when collecting data

The ABS reported in September 2024 that its testing showed 'there was a similar level of understanding, comfort and support for including a gender question as there was for a question on sex'. Furthermore, the ABS reported that 'there was a broad understanding of the distinction between sex and gender'.³⁹²

Although the ABS found questions on gender and sexual orientation tested well, LGBTIQ+ organisations and researchers caution about complexities when conducting data collection and analysis. For instance, concerns about data privacy, lack of trust or fear of stigma may limit trans and gender diverse people's personal disclosures in research settings.³⁹³ TransHub – an online resource developed by ACON to educate and support trans and gender diverse people – cautions researchers to avoid making assumptions about trans and gender diverse people. Stereotypes and poor research design may limit their inclusion or participation in research.³⁹⁴

Researchers also need to be careful when they analyse data. One challenge is how changing understandings and terms around gender identity may influence studies. Rainbow Health Victoria explains: 'Changing and overlapping terminologies also present difficulties in comparing results across studies and over time, or combining figures, in order to estimate population size'.³⁹⁵

The challenges around data collection highlight the importance of researchers continually engaging with trans and gender diverse communities. As other sections of this report show, robust data is vital to support trans and gender diverse people across all domains of life.

3.2 Health and wellbeing

- Trans and gender diverse people face significant barriers to accessing healthcare.
- In Australia there is a lack of targeted trans and gender diverse healthcare service provision. This exacerbates poor physical and mental health outcomes because healthcare professionals often do not recognise or understand trans and gender diverse people's needs.
- Trans and gender diverse people access healthcare in a range of contexts. Best practice is a combination of broad affirming healthcare in all contexts and services specific to trans and gender diverse people.

Relevant human rights laws and principles

- **Article 12 of the *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*:** states that parties to the Covenant must 'recognize the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health'.³⁹⁶
- **Article 24 of the *Convention on the Rights of the Child*:** 'States Parties recognize the right of the child to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health and to facilities for the treatment of illness and rehabilitation of health. States Parties shall strive to ensure that no child is deprived of his or her right of access to such health care services.'³⁹⁷
- **Article 12 of the *Convention on the Rights of the Child*:** 'States Parties shall assure to the child who is capable of forming his or her own views the right to express those views freely in all matters affecting the child, the views of the child being given due weight in accordance with the age and maturity of the child.'³⁹⁸
- **Article 25 of the *Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities*:** 'State Parties recognize that persons with disabilities have the right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health without discrimination on the basis of disability.'³⁹⁹
- **Article 24(2) of the *United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples*:** 'Indigenous individuals have an equal right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health. States shall take the necessary steps with a view to achieving progressively the full realization of this right.'⁴⁰⁰
- **Yogyakarta Principle 17 – The Right to the Highest Attainable Standard of Health:** states that everyone has 'rights to the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health without discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity. Sexual and reproductive health is a fundamental aspect of this right.'⁴⁰¹
- In addition to these provisions, **United Nations Sustainable Development Goal 3** aims for universal healthcare coverage. The goal is to 'Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages'.⁴⁰²

Rates of physical and mental ill health

Submissions from many researchers, psychologists, health professionals and professional bodies addressed health indicators for trans and gender diverse people in Australia.

Consistently, submissions drew on research which points to poor physical and mental health outcomes (regularly described as a 'mental health crisis'). Some submissions provided specific data and observations about trans and gender diverse people with intersecting identities (i.e. young people, trans women and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people).

Many submissions noted trans and gender diverse people's higher risk of chronic health conditions. For example, the Trans Health Research Group stated:

[I]nternational research indicates that trans and gender diverse people have an elevated risk of many chronic health conditions compared to their cisgender counterparts including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, hepatitis, HIV, schizophrenia, dependent patterns of substance use, as well as obesity and liver conditions.⁴⁰³

The Sexuality Education Counselling and Consultancy Agency reported:

TGDNB people are more likely to experience chronic health conditions including persistent pain, migraines, and chronic fatigue with minimal research conducted to determine cause and impacts (Rich et al., 2020).⁴⁰⁴

The Trans Health Research Group also highlighted evidence which shows that trans and gender diverse Australians are more impacted by long COVID and experience higher rates of eating disorders than the general population.⁴⁰⁵ Other researchers emphasised that the cause of eating disorders for most trans and gender diverse people is dysphoria, as opposed to dysmorphia. In other words, the eating disorders are the consequences of not feeling comfortable in their body because of their gender identity, as opposed to perceived flaws in their physical appearance.⁴⁰⁶

Misinformation, politicisation of trans and gender diverse people's lives, and threats and violence all negatively impact on the health of trans and gender diverse people.⁴⁰⁷ Many submissions cited *Private Lives 3* to draw attention to the disproportionately high rates of suicide and mental ill health among trans and gender diverse people in Australia.⁴⁰⁸ The LGBTIQ+ peer counselling service Switchboard noted that trans and gender diverse communities experience some of the highest rates of suicide, suicidality and poor mental health in the country.⁴⁰⁹ The Trans Health Research Group reinforced this finding:

Our national survey of Australian trans adults in 2018 showed that 73% had been diagnosed with depression and 43% had attempted suicide at some point in their lifetime, which is similar to findings in Australian trans youth ... Findings from our community survey in 2022, showed that almost one in ten (8%) of trans and gender diverse Australians had attempted suicide in the first two years of the pandemic, with these rates highest in young people (12.8%). The suicide crisis during the COVID-19 pandemic was highlighted by the Victorian Coronial Inquest into trans suicide deaths in 2023.⁴¹⁰

Another prominent Australian study referenced in multiple submissions was the 2017 Trans Pathways report into children and young people. Trans Pathways surveyed 859 trans and gender diverse young people (aged 14–25) and 194 parents or guardians. Researchers promoted the survey through social media, university queer departments, LGBTIQ+ support groups, parent support groups, peer-led safe spaces, Australian trans and gender diverse rights organisations, medical and mental health services and radio. Participants self-selected to do the surveys. This was the largest study into the mental health and care pathways for trans and gender diverse young people in Australia. Trans Pathways found:

- around 3 in 4 respondents had experienced depression or anxiety
- 4 in 5 trans young people had engaged in self-harm
- 48% (or almost half) of trans young people had ever attempted suicide



- trans young people were approximately 20 times more likely than the general youth population to attempt suicide.⁴¹¹

Researchers reinforce the point that being trans or gender diverse is not a mental illness. Rather, high rates of mental ill health are often the result of societal stigma and discrimination. For instance, a study in the *Nature Human Behaviour* journal explored the association between anti-transgender laws and the mental health of trans and gender diverse children and young people. The study found that in American states that had enacted anti-transgender laws, there was an increase in suicide attempts ranging from a 7% increase to a 72% increase over the past year among trans and gender diverse young people aged 13–17.⁴¹²

Intersectional health and wellbeing outcomes

Walkern Katatdjín (Rainbow Knowledge) is a national research project exploring the mental health and wellbeing of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander LGBTQA+ young

people. Walkern Katatdjín's submission cited research about Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander trans and gender diverse young people which showed that:

81.3% of trans participants scored in the 'very high' distress range on the Kessler-5 measure of recent psychological distress. Levels of psychological distress were significantly higher among trans versus cis participants ... up to 1 in 5 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander TGD young people have had a recent suicide attempt, with over 1 in 2 having a lifetime suicide attempt. Compared to cis participants, trans participants were nearly twice as likely to report a suicide attempt in their lifetime [and] were 1.8 times more likely to report suicide ideation in the last 12 months.⁴¹³

Other submissions noted the severe impacts of sexual violence on the health of trans and gender diverse victim-survivors. One submission cited a study where '45% (n = 25) of trans and gender diverse people in this study had attempted suicide as a direct result

of experiencing sexual violence, while 43% (n = 24) engaged in self-harm'.⁴¹⁴

ANROWS referred to research on the poor health outcomes resulting from trans women's high exposure to sexual violence.⁴¹⁵ ANROWS noted that the poor outcomes 'were intensified for trans people from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds and trans women of colour'.⁴¹⁶

A few submissions referenced research into health outcomes for trans and gender diverse people with disability. Sexuality Education Counselling and Consultancy Agency cited research which 'highlights the compounding effects of multiple forms of stigma and discrimination on the health outcomes of TGDNB people with disability'.⁴¹⁷ The National Ethnic Disability Alliance emphasised the compounding poor health outcomes for 'CaLD [culturally and linguistically diverse] people with disability, including those from migrant and refugee backgrounds'.⁴¹⁸

Finally, submissions reported poorer health outcomes for trans and gender diverse people outside the metropole and in tenuous living situations. These include people in regional or rural areas,⁴¹⁹ as well as homeless trans and gender diverse people. The Family Access Network also noted a connection between drug and alcohol misuse and homelessness among trans and gender diverse populations.⁴²⁰ ABS data and other research support these findings.⁴²¹

Health professional codes of conduct and non-discrimination

Trans and gender diverse people are entitled to the same quality of healthcare as all other people in Australia. They are also entitled to be treated with respect, free from discrimination. Two clauses in the Medical Board of Australia's code of conduct are particularly relevant to these rights. They state that good medical practice involves:

3.2.8 informing your patient when your personal opinion (in the context of practice) does not align with the profession's generally held views

3.2.14 ensuring your personal views do not adversely affect the care of your patient or the referrals you make.⁴²²

The Australian Medical Association (AMA) also has a code of ethics which affirms the importance of non-discrimination. In clause 4.6.2 it states that doctors must 'Provide care impartially and without discrimination on the basis of age, disease or disability, creed, religion, ethnic origin, gender, nationality, political affiliation, race, sexual orientation, criminal history, social standing or any other similar criteria'.⁴²³ The AMA released a Position Statement in 2023 which affirmed that doctors have an 'ethical and professional duty to provide evidence-based care impartially and without discrimination, including on the basis of gender identity'.⁴²⁴

The SDA protections for gender identity include when accessing healthcare. If a trans or gender diverse person receives unfair treatment while accessing a professional service, such as a doctor, they can make a complaint to the Commission.

Discrimination in healthcare

Despite the legal protections and codes of conduct, trans and gender diverse individuals frequently identified being excluded or overlooked from healthcare provision. Submissions identified a lack of training for medical professionals as underpinning a lack of cultural competence.⁴²⁵ Walkern Katatdjijin's research found that the intersection of being trans or gender diverse, Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander and young created even greater barriers to access healthcare:

28.1% of [trans and gender diverse] participants had been made to feel like they mattered less because they were Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander and LGBTQA+, in a mainstream health service, while 35.6% had heard rude or hurtful comments about their Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander and LGBTQA+ identity while accessing mainstream health services ... Just under half (40.7%) said that they had heard rude or hurtful comments about their Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander identity when accessing LGBTQA+ specific health services.⁴²⁶

Many submissions described experiences of discrimination or hostility from healthcare professionals.⁴²⁷ Two indicative examples were:

‘I liked my GP until he saw my kid dressed as a girl. He became very judgy and said “but he is a boy and has a penis, what are you going to do about that?” I sat in my car and cried.’⁴²⁸

‘Many of the trans and gender diverse people that we [Illawarra Shoalhaven Gender Alliance] see have experienced terrible discrimination and in some cases abuse in health services, including denial of care, attempts to change gender identity, inappropriate genital examinations and untrained and culturally incompetent practitioners.’⁴²⁹

Numerous submissions gave specific examples of non-inclusive or discriminatory practices in healthcare settings. These included:

- misgendering⁴³⁰
- deadnaming⁴³¹
- breaches of privacy⁴³²
- the burden of educating health professionals about trans and gender diverse people⁴³³
- gendered service provision⁴³⁴ – especially when services are restricted ‘to a particular gender, or enforce a gender binary’⁴³⁵
- inappropriate language⁴³⁶
- inappropriate questions (i.e. questions about being trans which are unrelated to the health matter)⁴³⁷
- funding and costs, especially for psychiatrists and psychologists⁴³⁸
- under-resourcing of services specifically targeting trans and gender diverse people⁴³⁹
- systemic discrimination,⁴⁴⁰ including challenges with medical records⁴⁴¹
- ‘conversion’ or suppression practices (discussed further in section 3.3).

Other barriers to accessing healthcare

In some situations, it is prejudice that drives health professionals’ discriminatory behaviour. In other instances, it is health professionals’ unfamiliarity and lack of awareness about trans and gender diverse people and their experiences.⁴⁴² Regardless of the reason, non-inclusive or discriminatory practices can adversely affect trans and gender diverse people’s wellbeing in healthcare settings.

Many submissions noted that several healthcare providers continue to pathologise



trans and gender diverse people. For example, Your Community Health mentioned the 'mental health sector, where gender diversity can be conflated with mental illness'.⁴⁴³

Intersecting marginalisations magnify the barriers arising from these non-inclusive or discriminatory practices. One trans individual cited in research by Equality Australia said:

The links between queerness, gender diversity and neurodiversity, as well as all sorts of related physical and mental health issues, and the fact that they aren't linked or coordinated by GPs [general practitioners] or service providers is very frustrating for this ADHD person.⁴⁴⁴

The Refugee Advice and Casework Service also noted that trans and gender diverse asylum seekers often do not have access to Medicare. This is a major barrier facing a group who 'disproportionately experience physical and mental ill-health due to their overt experiences of persecution and trauma'.⁴⁴⁵

The Justice and Equity Centre pointed to prisons as another site where there is poor access to affirming healthcare for trans and gender diverse people.⁴⁴⁶ As noted in section 2.3, the Department of Home Affairs has agreed with a recommendation of the Commission to develop policy and procedural guidance relating to health, 'accommodation, welfare, security, and management of transgender persons in immigration detention'.⁴⁴⁷

The National Ethnic Disability Alliance suggested that the siloed nature of services, as well as the lack of services targeting trans and gender diverse people, could be addressed through 'cross-sectoral service mapping with an intersectional focus ... to support people from diverse backgrounds to safely navigate complex systems comprised of both mainstream and targeted services'.⁴⁴⁸

Consequences of healthcare barriers

Barriers and exclusionary services may lead trans and gender diverse people to avoid accessing healthcare. Indeed, submissions noted that trans and gender diverse people who experience mistreatment are more likely to exercise caution or avoid accessing healthcare services.⁴⁴⁹ Submissions also noted that histories of discrimination and abuse within the medical system still influence how some trans and gender diverse people perceive the system today.⁴⁵⁰

A few submissions noted how trans and gender diverse people may be avoiding accessing sexual health services. For instance, Women's Health in the North cited a study by the Kirby Institute which found that '60.1% of [trans and gender diverse] participants had not participated in testing for sexually transmissible infections (STIs) in the previous year'.⁴⁵¹ Scarlet Alliance also noted that some trans and gender diverse sex workers may not be accessing sexual healthcare. They indicated that some providers were demanding names as reflected on identity documents, which often were the sex workers' deadnames.⁴⁵²

Several submissions mentioned the rise in misinformation and disinformation and how this was impacting on them as health professionals, their clients or research participants. One mental health provider explained: 'The current climate of negative media and social media commentary and emboldened transphobia in Australia threatens to make it even harder for transgender and gender diverse people to access essential services including health care, mental health support'.⁴⁵³

Collectively, the evidence suggests a series of interrelated challenges for trans and gender diverse people's access to general healthcare in Australia. Barriers to inclusive service provision lead many trans and gender diverse people either to avoid seeking healthcare or to negative experiences within the system. Avoidance and negative experiences then fuel poorer physical and mental health outcomes. These outcomes, in turn, reinforce the *need* for greater access. Layered on top of this – and addressed in the next section – is the need for gender-affirming healthcare.

3.3 Gender-affirming healthcare

- The Australian Professional Association for Trans Health (AusPATH) publishes the 'Australian Standards of Care for Informed Consent Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy'. The Standards of Care draw on international guidelines, clinical experience, research into best practice and lived and living experience of trans and gender diverse people.
- Gender-affirming healthcare is a broad term describing the support and healthcare that helps trans and gender diverse people live as their true selves. The best contemporary evidence concludes that gender-affirming healthcare is safe, effective and benefits trans and gender diverse people, including children and young people.
- Barriers to accessing gender-affirming healthcare include high costs, long wait times, limited availability and a lack of training and cultural knowledge from healthcare professionals.
- Experts note that psychotherapy which starts from the premise that being trans or gender diverse is wrong or undesirable has similarities with conversion practices. Several state and territory laws ban conversion practices because they breach people's human rights and do not reflect best medical practice.

Relevant human rights laws and principles

- The human rights laws and principles outlined at the beginning of section 3.2 on Health and wellbeing also apply to gender-affirming healthcare. **Articles 12 and 24 of the *Convention on the Rights of the Child*** recognise children's right to the highest standard of health and to express their views in all matters affecting them. These rights include the right of the child to participate in decision-making around their own healthcare.⁴⁵⁴
- **Article 17 of the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights***: states that 'No one shall be subjected to arbitrary or unlawful interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to unlawful attacks on his honour and reputation.'⁴⁵⁵ This right to privacy applies in healthcare.
- **Yogyakarta Principle 17 – The Right to the Highest Attainable Standard of Health:** notes that States must:
 - **17e.** Ensure that all persons are informed and empowered to make their own decisions regarding medical treatment and care, on the basis of genuinely informed consent, without discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity
 - **17f.** Ensure that all sexual and reproductive health, education, prevention, care and treatment programmes and services respect the diversity of sexual orientations and gender identities, and are equally available to all without discrimination
 - **17g.** Facilitate access by those seeking body modifications related to gender reassignment to competent, non-discriminatory treatment, care and support.⁴⁵⁶

What is gender-affirming healthcare?

Gender-affirming healthcare is a broad term for the support and healthcare that helps trans and gender diverse people live as their true selves. It covers medical, psychological, surgical and social support tailored to each person's needs. The World Health Organization succinctly explains: 'Gender-affirmative health care can include any single or combination of a number of social, psychological, behavioural or medical (including hormonal treatment or surgery) interventions designed to support and affirm an individual's gender identity'.⁴⁵⁷

Gender-affirming healthcare is not a one-size-fits-all model. Rather, it is customised to each person to make them feel comfortable living their authentic selves, without feelings of dysphoria.⁴⁵⁸

Gender-affirming health care is not unique to trans and gender diverse people. Similar principles apply widely in medicine, including procedures such as gynaecomastia surgery (breast reduction) in cisgender men, breast reconstruction in cisgender women after mastectomy and testicular prostheses following orchiectomy (removal of one or both testicles). All of these procedures aim to align physical characteristics with an individual's gendered sense of self.

There is a lot of incorrect information in the media and online about gender-affirming healthcare. This false information often comes from individuals or organisations opposed to trans and gender diverse rights. These groups and individuals often target healthcare – especially for children and young people. Other times the incorrect information about gender-affirming healthcare comes from pre-conceived ideas about what it means to be trans or gender diverse, with a heavy focus on the body and surgeries.

Because every trans or gender diverse person's gender affirmation preferences and needs are different, gender-affirming healthcare may take many forms. Some examples of what gender-affirming healthcare may include are:

- mental health support for coping with gender dysphoria or minority stress

- social support to change names, pronouns and legal documents
- gender affirming hormone therapy (GAHT)
- gender affirming surgeries (also known as gender affirmation surgeries)
 - mastectomy ('top surgery')
 - breast augmentation
 - vaginoplasty ('bottom surgery')
 - phalloplasty
 - facial feminisation surgery
- speech pathology or vocal training to help someone feel more comfortable with their voice
- electrolysis or other hair removal
- puberty suppressing medication for trans and gender diverse children, also known as puberty blockers (discussed below).

In Australia, trans and gender diverse adults may consent to gender-affirming healthcare. For some procedures, such as gender affirming surgery, adults may need to provide a mental health assessment, a physical medical assessment and informed consent. Depending on the surgery, the person may need mental health assessments from one or more mental health professionals.

Informed consent

There has been a shift in the medical profession, especially since the 2000s, towards an informed consent, gender-affirming healthcare model.

AusPATH – the peak body representing Australian health professionals who work with trans and gender diverse clients – publishes the 'Australian Standards of Care for Informed Consent Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy'. These standards of care explain gender-affirming healthcare in the Australian context.⁴⁵⁹ They draw on international standards – including the World Professional Association for Transgender Health (WPATH) Standards of Care – along with other Australian standards and position statements.⁴⁶⁰ Both the Australian and WPATH standards of care outline the informed consent model, also known as 'ethical affirmation' or 'affirmation enablement'.

The informed consent model is a framework that supports general practitioners, endocrinologists and other medical specialists when starting or managing GAHT. This model recognises that each individual understands their own needs and experiences. They can make informed choices when professionals provide adequate information in comprehensible language about treatment options.

Informed consent means discussing an individual's goals for gender affirmation, understanding their medical history and sharing all relevant information about the benefits and risks of GAHT. Health professionals and the trans or gender diverse person can make decisions based on how GAHT may interact with any other healthcare needs.

'The Australian Informed Consent Standards of Care for Gender Affirming Hormone Therapy' also recommends doctors seek information about protective factors. These may be support networks, housing and connections to culture and community.⁴⁶¹ The informed consent model can also involve referrals to other services, such as speech pathology and vocal coaching or affirming mental health support.

Prior to the introduction of the informed consent model, trans and gender diverse people were expected to have assessments by mental health professionals to access GAHT. Usually psychiatrists performed these assessments and then referred trans and gender diverse people to endocrinologists, who prescribed the gender affirming hormones. The previous approach did not acknowledge non-binary genders and focused heavily on binary pathways and surgery. Trans and gender diverse people, as well as researchers, have noted ways this approach was dehumanising.⁴⁶² They also challenged the idea that all trans and gender diverse people needed or wanted surgeries.

There is no legal or regulatory requirement that medical professionals must provide gender-affirming healthcare. Individual health professionals across a range of disciplines may opt in or out of providing gender-affirming healthcare. Those who opt out do so for a range of reasons, including due

to personal or religious objections, being uncomfortable working in this space, and preferring to refer trans and gender diverse clients to specialists (as was done under the previous model). Other health professionals are not adequately aware of, or educated about, gender-affirming healthcare.⁴⁶³

Some of Australia's leading medical bodies have accepted the principles underpinning gender-affirming healthcare, including:

- the Royal Australian College of General Practitioners⁴⁶⁴
- the Royal Australasian College of Physicians⁴⁶⁵
- the Australian Medical Association⁴⁶⁶
- the Australian Women's Health Alliance⁴⁶⁷
- Endocrine Society of Australia⁴⁶⁸
- the Australian Professional Association for Trans Health (AusPATH).⁴⁶⁹



Benefits of gender-affirming healthcare

Submissions from several health and wellbeing providers emphasised the benefits of gender-affirming healthcare. For example, ACON drew on 'case studies from our client services team that highlight the importance of gender affirmative medical interventions and the impact that barriers to accessing these interventions, have on individuals' mental and physical health'.⁴⁷⁰

Members of Illawarra Shoalhaven Gender Alliance Clinical Network advised that they had seen 'firsthand the health benefits and positive life trajectories associated with having access to local and timely gender affirming healthcare, and experiencing broader health care in an environment of non-discrimination, respect and safety'.⁴⁷¹

Several submissions raised concern that the evidence base for gender-affirming hormone therapies – especially for children – was not sufficient and therefore risks causing harm.⁴⁷²

Evidence reviews, guidelines and standards of care produced in Australia and internationally have found that gender-affirming healthcare has favourable outcomes for trans and gender diverse people, including children and young people. Clinicians and those who developed standards of care balance known risks of treatment with evidenced benefits of treatment and the risks of withholding treatment. These evidence reviews note limitations in research, particularly regarding study design, the lack of long-term follow-up and cohort tracking as part of longitudinal studies.⁴⁷³

How Evidence Quality is Assessed

The term 'low quality' has a specific, technical meaning when used in the assessment of quality of evidence in scientific research. The NHMRC considers the GRADE system (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation) to be best practice when assessing evidence.⁴⁷⁴

The GRADE system assesses study design and categorises evidence on a scale from 'very low quality' to 'high quality'. Observational studies are typically coded as 'low quality', yet medical interventions commonly come from findings from observational studies. Systematic reviews suggest that only a minority of healthcare interventions are supported by 'high quality' evidence.⁴⁷⁵ The GRADE handbook provides examples of how strong recommendations can be based on low quality evidence.⁴⁷⁶

A submission from The Melbourne Children's Campus – a coalition comprised of the Royal Children's Hospital, the Murdoch Children's Research Institute and the University of Melbourne's Department of Paediatrics – also noted that 'Standards of research that are not applied in other areas of healthcare are applied to gender affirming care, such as calls for unfeasible study designs and restricting care access to research participants only'.⁴⁷⁷

Ongoing research and evaluation are vital to improving the quality of treatments and outcomes in all areas of medical care.

Developing standards of care for children and young people

There is substantial incorrect information circulating in the media, online, among politicians and in public discourse about what gender-affirming healthcare is for children and young people. Much of the false information draws on false stereotypes used to attack gay and lesbian people since the 1970s. This false information does not recognise that trans and gender diverse children and young people have agency and capacity to participate in decision-making about themselves. Critics of gender-affirming healthcare apply different standards to this area of medicine than what is accepted practice around treatment and informed consent in any other area of child and adolescent health.

In 2017, the Royal Children's Hospital Gender Service published the 'Australian standards of care and treatment guidelines for transgender and gender diverse children and adolescents'. Doctors developed these standards after a lengthy process which drew on existing standards, treatment guidelines, clinical and observational studies and lived and living experience of trans and gender diverse children, young people and their parents. A multidisciplinary team from adolescent psychiatry, paediatric endocrinology, paediatrics and allied health worked together to develop the standards.⁴⁷⁸

The 'Australian standards of care for trans and gender diverse children and adolescents' are considered world leading. An editorial in the international medical journal *The Lancet* commented:

Children and adolescents with gender dysphoria often experience stigma, bullying, and abuse, resulting in high rates of mental illness, including depression, anxiety, and self-harm. But with supportive, gender-affirming management – as laid out by the Australian guidelines – these consequences can be minimised.⁴⁷⁹

AusPATH and specialists who work with trans and gender diverse children and young people consider these Australian standards of care to be best practice. On 31 January 2025, the Health Minister announced that

the NHMRC would lead a review into the Australian standards of care and to develop national guidelines. Interim advice is expected in mid-2026.⁴⁸⁰

Gender-affirming healthcare for children and young people

The need for standards of care for trans and gender diverse children and young people reflects their complex social and healthcare ecosystems. This is a summary of the main principles of gender-affirming healthcare, as outlined in more detail in the Australian standards of care.

Some aspects of social affirmation are the same as for adults, for example changing names and use of pronouns. However, children and young people navigate social affirmations in different contexts. For instance, children must consider school environments, and laws around changing names or gender on documents are different for children under the age of 18.

Medical affirmation is also different for trans and gender diverse children. Changes to the body during puberty can cause significant distress for trans and gender diverse people. Puberty suppressing medication – commonly referred to as puberty blockers – can temporarily halt puberty for children. This can have significant mental health benefits, as well as prevent the need for further medical or surgical procedures in adulthood. For example, puberty suppressants stop people recorded male at birth from growing facial and body hair and their voices dropping. People recorded female at birth can avoid developing breast tissue.

Like with adults, not all trans and gender diverse children choose to medically affirm their gender. For those who do, health professionals classify medical affirmation for trans children and adolescents the following way:

- puberty suppressants; administered when blood tests show onset of Tanner stage 2 or 3 of puberty⁴⁸¹
- gender-affirming hormone treatment (GAHT; estrogen or testosterone).

From 2004 until 2013, only the Family Court of Australia could authorise children's access to both puberty suppression and GAHT. In



Re Jamie (2013) the Full Court of the Family Court held that court authorisation was no longer required for doctors to prescribe a puberty suppressant to children with gender dysphoria.⁴⁸² In *Re Kelvin* (2017) the Full Court held that children and young people who had attained *Gillick* competence (discussed below) could give valid consent to GAHT without needing approval from the Family Court. This could happen when there was no dispute between the child, their parents and their medical practitioners about the treatment, and health professionals carried out the treatment in accordance with best practice guidelines.⁴⁸³ Approval from the Federal Circuit and Family Court *would* be required if there was disagreement between the young person, their clinicians and their caregivers about the relevant treatment.⁴⁸⁴

The Full Court in *Re Kelvin* noted that there had been significant advances in medical knowledge and in the development of standards of care for the treatment of gender dysphoria.⁴⁸⁵ It also noted that the risks of GAHT and the risks of withholding treatment were better understood, so the role of judicial approval could be reassessed. As summarised in the leading judgment: ‘the risks involved and the consequences which arise out of the treatment [for gender dysphoria] being at least in some respects irreversible, can no longer be said to outweigh the therapeutic benefits of the treatment’.⁴⁸⁶

Puberty suppression via GnRHa (gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist)

This is medication that pauses the development of primary and secondary sex characteristics. Puberty suppression allows children to take time and make decisions about their bodies. They may decide to start GAHT, or they may decide to cease puberty suppression. If they cease puberty suppression, they will go through natural puberty development.

Doctors have prescribed puberty suppressants since the 1980s to treat precocious puberty in children. Since the 1990s doctors overseas have prescribed puberty suppressants to trans and gender diverse children and young people. Since 2004, doctors in Australia have been prescribing puberty suppressants to trans and gender diverse children and young people. There are now decades of evidence which show that puberty suppressants are effective and acceptably safe and that adolescents tolerate side effects, such as impacts on bone mineral density, well.⁴⁸⁷ Puberty suppressants have led to clear improvements to psychosocial health.⁴⁸⁸

Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy (GAHT)

Doctors may prescribe GAHT (i.e. testosterone therapy or estrogen and/or progesterone therapy, sometimes alongside an androgen suppressor). Similar to puberty suppressants, doctors have been prescribing GAHT to young people for decades.⁴⁸⁹

Some effects of GAHT are reversible, some are irreversible and for some the reversibility is unknown. Substantial evidence suggests positive outcomes for trans and gender diverse people who access GAHT. However, evidence reviews note that expert opinion is largely based on clinical experience rather than controlled studies.⁴⁹⁰

The Australian standards of care provide useful tables which outline the effects and reversibility GAHT. Part of the informed consent process involves discussing these effects and reversibility before commencing any treatment. For instance, the Australian standards of care recommend fertility counselling before anyone commences GAHT.⁴⁹¹ Emerging research suggests that long-term the effects of GAHT on fertility may also be reversible.⁴⁹²

Another kind of medical affirmation is gender affirming surgery. Gender affirming surgery for trans women – meaning breast augmentation and vaginoplasty or bottom surgery – is not available for people in Australia under the age of 18. Under legal precedent set by *Re Matthew* (2018), top surgery is available via the private health system for people experiencing severe dysphoria about their chest.

The case *Re Imogen* (2020) confirmed that administering puberty suppressing medication and GAHT requires the consent of doctors, children or adolescents *and* all parents or legal guardians. This means that if one or both parents or guardians does not consent, then the Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia needs to authorise treatment.

One submission raised concerns about the delays and expenses imposed by going through a court process. This is particularly challenging when there is an absent parent or a parent with family violence intervention orders.⁴⁹³

A submission from 4 family law specialists claimed that individuals critical of gender-affirming healthcare have authored and spread papers and hosted seminars attempting to influence family lawyers, practitioners and the judiciary. The submission claimed:

[W]e have observed an ongoing and deliberate attempt within the legal profession (specifically family law) to continue to undermine the current gender affirming care model, including the specific work of the expert practitioners at the RCH [Royal Children's Hospital] via the legal system.⁴⁹⁴

There is consensus among health practitioners who work with trans and gender diverse children and young people that access to puberty suppressants and GAHT is beneficial for their mental health and wellbeing. An evidence summary produced by headspace concluded:

Overall, the peer-reviewed literature suggests that GnRHa [puberty suppressing medication] is correlated with improved general functioning and peer relations, and reduced depressive symptoms, suicidal ideation, and behavioural and emotional problems in trans and gender diverse young people.⁴⁹⁵

Reinforcing this point, a recent study published in the *Journal of Pediatrics* found that GAHT led to a 68% reduction in suicidality among trans and gender diverse young people.⁴⁹⁶

Consent for children and young people under the age of 18

Several submissions identified the legal requirement for parental consent as a barrier to the wellbeing and rights of children.⁴⁹⁷ The Family Access Network explained: 'Accessing gender affirming care can be difficult for young people requiring consent from parents who are not supportive[,] which can result in key development intervention opportunities being missed'.⁴⁹⁸

Advocates for gender-affirming healthcare for children and young people support a framework around informed consent and *Gillick* competence. This would leave decisions about treatment solely with health professionals and children who demonstrate *Gillick* competence.



Gillick competence

Gillick competence refers to a case-by-case measure to evaluate the capacity of young people under the age of 18 to consent to medical care. The expression comes from a 1986 legal case. A child is *Gillick* competent if they have 'sufficient understanding and intelligence to enable [them] to fully understand what is proposed'.⁴⁹⁹ This standard will vary depending on the nature of the procedure, with a greater level of understanding required for more significant procedures. It is the responsibility of healthcare providers to determine if a child or young person has the capacity to understand the reasons and consequences of treatment. If they have this capacity, then they are deemed *Gillick* competent and can provide informed consent.⁵⁰⁰

Gillick competence is a principle under the common law. In South Australia there is specific legislation dealing with the ability of young people to consent to medical treatment. It provides that young people 16 years of age and over can consent to medical treatment as validly and effectively as an adult.⁵⁰¹ Under age 16 they can consent without the need for parental approval if 2 medical practitioners agree that the treatment is in the young person's best interests and that the young person is *Gillick* competent (i.e. able to understand the nature, consequences and risks of the treatment).⁵⁰²

Many submissions expressed concern about the possible damage to children and young people's health when they are prevented from consenting to gender-affirming healthcare.⁵⁰³ In contrast, one submission expressed concern that children and young people under age 18 are not competent to consent.⁵⁰⁴

Some criticisms of gender-affirming healthcare for children and young people cite a perceived conflict between the rights of parents and the rights of children. Some argued that health practitioners were overriding the rights of parents. The

Convention on the Rights of the Child recognises that parents have the primary responsibility for caring for their child.⁵⁰⁵ This includes the responsibility to provide appropriate direction and guidance to their child in the exercise by the child of their own rights.⁵⁰⁶ This interconnected set of rights and responsibilities recognises the agency of children and young people as rights bearers and not merely objects of protection.⁵⁰⁷

Q+ Law, an LGBTIQ+ legal service, stated that confusion often arises from the inconsistent information circulating about gender-affirming healthcare:

conflicting literature on widely endorsed medical processes has been used by other parents to oppose treatment. There has been further confusion since the publication of the Westmead study,⁵⁰⁸ and the campaigning of the use of ‘watchful waiting’, which is not supported by clinicians and medical practitioners who treat children with gender dysphoria and has further contributed to the delay in the medical treatment of TGD minors.⁵⁰⁹

A growing body of research demonstrates that many trans and gender diverse children and young people are well positioned to understand and consent to treatment over their own bodies. One study noted:

Through qualitative content analysis of interviews with trans youth, parents, and healthcare providers, we found that trans youth demonstrated the understandings and abilities characteristic of the capacity to consent to hormone therapy and that they did consent to hormone therapy with positive outcomes ... granting trans youth with decisional capacity both the right and the legal authority to consent to hormone therapy via the informed consent model of care is ethically justified.⁵¹⁰

Barriers to accessing gender-affirming healthcare

Several submissions discussed barriers to accessing gender-affirming healthcare. The more common barriers included:

- lack of availability of gender-affirming healthcare service providers
- long wait times
- lack of GPs who had awareness and understanding of trans and gender diverse people
- limitations on Medicare coverage and cost implications
- limitations on private insurance coverage.

Submissions noted that these issues are compounded for people from intersecting marginalised backgrounds. For example, this includes people seeking asylum or refugees, imprisoned people,⁵¹¹ people with disability, those in regional or rural areas, homeless people and those with low socio-economic status.⁵¹²

Delays or inability to access gender-affirming healthcare can have adverse consequences for trans and gender diverse people's physical and mental health. For instance, research shows that when health professionals refuse or delay the provision of gender-affirming healthcare, it can instil a sense of hopelessness in trans and gender diverse people.⁵¹³ One key consequence of these barriers is that some people are self-administering hormones from unregulated markets.⁵¹⁴

Costs

Several submissions identified cost as a key barrier to accessing gender-affirming healthcare.⁵¹⁵ Puberty suppressants for trans and gender diverse children are not on the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS).⁵¹⁶ Private health insurance excludes many other gender-affirming treatments,⁵¹⁷ as gender affirming surgeries are not assigned MBS items on the Medicare Benefits Schedule. Gender affirming surgery can cost tens of thousands of dollars. The Trans Health Research Group stated:

despite the strong evidence that gender affirming surgeries can be lifesaving for trans and gender diverse people, in Australia, gender-affirming surgery is classified as elective/cosmetic surgery by governmental guidelines. Therefore, gender affirming surgery is not provided in the public health sector, and Medicare only offers small rebates. Even with private health insurance, gender affirming surgeries result in prohibitive out-of-pocket expenses. Consequently, and as shown by our research, there are very high rates of unmet need for gender affirming surgery in the Australian trans and gender diverse community.⁵¹⁸

Other researchers noted that the cost of care itself is 'exacerbated by loss of income during post-surgery recovery periods, and the absence of gender-affirming leave'.⁵¹⁹ Some trans and gender diverse people draw on their superannuation to fund surgeries.⁵²⁰ This can contribute to poverty, as one individual explained in their submission:

These expenses can mean the difference between being able to affirm one's gender identity or not – but are so high that they can effectively push some trans people into poverty. While for others, they are completely unaffordable. For example, in March 2018, the ABC reported that: 'There's a massive price tag on being transgender in Australia. For some, the cost of surgery and treatment for gender dysphoria will crack \$100,000'.⁵²¹

Wider research and guidelines support these findings. For example, the Trans Pathways study found that among its surveyed 14 to 25-year-old participants, 15.5% wanted access to gender-affirming surgery 'but were unable to access what they want because of the cost'.⁵²²

Anti-pathologisation

For many years, doctors and the public considered trans and gender diverse children and adults to be ill ('pathologised'). Since the 1980s, internationally recognised manuals like the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (DSM) and *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD) classified trans and gender people as having psychiatric conditions like 'transsexualism', 'gender identity disorder' or 'gender dysphoria'.

Trans and gender diverse people are not by definition ill; they are part of the rich diversity of human nature. The UN has highlighted that pathologisation is one of the root causes of human rights violations faced by trans and gender diverse people. In June 2019, the World Health Organization formally declassified trans identity as a mental illness in the ICD.⁵²³

Wait times and availability

Many submissions mentioned lengthy wait times to access gender-affirming healthcare.⁵²⁴ The *Private Lives 3* study reinforced these submissions. It found:

less than half of trans women (49.5%; n = 142) and trans men (49.5%; n = 135), and one quarter (25.8%; n = 154) of non-binary participants, agreed or strongly agreed with the statement, 'I have been easily able to access gender affirming care when I have needed to'.⁵²⁵

One study into the effects of waiting to access gender-affirming healthcare in Tasmania found that participants believed clinicians were wasting their time. They described waiting as straining their mental health and creating a great sense of uncertainty about their lives and futures.⁵²⁶

Several submissions noted that children who have begun puberty face significant waits to access gender-affirming healthcare.⁵²⁷ Submissions noted that the Royal Children's Hospital in Melbourne – Australia's largest



provider of gender-affirming healthcare for children and young people – had a 2-year waitlist for new clients.⁵²⁸ Waiting times for trans and gender diverse children and adolescents can have adverse effects on their physical and mental health. For instance, researchers presented evidence that lengthy waits are associated with an increase in eating disorders.⁵²⁹

Submissions noted that private practitioners are sometimes reluctant to provide gender-affirming healthcare,⁵³⁰ especially for children and young people. This may, in part, be due to the legal implications for gender-affirming health care. One mental health service provider explained: ‘a major medical insurer, [is] informing GPs that they will no longer be insured if they provide gender-affirming treatment to young people under 18 years of age’.⁵³¹

Intersectional marginalisations

Some groups of people face intersecting barriers to accessing healthcare. One

submission reported that imprisoned trans and gender diverse people face multiple barriers to gender-affirming healthcare, including administrative issues with referrals, limited providers and wait times of up to 2 years.⁵³² People seeking asylum and migrants often do not have access to Medicare. People whose first language is not English may face challenges finding healthcare providers who speak their language. There are also challenges finding interpreters who are both safe for trans and gender diverse people and are familiar with the relevant terminology.⁵³³

Submissions also noted challenges facing some trans and gender diverse people to access the NDIS. ColourFull Abilities, an LGBTIQ+ specific disability support service, stated: ‘There are disparities in healthcare provision for trans and gender diverse participants within the NDIS, including limited access to gender-affirming care and medical treatments, surgeries, therapies, mental health support, and specialist services’.⁵³⁴

Lack of training

Several submissions identified a lack of training about gender-affirming healthcare as a barrier to access.⁵³⁵ Often trans and gender diverse people have the burden of educating health professionals about trans and gender diverse people and gender-affirming healthcare.⁵³⁶ The Curtin University Gender Research Network summarised: ‘Limited awareness and understanding of gender diversity among healthcare professionals often leads to misgendering, discrimination and inadequate care for TGD patients (Poteat et al., 2013).’⁵³⁷

This situation is heightened for trans and gender diverse people who cannot access specialist centres or providers, and those who rely more heavily on generalist GPs. Limited access to gender-affirming specialists is part of a broader challenge around healthcare access in regional areas. The Illawarra Shoalhaven Gender Alliance explained:

In regional areas, general practitioners are the mainstay of health services, and if well trained can provide access to care, particularly through hub and spoke models to larger, centrally located multidisciplinary services as needed. This points to the need for a rapid investment not just in services but in curricula in health professional undergraduate and postgraduate training to upskill practitioners, particularly in medicine and psychology but also in allied health areas.⁵³⁸

As the Trans Justice Project noted, one risk of inadequate training about trans and gender diverse healthcare is that it can create a vacuum where misinformation can flourish.⁵³⁹

Concerns about gender-affirming healthcare for children and adolescents

Submissions from some psychiatrists, psychologists, parent groups and private individuals expressed concerns about puberty suppressing medication and gender-affirming healthcare for young people under the age of 18.⁵⁴⁰ The Affiliation of Australian Women’s Action Alliances (AAWAA) described puberty suppressants and GAHT as ‘treatments that rely on a limited evidence base [and that

they] are by nature experimental and engage heightened human rights responsibilities’.⁵⁴¹

There are several critical human rights considerations that relate to any discussion or form of treatment relating to gender-affirming healthcare for those aged under 18 years. These are:

- the best interests of the child should be a primary consideration (Article 3 of the *Convention on the Rights of the Child* [CRC])
- all children have the right to preserve their identity (Article 8 of the CRC)
- all children have the right to express their views freely in matters that affect them, including decisions that affect their health, and to have those views given due weight in a manner consistent with the evolving capacities of the child (Article 12 of the CRC)
- all children have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion (Article 14 of the CRC)
- all children have the right to the highest attainable standard of health and health care, including access to services, safe treatment, and a supportive environment (Article 24 of the CRC and Article 12 of *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights* [ICESCR])
- all children have the right to life (Article 6 of the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights* [ICCPR])
- all children have the right to be free from discrimination (Article 26 of the ICCPR).

Australian reviews have noted that the evidence base for the use of puberty suppressant medication and GAHT to treat young trans and gender diverse people is of ‘satisfactory or low quality’. The reviews also indicate that the number of studies is growing each year and that findings are consistent.⁵⁴² As discussed earlier in this section, the term ‘low quality’ has specific meaning in the context of scientific evidence. It does not mean that that evidence classified as ‘low quality’ should be disqualified from consideration when developing guidelines or standards of care.⁵⁴³

A review of all American Academy of Paediatrics guidelines found that 90% of clinical interventions in child health are supported by low to moderate certainty evidence rather than high-quality randomised trials.⁵⁴⁴ Ethical and practical constraints often limit paediatric randomised trials.⁵⁴⁵ Contemporary evidence frameworks such as GRADE explicitly recognise that strong clinical recommendations in paediatrics may appropriately rest on consistent observational evidence, combined with clinical expertise and careful risk-benefit assessment.⁵⁴⁶

Some submissions discussed the implications of gender-affirming healthcare for infertility and sexual functioning.⁵⁴⁷ They argued that the ‘gender affirmation model’, puberty suppression and hormones harm children and young people’s bodies and wellbeing.⁵⁴⁸

The Australian Standards of Care and the WPATH standards of care require doctors to provide information on the risks and benefits of gender-affirming healthcare. As noted above, this includes discussing impacts on fertility with the young trans or gender diverse person, as well as their families and caregivers. Both standards of care recommend providing information about options and access for fertility preservation.⁵⁴⁹ This is supplemented by existing protocols and standards for children and adolescents who undergo other treatments which may impact on fertility, such as treatments for cancer.

Some submissions which expressed concerns about gender-affirming healthcare for children and young people referenced the Cass Review undertaken in the United Kingdom.⁵⁵⁰ These submissions suggested that advocates of the ‘gender affirmation model’ have social goals to foster diversity and undermine tradition.⁵⁵¹ They described gender affirmation as the ‘promotion of gender identity ideology’.⁵⁵² A submission from a psychiatrist noted:

The Affirmation Model also attracts some health professionals who are not driven to provide evidence-based care but are instead driven by their own broader societal goals: to move away from biological relationships and biological reality, and to make society more diverse and less traditional.⁵⁵³

The Cass Review

In 2020, the National Health Service (NHS) England commissioned the *Independent Review of Gender Identity Services for Children and Young People* – commonly known as the Cass Review.⁵⁵⁴ The Cass Review final report, published in 2024, recommended against gender-affirming healthcare for trans children and young people. Instead, the Cass Review advocated greater focus on psychosocial support, psychotherapy and ‘watchful waiting’ until age 18. These alternatives to gender-affirming healthcare aim to unpack why a child or young person identifies as trans or gender diverse.⁵⁵⁵ Based on the Cass Review, UK and Aotearoa New Zealand Health Ministers banned the general use of puberty suppressants for trans children and young people. In the UK they will only be available as part of NHS clinical trials.⁵⁵⁶

Since its publication, numerous doctors and health bodies that work in trans healthcare have published peer-reviewed articles criticising the Cass Review’s methodology and findings, including in the *Medical Journal of Australia*.⁵⁵⁷ Some of the most important criticisms are:

- The Cass Review identified and evaluated studies using methodologies and tools that were rated at high risk of bias.⁵⁵⁸
- There was significant emphasis on the lack of ‘high quality’ evidence, such as randomised control trials. However, bioethicists note that it would be unethical to deny treatment like puberty suppressants and GAHT to trans and gender diverse children and young people.⁵⁵⁹
- The Cass Review did not accept any lived and living experience of trans people and their families as evidence.
- The Cass Review team were not experts or practitioners in trans healthcare.

Some submissions to the Commission expressed concern that gender affirmation reinforces gender stereotypes. The submission from Genspect – an international alliance of parents and professional groups opposed to medicalised approaches to gender diversity – mentioned that ‘the educational resources used by paediatric gender clinics and trans advocacy organisations ... reinforce regressive sex stereotypes as part of an education program about “gender identity”’.⁵⁶⁰ The Women’s Rights Network Australia (WRNA) similarly criticised a trans and gender diverse charity which ‘equates masculine gender expression with outdated stereotypes such as “wearing pants, having short hair, and liking football” – a characterisation which we believe harms all gender-nonconforming children, regardless of their specific gender identity.’⁵⁶¹ Women’s Forum Australia suggested:

the rise in gender dysphoria in young women and girls needs to be considered in light of the unique pressures they face in the areas of sexual violence, objectification in porn, entertainment and advertising, and the more general body image issues resulting from phenomena like social media, eating disorders, unhealthy interpersonal relationships, gender stereotypes, or other cultural expectations, which can cause them to hate their bodies and indeed being female.⁵⁶²

Submissions critical of gender-affirming healthcare referenced some theories or concepts such as Rapid Onset Gender Dysphoria (ROGD).⁵⁶³ Another common expression used by critics of gender-affirming healthcare is ‘social contagion’. This refers to ‘the seemingly spontaneous process by which information, such as attitudes, emotions, or behaviours, are spread throughout a group from one member to others’.⁵⁶⁴ Concepts like ‘Rapid Onset Gender Dysphoria’ and ‘social contagion’ suggest that trans and gender diversity are the result of exposure to trans and gender diverse content on social media.⁵⁶⁵

Some submissions directly challenged these concepts. The Trans Justice Project described:



the myth of rapid onset gender dysphoria (ROGD) by anti-trans groups. Initially proposed in an article by Lisa Littman, ROGD positions the motivation behind gender affirmation solely as ‘fitting in’ (Littman, 2018). This article was retracted and corrected due to significant problems with its methodology (Littman, 2019), and journal PLOS One issu[ed] an apology for its publication (Heber, 2019). Further, research on the experiences of TGD youth have contradicted Littman’s argument that the decision to transition happens suddenly or is due to social conformity (Kennedy, 2020; Bauer et al., 2021; Turban et al., 2023). Despite this, anti-trans groups use this deficient article widely in their attempts to influence policy (Leveille, 2022).⁵⁶⁶

Peer-reviewed research published by the *Society for Research in Child Development* has also found that trans and gender diverse children’s sense of their own gender was as consistent as their cisgender peers.⁵⁶⁷

State and territory pauses on gender-affirming healthcare for children and adolescents

In January 2025, the Queensland Minister for Health and Ambulance Services announced a pause on the Queensland Children's Gender Service prescribing puberty suppressants and GAHT to new patients (those already on medication would be permitted to continue). The Minister justified the decision based on 'contested evidence surrounding the benefits of Stage 1 [puberty suppressants] and Stage 2 [GAHT] hormone therapy for children and adolescents with gender dysphoria'.⁵⁶⁸

This pause came about despite the findings of a review of the Queensland Children's Gender Service published in July 2024 – 6 months earlier. That review concluded:

The informed consent process and the information contained within the consent forms for the commencement of puberty blockers and gender affirming hormones is comprehensive and considers the capacity of the child or adolescent and their family to provide informed consent. The risks and implications of commencing medical interventions for gender dysphoria are explained well. The process of making decisions about medical intervention is thoughtful, considered and evidence based.⁵⁶⁹

Some Queensland parents of trans and gender diverse young people have reported their children experiencing significant distress since the implementation of the pause.⁵⁷⁰ This echoes research conducted in the UK following the introduction of their ban on puberty suppressing medication.⁵⁷¹

In October 2025, a court ruled that the Minister's directive was unlawful because he had not engaged in

adequate consultation.⁵⁷² The Minister immediately issued a new directive pausing the prescription of puberty suppressing medication and hormone therapies for the treatment of gender dysphoria.

When the Minister announced the pause in January 2025, he also commissioned an independent review (the Vine Review) into the prescription of puberty suppressing medication and GAHT in Queensland. The Vine Review noted that their evidence reviews found 'some evidence of benefit', and that there was 'not good evidence' that either treatment caused harm in the short or medium term and little evidence of harm in the long term. It did not make recommendations but presented options around pausing or retaining the availability of treatment with puberty suppressants and GAHT. The Vine Review noted that continuing a pause on treatments in the public health system was the option with the most risks.⁵⁷³ Nonetheless, on 19 December 2025, the Minister announced that the pause on prescribing puberty suppressing medication for trans and gender diverse children would continue until the completion of clinical trials in the UK. This is not expected until 2031.

On 21 December 2025, the Northern Territory Health Minister announced a pause on public health services prescribing puberty suppressing medication and GAHT to trans and gender diverse children. Medical associations and advocates for gender-affirming healthcare continue to raise concerns that these pauses will cause significant harm. In a statement, Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists President, Dr Astha Tomar, said that 'governments have a responsibility to meaningfully engage with the evidence ... and to ensure that policy settings do not outpace or distort the clinical realities they are intended to address'.⁵⁷⁴

Detransition and Reidentification

Three submissions expressed concern about 'detransition'.⁵⁷⁵ Detransition refers to when people reidentify with their sex recorded at birth after they have had medical or surgical interventions. The submissions used blogs and newspaper articles as sources to assert that there are 'growing ranks' of 'detransitioners'. Women's Forum Australia explained in their submission:

detransition stories are also similar: unresolved ongoing mental issues now compounded by medical and surgical damage to their bodies (including infertility, vaginal atrophy, loss of breasts and inability to breastfeed, loss of sexual function, osteoporosis, and more), feelings of bitterness towards the therapists who failed to safeguard their welfare, and experiences of rejection from the 'trans community' they formerly called home. Collectively, these personal testimonies offer a devastating challenge to the 'affirmation only' approach to gender transition.⁵⁷⁶

Research shows that people may reidentify with their sex recorded at birth for a range of reasons and may reidentify permanently or temporarily. In one US survey of nearly 28,000 trans and gender diverse people, 8% reported some kind of reidentification. Of that group, 82.5% did so because of external factors like pressure from family and societal stigma.⁵⁷⁷

In Australia and overseas, empirical evidence demonstrates low rates of surgical regret and reidentification.⁵⁷⁸ A study from WA's statewide gender diversity service for children and adolescents analysed all patient records between 2014–2020. It found that:

Patients who reidentified with their birth-registered sex comprised 5.3% (29 of 548; 95% CI, 3.6% – 7.5%) of all referral closures. Except for two patients, reidentification occurred before or during early stages of assessment (93.1%; 95% CI, 77.2% – 99.2%). Two patients who reidentified with their birth-registered sex did so following initiation of puberty suppression or gender-affirming hormone treatment (1.0% of 196 patients who initiated any gender-affirming medical treatment; 95% CI, 0.1% – 3.6%).⁵⁷⁹

The WA study found that reidentification is low and mainly occurs during the assessment process. This study is an example of best practice which distinguishes between desistance and detransition. Combining the rates of people who detransition with people who desist from gender affirmation can produce misleading results.

Desistance versus detransition

Desistance generally refers to when a person reidentifies with their sex recorded at birth before proceeding with medical interventions. This is distinct from detransitioning, when someone reidentifies after having undergone hormone treatment or gender affirmation surgery. The gender-affirming healthcare model works with clients to understand their gender identity and make informed decisions – which may include desistance.

An editorial in the *International Journal of Transgender Health* cautioned against how some researchers (and those who cited them) were producing misleading data by conflating rates of desistance with detransitioning. The editorial stated:

For clarity, researchers should distinguish between treatment discontinuation and changes in gender identity. They should also separately report on regret associated with treatment and the reasons for this regret, to properly contextualize data on the medical and social trajectories of trans young people.⁵⁸⁰

Challenging False Information

As noted in section 2.2, the rise of misinformation and disinformation negatively influences how people feel about trans and gender diverse people. This has been especially acute around gender-affirming healthcare. The Royal Children's Hospital submission noted: 'misinformation and disinformation targeting TGD children is increasing in Australia, exposing trans youth to material that pathologizes their existence,

promotes trans hate and discrimination, and erodes confidence in trans healthcare'.⁵⁸¹

Several submissions identified how health professionals' ideological bias and personal views may impact on trans and gender diverse people's right to health.⁵⁸² They were concerned about how some health practitioners were spreading false information about gender-affirming healthcare – especially for children – as part of wider campaigns against trans rights.

For instance, the Trans Justice Project described how 'Campaigns of medical disinformation aim to deny necessary medical intervention to TGD people and promote hesitancy among parents of children seeking gender-affirming care'.⁵⁸³ The Kids Research Institute Australia, in reference to these campaigns, said that 'attempts to radicalise parents online jeopardise trans young people's access to appropriate gender-affirming care, and broader psychological support'.⁵⁸⁴

Submissions suggested that threats and calls to ban gender-affirming healthcare further spread false information and cause distress to trans and gender diverse people.⁵⁸⁵ For instance, Your Community Health reported: 'the very real threat of future legislative changes has resulted in a rise in anxiety and psychological distress within the trans community. Access to hormones and gender-affirming care saves lives. When this is taken away, there are devastating consequences.'⁵⁸⁶

Conversion and suppression practices

A significant barrier to gender-affirming healthcare is the promotion and deployment of conversion practices. Conversion practices, also known as Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity Change Efforts (SOGICE), are commonly understood as 'a set of practices that aim to change or alter an individual's sexual orientation or gender identity'.⁵⁸⁷ Such practices are 'based on the false belief that such core aspects of a person's identity are pathological or undesirable or can be changed'.⁵⁸⁸ One Australian study found that around 4% of LGBTIQ+ Australians aged 14–21 years experienced conversion practices.⁵⁸⁹

The goal of these practices may be identity suppression (avoiding or concealing

behaviour that is not heterosexual or cisgender) or identity change (becoming heterosexual or cisgender).⁵⁹⁰ Researchers note that 'beliefs that sexuality and gender can be suppressed or changed can occur in a range of settings and can manifest in many ways'.⁵⁹¹

Health clinicians, ethicists and social scientists have widely condemned conversion practices.⁵⁹² A group of independent medical experts observed that conversion practices are 'ineffective, inherently repressive, and [are] likely to cause individuals significant or severe physical and mental pain and suffering with long-term harmful effects'.⁵⁹³ The Kids Research Institute Australia noted:

available evidence does indicate that conversion efforts for sexual orientation and gender identity are both associated with an increased risk of depression and suicidal ideation. People who have undergone such 'therapies' have reported serious and long-term harms including shame, self-hatred, social isolation, anxiety, depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, and suicidal ideation. At least one group of clinicians advocating both non-affirmation and conversion practices has been listed as a hate group by the [US-based] Southern Poverty Law Center.⁵⁹⁴

Despite evidence that conversion practices cause significant harm, they are still 'practiced in every region of the world by health professionals, religious practitioners, and community or family members'.⁵⁹⁵ In Australia, the group SOGICE Survivors produced the 'SOGICE Survivor Statement'. It calls on all governments to ban conversion practices, implement training to mental healthcare providers and to set up redress schemes for survivors of conversion practices.⁵⁹⁶

Two submissions reported personal experiences of medical practitioners who performed conversion and suppression practices.⁵⁹⁷ These practices still happen, even though they are illegal in several states and territories.

The ACT, NSW, Queensland, South Australia and Victoria all have legislation which bans conversion or suppression practices in certain contexts.⁵⁹⁸ The legislative frameworks are



not the same. Some of the key areas where state and territory conversion or suppression practice bans differ are:

- the terminology and definition of conversion or suppression practices
- who can lodge a complaint about conversion or suppression practices
- statute of limitations
- settings where the legislation applies (e.g. religious and secular settings, just healthcare settings)
- whether legislation provides examples of what is or is not a conversion practice
- if it is unlawful to take someone out of the state or territory to perform conversion or suppression practices.

In 2024, the ACT Government tabled a statutory review of the *Sexuality and Gender Identity Conversion Practices Act 2020* (ACT). The report identified awareness and need for education on legislation and conversion or suppression practices as the key theme raised by stakeholders.⁵⁹⁹

When the Tasmanian Government introduced a draft bill to ban conversion practices in 2023, LGBTIQ+ activists heavily criticised it. They argued it contained ‘too many loopholes [that] will not prevent the conversion practices from occurring’.⁶⁰⁰ That bill never passed, and conversion practices are not expressly prohibited in Tasmania.⁶⁰¹ They are also not prohibited in WA or NT.

A joint submission from the national LGBTIQ+ legal association Pride in Law, Victorian Women Lawyers and Liberty Victoria stated that both exposure to conversion practices and inconsistent legal protections pose a threat to trans and gender diverse people’s rights.⁶⁰² Other submissions also made this point.⁶⁰³

One submission raised concerns that legislation banning conversion and suppression practices was wrongfully conflating sexual orientation with gender identity. The submission stated:

Gender identity is synonymous with gender dysphoria, a serious mental health condition where a person believes their body is incongruent with their biological sex, and which is often in part the result of other mental health issues and trauma. Seeking to assist a person to not be gender dysphoric should therefore not be considered a ‘conversion practice’.⁶⁰⁴

This interpretation of gender identity is at odds with the WHO, which noted that the redefinition of ‘gender dysphoria’ to ‘gender incongruence’ in *ICD-11* ‘reflects current knowledge that trans-related and gender diverse identities are not conditions of mental ill-health, and that classifying them as such can cause enormous stigma’.⁶⁰⁵

In 2021 the Australian Psychological Society issued the position statement ‘Use of psychological practices that attempt to change or suppress a person’s sexual orientation or gender’. It states that ‘approaches to mental health practice variously referred to as “reparative”, “conversion” or “ex-gay” “therapy” are based on the assumption that being lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or queer (LGBTQ+) is indicative of psychological dysfunction, and that this can be “cured”’.⁶⁰⁶ The Australian Psychological Society’s characterisation of conversion practices is important when considering non-affirming approaches to healthcare.

Alternatives to gender-affirming healthcare

Some submissions suggested alternative models to gender-affirming health care.⁶⁰⁷ These included ‘extended psychotherapy’ or ‘gender exploratory therapy’.

Some submissions suggested that being trans or gender diverse was the result of mental ill health, rather than the cause of mental health challenges.⁶⁰⁸ One submission from a psychologist stated: ‘The decision to ignore the mental health problems is based on the unproven theory that these problems are the result of their stated gender dysphoria, not the cause of it.’⁶⁰⁹

Advocates for gender-affirming healthcare and trans and gender diverse rights have challenged some of these ‘alternatives’ as

misleading. A trans academic stated that mental health professionals who oppose gender-affirming healthcare:

employ misleading euphemisms like ‘gender exploration’ and ‘watchful waiting’ to disguise their harmful gender conversion practices, and who conduct methodologically flawed and ethically fraught clinical studies on vulnerable trans youth, while citing each other along with a growing body of trans-hostile misinformation, propaganda, and ideology to support their harmful practices.⁶¹⁰

The prevailing evidence base opposes these alternative approaches, as noted in an evidence summary from headspace:

The current review did not find any empirical research of alternative approaches to gender-affirming care that yielded positive mental health or wellbeing outcomes, despite the broad search strategy encompassing all approaches to gender-related distress in young people.⁶¹¹

Research has shown that psychotherapy practices that start from a premise that being trans or gender diverse is wrong, unnatural, or that people who are trans or gender diverse can change, suppress or overcome their identity, have similarities with conversion practices.⁶¹²

A trans academic who has conducted extensive research into ‘gender exploratory therapy’ included several points about the relationship between opponents of trans and gender diverse rights, their opposition to gender-affirming healthcare and the relationship to conversion practices. For instance, their submission questioned the wording of the NSW Conversion Practices Ban Bill 2024. They argued that the bill would exempt registered health practitioners who perform what are essentially conversion practices. They also noted that ‘professional status’ may legitimise ‘a number of highly vocal trans-hostile mental health practitioners in Australia and abroad [who] have increasingly created confusion and fear about gender-affirmative health care’.⁶¹³

3.4 Legal recognition of gender

- Trans and gender diverse people face numerous difficulties around legal recognition of their gender. These challenges affect matters including name changes, government documents, health services, homeless and violence crisis support services, parentage and employment checks and clearances.
- The best practice model for legal recognition gives individuals the autonomy to self-identify their name and gender. In Australia, the Victorian and Tasmanian self-identification approaches to gender on birth certificates are a good model. Those jurisdictions allow individuals to nominate their own gender from a range of categories.

Relevant human rights laws and principles

- The ***Universal Declaration of Human Rights*** (Article 6) and ***International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*** (Article 16) recognise that ‘Everyone shall have the right to recognition everywhere as a person before the law’.⁶¹⁴
- **Yogyakarta Principle 3 – The Right to recognition before the law:**
 - No one shall be forced to undergo medical procedures, including sex reassignment surgery, sterilisation or hormonal therapy, as a requirement for legal recognition of their gender identity. No status, such as marriage or parenthood, may be invoked as such to prevent the legal recognition of a person’s gender identity. No one shall be subjected to pressure to conceal, suppress or deny their sexual orientation or gender identity.⁶¹⁵



Gender identity and legal documentation

In Australia, identity documentation comes under a complex and overlapping web of state and territory laws. Some of the documents or areas that recognise gender include passports, birth certificates, marriage and parentage or parental rights. The 2 most prominent points that trans and gender diverse people have advocated for in relation to legal documents are:

- the right to change gender or names without having medical or surgical interventions
- the recognition of non-binary genders.

Progress on both points has been uneven across federal, state and territory jurisdictions. On non-binary recognition, the 2014 High Court case *New South Wales Registrar of Births, Deaths and Marriage v Norrie* confirmed that the NSW Registry of Births, Deaths and Marriages could register a person's sex in a way that was not limited to the binary of man or woman.⁶¹⁶

At a federal level, from 1984 trans and gender diverse people could change the sex marker on their passports if they had gender affirming surgery. In 2011 the Foreign Minister introduced new guidelines allowing people to change the gender on their passports without having gender affirming surgery. These guidelines also allowed people to nominate the non-binary gender marker 'X' on their passports.⁶¹⁷

In July 2013, in response to recommendations of the Commission,⁶¹⁸ the Attorney-General's Department published the 'Australian Government Guidelines on the Recognition of Sex and Gender'. These guidelines are similar to the rules on passports. There is no longer a requirement to have gender affirming surgery and/or hormone therapy to change someone's gender on any federal government record.⁶¹⁹

The legal requirements around changing sex or gender markers differ across states and territories. In many jurisdictions, there may be requirements around length of residency or citizenship. Beginning in the ACT in 2014, state and territory governments amended legislation around changing sex or gender on birth certificates.⁷

7 Note the years in this table are when legislation passed. Usually there was an implementation delay of between 6-12 months.

Jurisdiction	Removed requirement for gender affirming surgery	Introduced non-binary sex or gender option(s)
ACT	2014	2014
NSW	2024	2014 ⁸
NT	2018	2018
Queensland	2023	2023
SA	2016	2016
Tasmania	2019	2019
Victoria	2019	2019
Western Australia	2011/2024 ⁹	2024

Several submissions to this report came before NSW and WA amended their legislation.⁶²⁰ Those submissions highlighted the problematic medical and surgical requirements those states previously imposed for trans and gender diverse people.

Although states and territories no longer require gender affirming surgery, the jurisdictions have taken different approaches to birth certificate changes. South Australia, the Northern Territory and Western Australia require evidence that the trans or gender diverse person has undergone 'appropriate clinical treatment'. Trans and gender diverse advocates find this requirement to be problematic because it still pathologises them and falls short of self-identification.

Advocates point to the Victoria and Tasmania models as best practice for self-identification. In Victoria, a person who is 18 years of age or older may apply to the registrar to alter the record of their sex and nominate a different sex descriptor.⁶²¹ Victoria provides the individual with a broad range of available categories, or they can nominate their own.⁶²²

8 After *NSW Registrar of Births, Deaths and Marriages v Norrie*, residents of NSW could change their sex marker to 'non specific'. However, they still needed to have gender affirming surgery to make this or any other change.

9 The High Court ruled in 2011 that under the *Gender Reassignment Act 2000* (WA), a person only needed a medical intervention (e.g. GAHT) to change their gender marker, not gender affirming surgery. See *AB v Western Australia and AH v Western Australia* [2011] HCA 42. In 2024 the law changed to remove the requirement of medical interventions.

In Tasmania, a person who is 16 years of age or older may apply to have their gender registered on their birth certificate (in addition to their sex).⁶²³ The gender recorded may be male, female or a non-binary description, or no gender marker at all.⁶²⁴

Those critical of trans and gender diverse rights have pushed to reverse laws around self-identification on birth certificates. At the time of publication, this has not happened in any jurisdiction.

Ongoing barriers to self-identification and recognition

Despite the progress on changing sex or gender on birth certificates and other government documents, several submissions described trans and gender diverse people facing challenges having their gender recognised. They also described legal change processes as inaccessible.

Many submissions also described barriers trans and gender diverse people face having their affirmed gender or names recognised in private and other non-government settings. Submissions noted these challenges in contexts such as health services, homelessness and violence crisis support services, as well as employment-related processes such as employment checks and clearances.⁶²⁵ According to community organisation Parents for Trans Youth Equity, parents seeking to affirm their child's name and gender on legal documentation face an even more complex process. This can have implications for travelling, getting driver's licences, school enrolments and accessing healthcare.⁶²⁶

In the healthcare context, Your Community Health noted: 'It is commonly reported that some health and wellbeing workers refuse to use a client's pronouns and chosen name unless formally updated on a birth certificate or Medicare card'.⁶²⁷ Similarly, Scarlet Alliance outlined the way that 'many sexual health services now require patients to disclose their name as it appears on identity documents (which may be a non-preferred or "dead" name for many TGD people) and/or require patients to disclose their Medicare information'.⁶²⁸ As noted in section 3.2, this sometimes deters trans and gender diverse

sex workers from undertaking sexual health checks.

According to Victoria Legal Aid, discrepancies between a person's legal name, sex marker and gender create barriers for trans and gender diverse people from getting parole and accessing housing, social security, education and work. Victoria Legal Aid also identified that a significant challenge in tertiary education is that 'birth names/dead names are used on university systems and are difficult to change and for one individual they were unable to graduate because the qualification was in her birth name/dead name and the university would not change it'.⁶²⁹

Submissions highlighted how this situation is particularly challenging for individuals who are in the process of transitioning. They often have not yet legally changed their name and gender on legal documentation. They are often forced to sign documents or receive services with their deadname.⁶³⁰

The Family Access Network noted that various organisations and businesses may continue to use a person's deadname. They gave an example of when seeking access to rental housing, the 'name on application may not match a person's gender presentation'.⁶³¹ Vixen effectively summarised:

[Trans and gender diverse] people often face inflexible systems which do not allow people to record chosen names, force trans and gender diverse people to be recorded by their legal sex at birth, and often will not be able to change their gender markers in systems.⁶³²

CASE STUDY

ANROWS highlighted an example when one trans woman had an interaction with a department of housing. The individual provided identification with her preferred name and letters from medical practitioners noting her gender transition. Still, the department insisted that she sign with her 'male birth name'.⁶³³

Even with amendments to laws around legal recognition and identification documents, trans and gender diverse people have few protections against deadnaming.⁶³⁴ Organisations are not currently required to provide people the option of using a non-binary gender when information about them is recorded in the organisation's records.

When the SDA was amended in 2013 to provide protection against discrimination for trans and gender diverse people, a new exemption was introduced. This exemption recognised that many organisations had record keeping systems that only allowed people to be identified as male or female. Section 43 A(2) of the SDA says: 'Nothing in Division 1 or 2 makes it unlawful to make or keep records in a way that does not provide for a person to be identified as being neither male nor female.' This exemption was only ever intended to be temporary. The Explanatory Memorandum for the Bill noted:

The intention of these exemptions is to ensure that the new protections for gender identity and intersex status do not require a person or organisation to provide an alternative to male and female in any data collection or personal record. It will ensure that there is no requirement to amend forms as part of the new protections for gender identity and intersex status, which may be an onerous exercise for organisations.

The need for these exemptions may be reconsidered in the future, if organisations (both government and private sector) have revised their data collection and record keeping practices to allow for a person to identify as neither male nor female. For example, the Government is currently developing guidelines on gender recognition for departments and agencies. Changes as a result of these guidelines may mean those departments and agencies would no longer require this exemption.⁶³⁵

As noted above, those government guidelines were published in July 2013. It is now more than a decade since the amendments to the SDA commenced. In the Commission's view, it is time to repeal this exemption in the SDA.

Single-sex spaces

A few submissions voiced concern about potential abuses of self-identification laws and asserted that self-identification could lead to opportunistic offending by bad actors.⁶³⁶ Other submissions highlighted the continuing limits and denial of rights around legal recognition and the impact this has on trans and gender diverse people.⁶³⁷

Trans and gender diverse people may experience discrimination accessing and enjoying single-sex spaces.

A few submissions viewed self-identification laws and trans people accessing single-sex spaces as a threat to women's rights.⁶³⁸ For example, one submission stated:

I have always felt it was appropriate to refer to transwomen as women and to use feminine pronouns. Then I learnt about self ID and how easy it is now for a man to claim to be a woman, and, in the absence of any medical or surgical treatment, demand access to female spaces such as change rooms, associations, work roles and so on.⁶³⁹





Some submissions and organisations have raised concerns about how including trans people can affect other people's rights and sense of safety. The areas include accessing drug and alcohol services, as well as single-sex spaces such as bathrooms, changing rooms, shelters and prisons.⁶⁴⁰ Some commentators frame trans and gender diverse people – especially trans women – as predators who threaten others' safety.⁶⁴¹ These opponents of trans inclusion often call for policies that restrict access to certain spaces based on people's sex recorded at birth.

Women's Forum Australia referred to their submission to Queensland's Birth, Deaths and Marriages Registration Bill 2022. That submission stated:

Gender identities do not rape women, male bodies do. This fact, coupled with females' inherent vulnerability when it comes to their smaller size and strength, is one of

the key reasons men should not be allowed to identify into women's single-sex spaces and services, and why such spaces must be based on biological sex, not gender.⁶⁴²

An individual submission went further. It suggested that allowing trans and gender diverse people to access single-sex spaces would make it impossible to challenge criminal behaviour:

What we now have, is the people who would do harm to women and children, and people who have passive deviancies in their sexual arousals, that can simply walk into women's and children's spaces and at no point can they be challenged.⁶⁴³

An individual submission by a legal academic suggested that currently trans and gender diverse rights are:

'trumping' women's and girls' rights when there is a conflict. This is not resolved just by insisting that 'transwomen are women'. The conflict could be dealt with by allowing women's groups that are not publicly funded to choose whether to be inclusive of male-bodied persons.⁶⁴⁴

Surveys of the Australian population show majority support for trans and gender diverse people being allowed to self-identify and to access single-sex spaces that correspond to their affirmed gender.⁶⁴⁵ Evidence does not support the assertion that trans and gender diverse-inclusive policies increase risk of harm in gendered facilities and spaces (such as toilet facilities or changing rooms).⁶⁴⁶

A review of trans and gender diverse people's protections under Aotearoa New Zealand's *Human Rights Act 1993* conducted by Te Aka Matua o te Ture | Law Commission specifically noted:

More importantly, we have not identified any evidence in Aotearoa New Zealand or overseas of people who are transgender being significantly represented in offending statistics relating to crimes in public bathrooms and changing rooms. Nor have we found evidence of such offending statistics increasing in countries where anti-discrimination laws have been reformed.⁶⁴⁷

Scholarly research shows that trans and gender diverse people face multiple barriers to accessing gendered facilities and spaces, including risks of verbal, physical and sexual victimisation.⁶⁴⁸ Nonetheless, trans and gender diverse people's access to single-sex spaces continues to be an area of intense public debate.

Under the SDA, it is against the law to discriminate against a person because of their gender identity in particular areas of public life. This includes in the provision of goods, services and facilities. Excluding a trans woman from services or spaces that are for women would likely be unlawful discrimination. State and territory anti-discrimination laws contain similar protections.

The creation of single-sex spaces can also lead to discrimination against trans and gender diverse people. A noteworthy example is the 2024 Federal Court case *Tickle v Giggle*. This concerned indirect discrimination against trans woman Roxanne Tickle by an app (Giggle) that marketed itself as an online women's only space. Ms Tickle was excluded from the app based on her appearance. Ms Tickle's photo was reviewed and considered to not look sufficiently like a cisgender woman – and this amounted to indirect discrimination based on Tickle's gender identity.⁶⁴⁹ At the time of this report's publication, the case was under appeal.

The SDA allows for some exemptions in specific circumstances, but these are limited and must be justified under the law. The SDA also allows certain kinds of positive discrimination that have the purpose of achieving real equality between different groups including people of different genders. These actions are called 'special measures' and do not amount to discrimination.

The Commission may grant temporary exemptions to some provisions under the SDA. Sections 30–43 of the SDA provide permanent exemptions, and section 7D addresses special measures. Because any temporary exemption must be consistent with the objectives of the SDA, the circumstances in which it is necessary or appropriate to grant such exemptions are limited.

Temporary exemptions are for no more than 5 years. The Commission must make decisions in an accountable manner. This generally involves providing the opportunity for public comment on exemption applications before the Commission makes a decision. Guidance outlines criteria and procedures to support the determination process for temporary exemption applications. The guidelines identify a range of considerations, including:

- the objectives of the SDA
- relevant provisions of the SDA
- whether an exemption is necessary
- reasons for seeking the exemption
- submissions by interested parties.⁶⁵⁰

Identity documentation and travel

Obtaining accurate documentation in geographical areas that require identity verification presents specific challenges for trans and gender diverse people. Submissions provided evidence of administrative, financial and medical hurdles which often prevented trans and gender diverse people from acquiring necessary immigration documents. Submissions noted that inconsistencies in identity documents can result in detainment, denial of travel or accusations of identity fraud at official ports of entry and exit.⁶⁵¹

New technologies such as body scanners and facial recognition software also pose risks to trans and gender diverse people's privacy and security.⁶⁵² Several studies show that many trans and gender diverse individuals experience greater levels of stress and anxiety during travel, or limit or avoid international travel altogether.⁶⁵³

4. Being Able to Participate

Trans and gender diverse people come from all walks of life and have the right to participate in all domains of society. This means they have the right to participate in the economic, social and cultural life of Australia. The domains highlighted in this section are some of the more prominent areas where trans and gender diverse people have highlighted barriers to their participation. They are not an exhaustive list, and many of the challenges identified apply in other parts of Australian public life.

Recommendation 14:

The Australian Government should:

- a. amend section 37(1)(d) and repeal section 38 of the *Sex Discrimination Act 1984* (Cth) and make consequential amendments to the *Fair Work Act 2009* (Cth), as recommended by the Australian Law Reform Commission in its 2024 report [‘Maximising the Realisation of Human Rights: Religious Educational Institution and Anti-Discrimination Laws’](#)
- b. request the Australian Law Reform Commission to further review and make recommendations about how to amend the exemption for religious bodies under section 37(1)(d) of the *Sex Discrimination Act 1984* (Cth).

Recommendation 15:

State and territory governments should review and amend their anti-discrimination legislation to ensure that trans and gender diverse people have equal access to publicly funded services, including those provided by religious bodies.

Recommendation 16:

The Australian Government Department of Education should require LGBTIQ+ and trans and gender diverse representation on key advisory groups, committees and rapid reviews. The Department should also establish an LGBTIQ+ Youth Advisory Group to provide input into:

- a. education policy settings
- b. the role of teachers
- c. curriculum content
- d. targeted anti-bullying program support.

Recommendation 17:

Federal, state and territory education departments should review their current policies, practices and curricula to ensure that they support an inclusive model. This model should embed inclusion of trans and gender diverse students as part of teacher training and professional development for all staff across all levels of government funded education institutions.

Recommendation 18:

Educational institutions receiving government funding should have policies to prevent discrimination and harassment of trans and gender diverse students, staff and parents.

Recommendation 19:

The Australian Government should expand the positive duty in the *Sex Discrimination Act 1984* (Cth) to cover protected attributes outlined in sections 5A, 5B and 5C of the Act.

4.1 Religion

- There are a range of religious exemptions under anti-discrimination laws which affect trans and gender diverse people. Because religious institutions run many social welfare services – usually with government funding – trans and gender diverse people are often uncertain if they can access these programs.
- Some trans and gender diverse people are also people of faith, but they can face marginalisation in some religious institutions and contexts.
- While some religious institutions welcome trans and gender diverse people, others exclude. Some of the religious institutions which exclude also have leaders who use hate speech towards the trans and gender diverse community.
- Conservative religious groups which express sentiments against LGBTIQ+ and trans and gender diverse people are growing in Australia.

Relevant human rights laws and principles

- **Article 18 of the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*:** states that ‘Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion. This right shall include freedom to have or to adopt a religion or belief of his choice, and freedom, either individually or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in worship, observance, practice and teaching.’⁶⁵⁴
- **Article 18** also states: ‘Freedom to manifest one’s religion or beliefs may be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law and are necessary to protect public safety, order, health or morals or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.’⁶⁵⁵
- **Yogyakarta Principle 21 – The Right to Freedom of Thought, Conscience and Religion:** states that ‘everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion, regardless of sexual orientation or gender identity. These rights may not be invoked by the State to justify laws, policies or practices which deny equal protection of the law, or discriminate, on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity.’⁶⁵⁶
- **Yogyakarta Principle 21** also says that States shall ‘Ensure that the expression, practice and promotion of different opinions, convictions and beliefs with regard to issues of sexual orientation or gender identity is not undertaken in a manner incompatible with human rights.’⁶⁵⁷

Affirming trans and gender diverse people of faith

There is little representative data on trans and gender diverse people in Australia's religious and spiritual beliefs. A small survey of multicultural and multifaith LGBTIQ+ Australians reported that 45% (48 respondents) were people of faith. The trans and gender diverse respondents identified themselves as Hindu, Christian, Jewish, Muslim and one just as 'spiritual'.⁶⁵⁸ The Forcibly Displaced People Network's 'Inhabiting Two Worlds At Once' report found that out of 21 trans and gender diverse respondents, 5 identified their religion as Islam, 3 as Buddhism, one Christianity, one Hinduism and one Judaism.⁶⁵⁹ Although these are small sample sizes, they show that trans and gender diverse people come from, and practice, all religions.

Trans and gender diverse people of faith can face marginalisation from both religious institutions and the LGBTIQ+ community. Reverend Josephine Inkipin, a trans woman who is an ordained Anglican priest and theologian, summarises: 'in the queer community, there still remains too much knee-jerk opposition to, and lack of real understanding of, people of faith. This feeds the right's wedging and weaponizing [of religion]'.⁶⁶⁰

One individual submission from a trans Christian who for 2 years was a church pastor stated:

There are many TGD people coming into their identity as TGD within their own churches, Christian schools, faith-based communities and families;

There are Christian parents and families who want to foster a loving environment for their TGD children, and seek out support from beyond their own faith communities or school.⁶⁶¹

Religion can provide protective mental health benefits for trans and gender diverse people. Indeed, Australian researchers have shown that 'With good pastoral care, faith and membership in a religious community can be key sources of protection and strength for LGBTQA+ people'.⁶⁶² In a systematic

review of adolescents who identify as trans and gender diverse, researchers concluded that while 'religiously motivated stigma from parents or communities resulted in worsened mental health outcomes ... intrinsic religiosity appeared to correspond to improved psychological well-being'.⁶⁶³

Religious interpretations of gender diversity

The UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) notes that most religious traditions do not exclude trans and gender diverse people.⁶⁶⁴ Several religions commonly perceived as opposed to trans and gender diverse rights have more complex positions or interpretations. For instance, some interpretations of Christianity support ideas about gender, gender diversity and equality.⁶⁶⁵ Equal Voices is 'an organisation advocating for the rights and inclusion of TGD people within the Australian Church'. Their submission stated:

Some churches celebrate TGD Christians and create places where people's whole identities can be lived – these places have all gender bathrooms, use gender neutral language in services and scripture readings, ordain TGD people as pastors and leaders, do not segregate groups based by gender.⁶⁶⁶

Submissions to the federal parliamentary inquiry into the Religious Discrimination Bill 2021 also demonstrated acceptance of trans and gender diverse people across multiple faiths. For example, the Buddhist Council of NSW submitted that 'Buddhism affirms equality of the sexes, diverse genders and sexual orientations'.⁶⁶⁷ Quakers Australia submitted:

Quaker organisations seek to treat all people equally and celebrate the gifts that diversity in age, race, ability, sex, gender and sexual orientation bring to us all. We have no desire to take up the 'sword' of discrimination against our fellow human beings.⁶⁶⁸

The Anglican Church of Australia Public Affairs Commission stated: 'From an Anglican point of view, LGBTIQ+ Anglicans

are members and leaders in our church, so preferences and benefits are just as much for our LGBTIQ+ members as others.’⁶⁶⁹

There is also emerging scholarship that challenges transphobia within Islam. These advocates and scholars emphasise that ‘Islam has always taken sides with the oppressed rather than with the oppressor since the day of its establishment, [including] taking a stand against transphobia, xenophobia and misogyny’.⁶⁷⁰ Through revisiting Islamic texts, their historical context and the meanings of the language, these scholars and advocates show ways that Islam can embrace trans and gender diverse people.⁶⁷¹

These examples show how religion can affirm and support trans and gender diverse people. However, trans and gender diverse people have reported mixed experiences with religious institutions. For example, the *Private Lives 3* study provides data about LGBTIQ+ respondents’ religious identities. Although the data did not disaggregate trans and gender diverse people, it still provides insights into their experiences with religion. The study found:

Participants who indicated a religion other than ‘no religion’ and reported belonging to a religious/spiritual community were asked to what extent they feel it is LGBTIQ inclusive/friendly. Of the 1,236 participants who identified as being religious and who indicated that this question was relevant to them, one third (35.1%; n = 434) responded ‘very’ or ‘extremely’, 20.6% (n = 254) ‘somewhat’, 22.6% (n = 279) ‘a little’ and 21.8% (n = 269) ‘not at all’.⁶⁷²

A few submissions highlighted how many trans and gender diverse Christians have fraught relationships with their faith and face discrimination.⁶⁷³ The same trans Christian pastor quoted earlier also highlighted that ‘many TGD people cannot practice their faith within their own churches, or the church of their choosing, within Australia, as these faith communities subject TGD people to discrimination, suppression of identity (conversion practices) and rejection’. The submission also noted a ‘lack of adequate training for religious leaders in supporting TGD people – particularly including lived experience and evidence-based information’.⁶⁷⁴

Religiously motivated hate speech and vilification

Some submissions noted that religious institutions may do more than just exclude trans and gender diverse people. Some religious leaders and followers spread hate speech towards the community, justifying it on religious grounds.⁶⁷⁵ Researchers commissioned by the Tasmanian Government Department of Communities surveyed 825 LGBTIQ+ Tasmanians. They found:

Three groups of people were identified as perpetrating hate speech against LGBTIQ+ Tasmanians in the current moment. The most mentioned of the three was religious organisations. LGBTIQ+ Tasmanians hated how ‘the views of these church leaders are often expressed in local media and that is very upsetting’. They identified a range of different groups (such as the Australian Christian Lobby, Catholic leaders, the preachers in shopping malls) as perpetrating hate speech against them in public spaces.⁶⁷⁶



Two submissions specifically mentioned Sydney-based group Christian Lives Matter as a cause for concern for trans and gender diverse people.⁶⁷⁷ Described as ‘a group of conservative Catholics, many of them from the Maronite church’, Christian Lives Matter has over 40,000 members across Facebook and Instagram. They use human rights language by arguing they are the victims of discrimination, pitting LGBTIQ+ rights against their religious rights.⁶⁷⁸ Reflecting on incidents during the 2023 World Pride Conference and Sydney Gay and Lesbian Mardi Gras, Anti-Discrimination NSW noted that ‘queer affirming faith communities faced homophobic vandalism and assaults on queer-inclusive faith spaces’.⁶⁷⁹

Violence during Sydney World Pride 2023

During and immediately after Sydney World Pride 2023, there was a series of anti-LGBTIQ+ incidents related to religion. On 2 occasions, vandals damaged rainbow steps at Pitt Street Uniting Church.⁶⁸⁰

A few weeks later, people associated with Christian Lives Matter attacked the group Community Action for Rainbow Rights. Members of that group were peacefully protesting a speech by then One Nation leader Mark Latham outside a church. Videos had circulated on WhatsApp days before the attack. The messages urged people to ‘drag’ the protesters ‘by their fucking hair’ and ‘defend our family values’. Both the Catholic Archbishop of Sydney and the Maronite Eparchy of Australia, New Zealand and Oceania condemned the violence.⁶⁸¹

Religion and conversion practices

Some submissions also criticised some religious institutions’ support for conversion practices and the spread of misinformation (see section 3.3). As one submission noted, even beyond formal conversion practices, ‘pastoral care often pressures TGD people to suppress their identities’.⁶⁸²

In Western Australia in 2021, a self-proclaimed supporter of ‘sound methods of conversion therapy’ visited churches to campaign against the banning of conversion practices.⁶⁸³

Rainbow Futures WA’s submission explained that the tour:

visited eight churches in the Perth CBD, Geraldton Anglican Cathedral and Albany Baptist Church for two events. They claimed to ‘tell stories of hope, vision and dignity beyond LGBTQ+’ but have been more accurately labelled as ‘gay conversion practice’ events.⁶⁸⁴

These reported examples of hate speech and promotion of conversion practices contribute to popular perceptions that many religious institutions are hostile to trans and gender diverse people. These perceptions affect all trans and gender diverse people – whether they are people of faith or not – because of the influence religious institutions and organisations have in Australia.

Exemptions under anti-discrimination laws

All federal, state and territory anti-discrimination laws contain some exemptions for religious bodies. Section 37(1) of the SDA provides an exemption for religious bodies to lawfully discriminate in certain contexts. For instance, provisions of the SDA do not apply to ordination, training or education of religious leaders and the appointment of people to perform religious observances. This exemption is generally uncontroversial.

What has attracted more attention from LGBTIQ+ activists and their allies is the exemption under section 37(1)(d) of the SDA. It applies to:

any other act or practice of a body established for religious purposes, being an act or practice that conforms to the doctrines, tenets or beliefs of that religion

or is necessary to avoid injury to the religious susceptibilities of adherents of that religion.⁶⁸⁵

Under this exemption, religious institutions and their incorporated bodies may lawfully discriminate on the grounds of sex, sexuality, gender identity and intersex status. This includes religious bodies which provide critical social services – often with government funding – in areas such as healthcare and welfare.

There is one area of service delivery where the exemption does not apply: federally-funded aged care.⁶⁸⁶ This exemption was included as part of the amendments to the SDA in 2013 because the Australian Government had received ‘significant feedback ... of the discrimination faced by older same-sex couples in accessing aged care services run by religious organisations, particularly when seeking to be recognised as a couple’.⁶⁸⁷

As discussed in section 4.2, section 38 of the SDA contains other exemptions for religious educational institutions. State and territory anti-discrimination laws also contain exemptions for religious bodies. The breadth of those exemptions varies across jurisdictions.



Many submissions discussed the religious exemptions under federal, state and territory anti-discrimination laws.⁶⁸⁸ For example, Rainbow Futures WA noted that the *Equal Opportunity Act 1984* (WA) ‘is filled with special carve-outs for religious bodies and educational institutions, allowing them to discriminate against trans and gender diverse people’.⁶⁸⁹ One LGBTIQ+ advocate called for the removal of these ‘special privileges that allow other publicly funded religious organisations to discriminate, across health, disability, aged care[,] housing and other essential community services’.⁶⁹⁰

Other submissions noted the physical harm that religious exemptions under anti-discrimination laws cause to the everyday lives of trans and gender people. This includes harm inflicted in education,⁶⁹¹ health,⁶⁹² and work.⁶⁹³ For example, ACON expressed concerns that the section 37(1)(d) religious exemption under the SDA is ‘allowing religiously affiliated hospitals and healthcare workers to refuse care to trans people on religious grounds’.⁶⁹⁴ The Gender Centre stated:

The ongoing influence of religious and moral judgement continues in health policy and funding decisions; research design, approval, and funding; education policies and curricula; and many other sectors that influence the health and wellbeing of LGBTIQASB+ [LGBTIQ+, Sistergirl and Brotherboy] children.⁶⁹⁵

Research from Equality Australia explored publicly available information from Australia’s 70 largest faith-based service providers. They concluded that ‘Almost 1 in 10 of Australia’s largest faith-based service providers publicly discriminate against LGBTQ+ people, with a further 4 in 10 unclear in their position on LGBTQ+ inclusion’.⁶⁹⁶ Although it is unknown how often religious bodies exercise their exemptions and discriminate against trans and gender diverse people, they have the right to do so. This may impact if and how trans and gender diverse people access services from religious-affiliated bodies.⁶⁹⁷

4.2 Education

- Under human rights laws and principles, everyone has the right to education.
- Trans and gender diverse people face significant social marginalisation in education facilities at all levels. Young trans and gender diverse people often withdraw from school due to feeling unsafe and unwelcome.
- Classroom teachers, school executives and administrative or support staff play a vital role in trans and gender diverse children and young people's education experience. Best practice requires dedicated teacher training and implemented school policies. Everyone should also have access to age-appropriate educational material on sexual, biological, physical and psychological diversity.
- Exemptions for educational institutions established for religious purposes under section 38 of the SDA are a significant barrier to inclusive education.

Relevant human rights laws and principles

- **Article 13 of the *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*:** 'The States Parties to the present Covenant recognize the right of everyone to education. They agree that education shall be directed to the full development of the human personality and the sense of its dignity, and shall strengthen the respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. They further agree that education shall enable all persons to participate effectively in a free society, promote understanding, tolerance and friendship among all nations and all racial, ethnic or religious groups, and further the activities of the United Nations for the maintenance of peace.'
- **Article 13(3) of the *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*:** 'parents and legal guardians have liberty to choose the school for their children.'⁶⁹⁸
- **Article 18(4) of the *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*:** 'parents or legal guardians have the liberty to ensure that their children receive a religious and moral education that is in conformity with their own convictions'.⁶⁹⁹
- **Articles 28 and 29 of the *Convention on the Rights of the Child*:** these articles recognise all children's right to education. Article 28 recognises 'the right of the child to education, and with a view to achieving this right progressively and on the basis of equal opportunity'. Article 29 states that education should be directed toward:
 - The development of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, and for the principles enshrined in the Charter of the United Nations
 - The preparation of the child for responsible life in a free society, in the spirit of understanding, peace, tolerance, equality of sexes, and friendship among all peoples, ethnic, national and religious groups and persons of indigenous origin.⁷⁰⁰

- **Yogyakarta Principle 16 – The Right to Education:** states that everyone has the right to education, no matter their sexual orientation or gender identity. It also says that States shall:
 - Ensure that education methods, curricula and resources serve to enhance understanding of and respect for, inter alia, diverse sexual orientations and gender identities, including the particular needs of students, their parents and family members related to these grounds.
 - Ensure that laws and policies provide adequate protection for students, staff and teachers of different sexual orientations and gender identities against all forms of social exclusion and violence within the school environment, including bullying and harassment.⁷⁰¹

Inclusive education

In 2019, all federal, state and territory education ministers signed the Alice Springs (Mparntwe) Education Declaration. It commits all Australian governments to promote excellence and equality in education. It also states that governments will work with educators to:

provide all young Australians with access to high-quality education that is inclusive and free from any form of discrimination ... [and] ensure that education promotes and contributes to a socially cohesive society that values, respects and appreciates different points of view and cultural, social, linguistic and religious diversity.⁷⁰²

In addition to non-discrimination, education research outlines the effectiveness of actively LGBTIQ+ affirmative schools. The affirmative approach focuses on ‘the sum effects of a school’s academic atmosphere, community of interpersonal relationships, physical and emotional safety, and institutional structures’.⁷⁰³

Australian federal, state and territory education departments do not provide information about affirmative education. However, the Aotearoa New Zealand Ministry of Education’s website ‘Supporting LGTBIQA+ students’ provides advice about how to make schools affirmative. The website includes:

- guidance on how to build knowledge about LGBTIQ+ identities
- the design of inclusive whole-school systems and processes

- ways to address immediate environmental and social needs
- tips to develop an inclusive classroom culture and curriculum.⁷⁰⁴

Ensuring safety, inclusion and affirmation requires dedicated school policies. Adequate training for teachers has a significant impact on the livelihoods of trans and gender diverse young people. Equality Tasmania, the state’s leading advocacy group for LGBTIQ+ people, noted in its submission: ‘there is a decreasing rate of complaints from the public school system due to an increase in teacher training and student pride groups’.⁷⁰⁵

Debates over inclusive education

In Australian schools, policies to support students with diverse sexualities and genders are ad hoc, uneven and unregulated. Teacher training to create affirming environments and support trans and gender diverse students is also patchy.⁷⁰⁶ In the absence of state-led strategies, it is up to other government departments, the community and non-profit sector to offer resources to support affirmative education.⁷⁰⁷ Individual schools or sector leaders (i.e. religious school bodies) decide whether they wish to adopt these resources.

When community and non-profit groups have offered resources to schools, they have faced significant pushback. Melbourne Bisexual Network wrote how groups and individuals critical of trans and gender diverse rights were:



Seeking to prevent young people from accessing information about TGD people, by censoring or manipulating curriculum;

Seeking to exclude TGD children and young people from schools altogether;

Threatening or terminating the employment of teachers who are TGD or who provide space for non-traditional views on gender.⁷⁰⁸

Several submissions discussed the rise of misinformation and disinformation occurring in and about schools. They were especially concerned with how false information portrays trans and gender diverse young people.⁷⁰⁹ The Victorian Pride Lobby said that ‘far-right targeting of the LGBTIQ+ community in Victoria is a long running issue, the root of which can be traced back to the campaign against the Safe Schools Coalition in 2016’.⁷¹⁰

In many instances this vocal opposition has led schools to cease promoting affirmative education. The most high-profile example of this was the Safe Schools Coalition. This was a program to support teachers to affirm LGBTIQ+ children and young people in schools. In 2016 conservative politicians and media ran an effective campaign of misinformation and disinformation. Four submissions cited the campaign against the Safe Schools Coalition as having a negative impact on trans and gender diverse people.⁷¹¹

Safe Schools Coalition

This was a program that began in Victoria in 2010 and in 2013 received federal funding to go national. The Safe Schools Coalition offered resources which teachers and school administrators could draw on to discuss sexual and gender diversity. Safe Schools had 2 principal aims: to combat bullying, and to affirm LGBTIQ+ children and young people.

In early 2016, conservative politicians and media began campaigning against the Safe Schools Coalition. They argued that the program was ‘sexualising’ young people and was inappropriate. Despite a government review saying the program was appropriate, politicians and the media continued to attack the program. Staff from organisations which were part of the Safe Schools Coalition reported harassment and threats of violence.

Every state and territory government except Victoria ended the program when the funding expired.⁷¹²

Parents’ rights

A minority of submissions expressed concern that inclusive education may conflict with parents’ rights.⁷¹³ For instance, Parents of Adolescents with Gender Distress – Victoria (PAGD) worried about a ‘systemic disregard’ for their right to be ‘protected by society and the State’. PAGD argued that:

contrary to the principles of both the Education and Training Reform Act 2006 [Vic] and the Child Youth and Families Act 2005 [Vic], school personnel have intentionally excluded and alienated parents from discussions regarding their child’s gender distress and mental wellbeing.⁷¹⁴

PAGD further claimed that schools ‘implement “social transition” without consulting parents’.⁷¹⁵ An individual submission similarly described ‘school policies which allow a student to socially transition at school without the parent’s knowledge or consent’.⁷¹⁶

Other submissions have raised concerns that the term ‘parents’ rights’ is being used as part of a campaign against LGBTIQ+ inclusive education and policies in schools. Research on far right and populist movements in Australia notes that ‘parental rights discourse depicts queer adults as predatory figures aiming to corrupt young people and erode the “natural” family structure seen as the foundation of “Western” society’.⁷¹⁷ Equality Tasmania described ‘parental rights’ discourse as a co-option of human rights language which ‘attempts to stamp out LGBTIQ+ inclusion initiatives in schools’.⁷¹⁸

Article 18(4) of the ICCPR and Article 13(3) of ICESCR focus on the liberty of parents with respect to the education of their children. These liberties sit alongside the rights of children.

Research shows that what scholars commonly refer to as the ‘anti-gender movement’ (see section 2.1) often targets policies and educational programs that promote gender equality, LGBTIQ+ rights and gender diversity. The movement opposes any sex education programs that include discussions of gender identity and sexual orientation.⁷¹⁹

Evidence shows that a majority of Australian parents do not agree with this perspective. Instead, the majority support inclusive sex education programs. A nationwide, representative survey of parents of children attending government schools (N = 2,093) found that:

The Australian media deploys the ‘conservative parent argument’ against gender and sexuality diversity-inclusive curricula [but] ... Over 80% of parents supported gender and sexuality diversity-inclusive RSE [Relationships and Sex Education] topics across primary and secondary government schooling. Approximately 60% of Australian parents endorsed a whole-school approach to gender and sexuality diversity-inclusivity in government schools.⁷²⁰

Submissions from trans and gender diverse people, activists and parents expressed concerns about schools which lacked comprehensive and inclusive sex education.⁷²¹ ARCSHS said that the ‘educational curriculum

rarely includes trans identities or histories, further contributing to the erasure of trans experiences’.⁷²²

Fundamentally, children have the right to feel safe being themselves. Part of that safety means being in a school environment that affirms them and where all children learn that being trans or gender diverse is part of the diversity of humanity.

Bullying, harassment and marginalisation

Many submissions highlighted that trans and gender diverse people face significant social stigmatisation and marginalisation in education settings. This happens at all levels, in early childhood, primary, secondary and tertiary institutions.⁷²³ The hostility and discrimination against trans and gender diverse people includes verbal and physical attacks. Equality Australia’s submission included this quote from a non-binary student:

I have been a victim of targeted discrimination at school and online since 2022, I am confident it still happens but not to my face. I had been getting misgendered, people would say my name wrong on purpose, they’d find my personal Facebook and make TikTok account[s] with offensive usernames and my deadname. I have also at one stage been told to kill myself repeatedly by one student.⁷²⁴

A psychologist who specialises in LGBTIQ+ mental health highlighted emerging research about the rise of masculine supremacy groups and influencers like Andrew Tate. The submission linked these groups and influencers to the promotion and normalisation of transphobia and queerphobia in schools.⁷²⁵ This suggests that discrimination against trans and gender diverse children and young people is part of a broader challenge of sexism and gender inequality confronting schools.⁷²⁶

Bullying and harassment – be it from peers or school staff – can lead to multiple poor outcomes for trans and gender diverse students.

Absence and connectedness

Two academics from Western Sydney University who research schools and wellbeing outcomes highlighted that ‘TGD students’ sense of connectedness to school [is] significantly lower than reported by mainstream high schoolers’.⁷²⁷ This is important because school connectedness is a significant predictor of educational success and attainment.⁷²⁸

Several submissions noted how discrimination and marginalisation within schools contribute to absence rates. The advocacy organisation Parents for Transgender Youth Equity said ‘that it is not unusual for TGD children to be unable to attend school for a period of time, which could be weeks or years, due to their experiences at school’.⁷²⁹ The Royal Children’s Hospital Melbourne submission noted that ‘trans youth are often unable to learn in traditional school settings, that remain unsafe’.⁷³⁰ This means trans and gender diverse children and young people are more likely to terminate education altogether.⁷³¹

Leaving school

Other submissions linked bullying, harassment and exclusion in schools with trans and gender diverse young people’s increased rates of homeschooling or discontinuing education altogether.⁷³² Parents for Trans Youth Equity gave the example of one parent who wrote:

My child stopped attending school midway through Year 3. I spent that 6 months struggling to find another school, then I realised that there was no school for us.⁷³³

Sexual harassment

At university, trans and gender diverse students are at higher risk of sexual harassment. The 2021 National Student Safety Survey found that 14.7% of transgender and 22.4% of non-binary survey respondents experienced sexual harassment in the past 12 months. This compared to 10.5% of cisgender women and 3.9% of cisgender men students.⁷³⁴

Role of education staff

Adults in schools – be they teachers, administrators or other workers – play crucial roles. They may take steps to prevent bullying and harassment, to respond to it, or to enable it. An audit of publicly available policy guidance for Australian government schools found that ‘educators often do not have the necessary supports or guidance in relation to addressing GSD [gender and sexuality diverse] biased-based bullying or providing relevant curriculum content inclusions’.⁷³⁵

Submissions reinforced the importance of how adults handle trans and gender diverse issues in schools. Teachers, administrators and other staff can bring their own prejudices. Even those who want to be supportive often lack comprehensive training or face barriers due to school policies or curriculum.⁷³⁶ Researchers who work on school inclusion for trans and gender diverse children and young people noted that:

parents of TGD students described educators who were unfamiliar with gender diversity and reluctant to acknowledge or affirm this – both at the broad conceptual level, as well as with respect to their child’s gender identity – with ramifications for their child’s wellbeing and desire to remain at school.⁷³⁷

Parents for Trans Youth Equity quoted this parent who sought school support to affirm their child’s gender:

I said to the [school] counsellor[,] look she’s you know a kindy kid, she’s changing uniform and I’m worried about her being bullied. If she needs someone to talk to can she come to you? And she said ‘I’m very busy and this isn’t my field of expertise’.⁷³⁸

The lack of school policies and procedures pushes responsibility for trans and gender diverse issues onto parents. A researcher and psychotherapist who regularly works with trans and gender diverse young people described parents having to advocate for ‘their children to be respected and included in school communities, particularly regarding toilet access, and use for correct names and pronouns’.⁷³⁹ Other researchers reported



that parents had to work hard to make schools accommodate their children's needs, 'particularly with respect to administrative recognition of their child's name ... and desired elements of the school uniform'.⁷⁴⁰

Religious schools and section 38 of the SDA

A large proportion of the Australian educational workforce works in schools affiliated with religious institutions.⁷⁴¹ Many submissions were concerned with the right of religious educational institutions and private schools to discriminate against students and staff on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity.

The SDA and state and territory anti-discrimination laws protect students and staff in educational institutions. *The Fair Work Act 2009* (Cth) also prohibits discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity in employment settings. These protections apply to teachers and other education staff.

However, section 38 of the SDA grants exemptions to 'educational institutions established for religious purposes'. In order to qualify for the exemption, the institution must be conducted in accordance with the doctrines, tenets, beliefs or teachings of a particular religion or creed.

Sections 38(1) and (2) permit these institutions to discriminate in decisions about the employment or dismissal of employees and contract workers on the grounds of sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital or relationship status or pregnancy. Section 38(3) permits these institutions to discriminate against students or prospective students on the grounds of sexual orientation, gender identity, marital or relationship status or pregnancy. These provisions permit discrimination when the educational institutions carry out the conduct in good faith to avoid injury to the religious susceptibilities of adherents of that religion or creed.⁷⁴²

In summary, section 38 means that religious educational institutions are exempted from important anti-discrimination protections in the SDA dealing with employment and education. This means it can be lawful for them to discriminate against trans and gender diverse students, contractors and staff.

Several submissions commented on the negative consequences of section 38. Equality Australia explained:

Section 38 of the *Sex Discrimination Act 1984* (Cth) allows religious educational institutions to expel a student or fire a teacher simply because they are transgender or gender diverse, among other attributes. Our national report on LGBTQ+ discrimination in faith-based schools found that there is a systematic suppression of positive and public expressions of LGBTQ+ identities and lives in religious schools in Australia, with independent schools more likely to be discriminatory rather than affirming places for LGBTQ+ people.⁷⁴³

As Victoria Legal Aid's submission noted, the broad exemptions under section 38 apply to a range of institutions all the way from early childhood education centres through to schools, colleges and universities.⁷⁴⁴

In March 2024, the Commonwealth Attorney-General tabled the Australian Law Reform Commission's review into Religious Educational Institutions and Anti-Discrimination Laws. This report gave practical advice for how to repeal section 38 of the SDA and amend section 37(1)(d). The proposed amendments would make it unlawful for religious educational institutions to discriminate based on protected attributes (including gender identity), while ensuring that these institutions could continue to uphold their beliefs.⁷⁴⁵ The Australian Government has indicated it will not proceed with any proposed amendments without bipartisan support.⁷⁴⁶

Religious schools and exemptions to state and territory anti-discrimination laws

State and territory anti-discrimination laws also have some exemptions for religious schools. Several submissions raised concerns about these exemptions.⁷⁴⁷ The nature of state and territory religious exemptions vary. Some are broad like the federal exemption. For instance, Anti-Discrimination NSW's submission commented on the *Anti-Discrimination Act 1977* (NSW) having broad exemptions:

ADNSW [Anti-Discrimination NSW] supports a review of the scope and breath of the exceptions for 'private educational authorities' in the ADA [*Anti-Discrimination Act 1977*]. For example, sections 38C(3)(c) and 38K(3) allow private educational institutions to discriminate in areas of employment and education on the grounds of transgender status.⁷⁴⁸

In October 2024, the NSW Government updated some of its legislation relating to LGBTIQ+ rights. Early drafts of the bill would have narrowed some of the anti-discrimination exemptions for religious schools and updated language to protect all LGBTIQ+ people from discrimination. The Government stripped these sections from the bill to secure parliamentary support. As a result, part 3A of the *Anti-Discrimination Act 1977* (NSW) (sections 38C and 38K), which outlines unlawful discrimination on 'transgender grounds', continues not to apply to private educational authorities. The *Star Observer* summarised that in NSW: 'religious schools and other institutions can fire, expel, or refuse to work with LGBTIQ+ people based solely on their sexual orientation or gender identity'.⁷⁴⁹

Other state and territory religious exemptions are narrower, and some state and territory laws have different exemptions for students and staff. The narrowest exemptions in the ACT, Tasmania and Victoria only allow religious educational institutions to discriminate when it relates to roles or activities where the religious belief or practice is an inherent requirement, such as clergy.⁷⁵⁰

The Northern Territory had similar narrow exemptions, but in October 2025 the Northern Territory Government amended the *Anti-Discrimination Act 1992* (NT) to broaden religious exemptions.⁷⁵¹ This was the first time an Australian government extended, rather than narrowed, religious exemptions to anti-discrimination law.

Research has found that LGBTIQ+ employees experience discrimination where exemptions exist. For example, teachers 'in NSW faith-based schools experienced organisational discrimination that was legal according to NSW legislation. They had no job security and no recourse to claims of wrongful termination of employment due to discrimination'.⁷⁵²

Inconsistencies in state and territory versus federal laws

The different approaches to religious exemptions have, in some jurisdictions, created a clash between state and territory versus federal law. This has come to public attention especially in Tasmania and Victoria. Representatives of educational institutions established for religious purposes have argued publicly that state anti-discrimination laws do not apply *because* Commonwealth

law overrides state laws. In Victoria, this is the subject of a court case where a non-binary teacher sued the Melbourne Archdiocese Catholic Schools over discrimination.⁷⁵³

In Tasmania, the Executive Director of Catholic Education Tasmania appeared before a state parliamentary inquiry into discrimination and bullying in schools. He said that protections under the *Anti-Discrimination Act 1998* (Tas) against discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity did not apply where there was an exemption under federal law. He cited section 38 of the SDA and said: 'If there's a conflict between state law and federal law on a particular matter, federal law always abides, overrules the state law'.⁷⁵⁴

It remains to be seen how courts would interpret the relevant state and federal anti-discrimination laws. The current situation leaves confusion, which can enable discrimination. Indeed, Equality Tasmania expressed the view that 'faith-based schools are prosecuting a crusade against "gender ideology" which demonises gender-affirming practices'.⁷⁵⁵ Amending or repealing section 38 of the SDA could resolve the inconsistencies. Otherwise, it will be up to a court to determine which law applies.



4.3 Employment

- Discrimination in the workplace is a pervasive issue which contributes to economic disadvantage faced by trans and gender diverse people.
- Discrimination in the workplace can be direct (i.e. bullying someone because they are trans or gender diverse) or indirect (i.e. requiring someone to select binary gender options on documents).
- Evidence shows that the most effective strategies move beyond preventing discrimination to more proactive organisation-wide strategies to affirm trans and gender diverse people in the workplace.

Relevant human rights laws and principles

- **Articles 6 and 7 of the *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*:** outline the right to work in favourable conditions:
 - **Article 6.1:** ‘States Parties are to recognise the right to work, which includes the right of everyone to the opportunity to gain his living by work which he freely chooses or accepts, and will take appropriate steps to safeguard this right.’
 - **Article 7b:** ‘States Parties are to recognise the right of everyone to the enjoyment of just and favourable conditions of work which ensure, in particular ... safe and healthy working conditions.’⁷⁵⁶
- **Yogyakarta Principle 12 – The Right to Work:** states that ‘Everyone has the right to decent and productive work, to just and favourable conditions of work and to protection against unemployment, without discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity’. The principle also contains provisions directing States to take necessary measures to eliminate and prohibit discrimination in employment and to ensure equal employment and advancement opportunities in the public service.⁷⁵⁷

Trans and gender diverse employment experiences

There is limited Australian data on trans and gender diverse people's employment numbers and experiences. Even when workplaces or studies collect data, many trans and gender diverse people often hide their gender identity for safety reasons. The 2025 Australian Workplace Equality Index (AWEI) Employee Survey found that 52.7% of trans and gender diverse respondents were open about their gender identity to all or most of their colleagues, while 47.2% were only open to a select few or to nobody at work. This represented a small increase on 2024 and earlier results.⁷⁵⁸

The data that exists about trans and gender diverse people's experiences in the Australian workforce paints a grim picture. *Private Lives 3* found that 46.5% of trans men (N = 138), 46.3% of non-binary people (N = 442) and 42% of trans women (N = 118) reported an income below the poverty line.⁷⁵⁹ A 2018 survey of 928 self-identified trans and gender diverse people in Australia found that:

The unemployment rate of 19% was three times that of the Australian general population rate of 5.5% in May 2018 and well above the youth unemployment rate (12.2%). Notably, 33% of respondents perceived discrimination in employment. Unemployment may also occur due to difficulty with name and identity documents, discrimination in basic housing and health care, and the impact of mental health conditions such as depression and anxiety on an individual's ability to seek or maintain employment.⁷⁶⁰

The TRANSform longitudinal study of 807 trans and gender diverse people found that, when compared to the general Australian population, the participants experienced 4 times' higher rate of unemployment. Furthermore, of those who were working:

- 1 in 5 (19%) were working multiple jobs out of necessity
- 1 in 5 (21%) were working in a job below their skill level
- 1 in 6 (18%) wanted and were available to work more hours than they were currently working.⁷⁶¹

Importantly, the limited data that does exist about trans and gender diverse people's employment experiences is not intersectional. That is, it does not break down the distinct experiences and challenges facing trans and gender diverse people from diverse backgrounds including First Peoples, culturally and racially marginalised people, age or people with disability.

Many submissions by trans and gender diverse individuals and non-government organisations suggested that transphobia contributed to hiring bias and unequal opportunities. However, they also noted that this was hard to prove.⁷⁶²

Research shows that trans and gender diverse people in Australia experience barriers in workplaces at both institutional and interpersonal levels.⁷⁶³ The Family Access Network's submission suggested that the growth in transphobic rhetoric in recent years has made it socially acceptable to express views against trans and gender diverse people and rights in the workplace.⁷⁶⁴ Your Community Health's submission stated: 'In our experience providing trans healthcare and a trans specific WorkSafe program, we hear about the types of discrimination trans people experience in the workplace, including physical threats and verbal harassment, malicious gossip, deliberate misgendering, [and] transphobic jokes'.⁷⁶⁵

Research shows that trans and gender diverse people face some of Australia's highest rates of work-related gendered violence, discrimination and harassment.⁷⁶⁶ According to research conducted by the International Labour Organization:

Many transgender respondents reported being rejected at the job interview stage simply because of their appearance. Problems within the workplace include the inability to obtain identity documents that reflect their gender and name, reluctance of employers to accept the way they dress, being discouraged from using bathrooms appropriate to their gender, and increased vulnerability to bullying and harassment by workmates.⁷⁶⁷



In the TRANSform longitudinal study, 1 in 20 participants (6%) reported being physically threatened, harassed or assaulted at work in the past 12 months. Furthermore, 1 in 15 (7%) had left a job in the past 12 months because they did not feel safe.⁷⁶⁸

Trans and gender diverse people also experience greater rates of workplace sexual harassment. ANROWS reported that in a survey of LGBTQ young people, '80% of transgender (binary and non-binary inclusive) participants had experienced WSH [workplace sexual harassment], which was significantly higher than the 74% of cisgender participants who had experienced WSH'. Thirty per cent had experienced 'intrusive comments about their anatomy'.⁷⁶⁹

'Time For Respect', the fifth national survey on sexual harassment in Australian workplaces, outlined the Commission's findings on the prevalence, nature and reporting of sexual harassment in Australian workplaces. The survey was conducted in 2022 with over 10,000 Australians. The survey did not distinguish trans and gender diverse people, except for those who indicated their gender was non-binary. Of the 62 non-binary respondents:

- 99% had been sexually harassed at some point in their lifetime
- 67% had been sexually harassed at work in the last 5 years.⁷⁷⁰

Impacts of workplace discrimination and harassment

Data from the TRANSform longitudinal study shows that workplace experiences can be positive for trans and gender diverse people. Of the 807 surveyed participants, 9 in 10 (88%) 'agreed' or 'strongly agreed' that they were treated fairly and respectfully by their supervisors, and 9 in 10 (89%) 'agreed' or 'strongly agreed' that they were treated fairly and respectfully by their coworkers.⁷⁷¹ That study and others also showed the challenges facing those trans and gender diverse people who are not being supported or respected at work.

Research shows that some trans and gender diverse people avoid mistreatment at work by hiding their gender identity, refraining from asking their employer to use their correct pronouns or quitting their jobs.⁷⁷²

Discrimination may come not only from colleagues, but also from clients or customers. A submission from 2 Western Sydney University education academics discussed harassment that teachers face from students, which affected their wellbeing:

Interviews with a small cohort of TGD teachers revealed the added injury of experiencing harassment by students, particularly without recognition of this or support from school leadership, and the impact of these experiences on these educators' confidence and desire to remain in the classroom longer term (Ullman, 2020).⁷⁷³

The 2025 AWEI Employee Survey found:

- 13% of trans and gender diverse respondents reported workplace incivility behaviours to their manager, grievance officer or equivalent person
- 15.6% of trans and gender diverse respondents called out workplace incivility behaviours *and* reported it to their manager, grievance officer or equivalent person
- 20.6% of trans and gender diverse respondents reported serious bullying behaviours to their manager, grievance officer or equivalent person
- 17% of trans and gender diverse respondents called out serious bullying behaviours *and* reported it to their manager, grievance officer or equivalent person.⁷⁷⁴

Workplace discrimination is one part of the broader, interrelated barriers to equality that trans and gender diverse people face. The Family Access Network explained some connections:

Misinformation and disinformation can lead to trans and gender diverse people experiencing harassment and discrimination in obtaining and retaining housing which has flow on impacts to trans and gender diverse people's ability to access and participate in employment and education to earn income and improve housing stability.

Experiencing anti-trans harassment, discrimination and misinformation in education and employment settings impacts trans and gender diverse people's ability to focus and perform well in these settings which can lead to education being discontinued or employment being terminated or resigned, which in turn impacts income and housing.⁷⁷⁵

The Positive Duty

Evidence shows that the most effective strategies move beyond preventing discrimination to more proactive organisation-wide strategies for wider culture change.⁷⁷⁶ In late 2022, the Federal Parliament passed the *Anti-Discrimination and Human Rights Legislation (Respect at Work) Act 2022* (Cth). This law amended the SDA to introduce a positive duty on workplaces to prevent workplace sexual harassment, sex-based harassment, sex discrimination, hostile work environments and victimisation.

The positive duty requires organisations and businesses to take reasonable and proportionate measures to eliminate these forms of unlawful conduct, as far as possible. This means workplaces must take *proactive* steps to prevent discrimination and harassment, rather than just being reactive to redress harms.

The Australian Human Rights Commission has enforcement powers to inquire into a duty holder's compliance with the positive duty and to ensure compliance with the positive duty. These powers include the ability to conduct inquiries, issue compliance notices, apply to federal courts for compliance orders and enter into enforceable undertakings.⁷⁷⁷

Federally, the positive duty does not extend to other protected attributes, including gender identity, sexual orientation and intersex status (as well as race, disability and age). Some state and territory jurisdictions have a positive duty which also applies to other protected attributes. For instance, under Victorian and Northern Territory anti-discrimination laws, the positive duty covers all protected attributes, including gender identity.⁷⁷⁸

Workplace policies and training

The implementation of workplace trans and gender diverse specific policies and practices is inconsistent and dependent on the goodwill or capacity of an organisation. Many workplaces do not have trans and gender diverse awareness training, gender affirmation policies or information technology systems that appropriately record gender. Inadequate representation of trans and gender diverse people often results in policies that do not reflect trans and gender diverse people's needs.⁷⁷⁹ One submission suggested inadequate training and affirmative policies may lead to trans and gender diverse people losing or changing jobs.⁷⁸⁰

Even where there are policies to protect or affirm trans and gender diverse people, cultural and attitudinal barriers persist. In many instances, the specific requirements of trans and gender diverse employees are incorporated into anti-discrimination or LGBTIQ+ policies. These often do not properly distinguish between sexuality and gender identity. In other workplaces, diversity strategies are often limited to women or First Peoples. Best practice suggests that policies need to cover sexuality and gender identity both together and separately.⁷⁸¹ These policies should also embed intersectional inclusion, rather than treating it as an 'add-on'.⁷⁸²

Workplace systems and data

Another barrier to inclusive workplaces is background checks and clearance processes. This links to some of the problems around self-identification discussed in section 3.4. One submission noted that trans and gender diverse staff must navigate their deadnames and legal names on all checks, clearances, certificates and other documentation.⁷⁸³ This also extended to superannuation, where funds may have binary gender options like F/M or Ms/Mr. This forces the employer or manager to have a conversation with the staff member to 'choose an option which we are all aware will not affirm them'.⁷⁸⁴ This shows how even when employers aim to affirm trans

and gender diverse employees, the systems often create barriers.

Gender binaries extend to high-level data collection about workplace employment. The Workplace Gender Equality Agency (WGEA) is an Australian Government statutory agency created by the *Workplace Gender Equality Act 2012* (Cth). WGEA's purpose is to promote and improve gender equality in Australian workplaces. One of WGEA's biggest jobs is to collect, analyse and publish data about gender equality in Australian workplaces.⁷⁸⁵ WGEA has traditionally framed gender in binary terms and has not focused on trans and gender diverse people. Organisations may voluntarily report non-binary data to WGEA.⁷⁸⁶

In 2021, ACON's submission to a review of the *Workplace Gender Equality Act 2012* (Cth) said about data collection:

ACON recommends that employers collect data to better understand gender diversity within their workplace to enable the provision of support. Disclosure by employees should always be optional but can reduce some barriers that trans and gender diverse people may face in the workplace such as identification, criminal record and/or reference checks, for example.⁷⁸⁷

Noting the above barriers, there are several proactive, practical steps workplaces can take to ensure culturally safe work environments. These actions also reflect many suggestions from the submissions:

- respecting trans and gender diverse people's genders, names and pronouns in person and on systems
- hiring of trans and gender diverse people in ongoing roles
- regularly sharing pronoun preference
- having inclusive bathrooms in addition to gender-specific ones
- having inclusive uniform policies
- having gender affirmation plans and leave
- providing trans and gender diverse awareness training
- undertaking trans and gender diverse inclusive data collection.⁷⁸⁸

Gender affirmation plans

In recent years, workplaces and institutions like universities have been developing policies and guides about gender affirmation. These guides or policies aim to support both employees who want to affirm their gender (often called ‘transitioning’), as well as managers and employers. One tool that many workplaces are using is the gender affirmation plan. Gender affirmation plans are a way for employers and employees to discuss how best to navigate matters like name changes, updating systems, how to disclose to colleagues and clients, personal presentation and access to gendered facilities or uniforms. The Victorian Public Sector Commission provides a good example of a guide to support managers as employees affirm their gender.⁷⁸⁹ Several workplaces – with the support and advocacy of multiple unions – have also introduced gender affirmation leave. Employees can access this leave to do tasks related to gender affirmation, such as medical treatment or to visit registries to update identity documents.



4.4 Sport

- Internationally, sport bodies have increasingly been banning or severely restricting trans and gender diverse people's participation in sport.
- In Australia, trans and gender diverse people face both informal and formal exclusion from all levels of sport from local community through to elite and professional sport. This happens despite the existence of guidelines and policies to support trans and gender diverse people's inclusion in sport.
- Trans and gender diverse people also experience harassment in gyms, leisure centres and public spaces.

Relevant human rights laws and principles

- **Article 27 of the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*:** states that 'Everyone has the right to freely participate in the cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts and to share in scientific advancement and its benefits'.⁷⁹⁰
- **Article 15 of the *International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*:** recognises the right of everyone 'to take part in cultural life'.⁷⁹¹
- **Article 31 of the *Convention on the Rights of the Child*:** affirms the 'right of the child to rest and leisure, to engage in play and recreational activities appropriate to the age of the child and to participate freely in cultural life and the arts'.⁷⁹²
- **The Yogyakarta Principles plus 10:** contains additional recommendations relating to sport. The recommendations are for sporting organisations to:
 - Take practical steps to create welcoming spaces for participation in sport and physical activity, including installation of appropriate changing rooms, and sensitisation of the sporting community on the implementation of anti-discrimination laws in the sporting context for persons of diverse sexual orientations, gender identities, gender expressions, and sex characteristics.
 - Ensure that all individuals who wish to participate in sport are supported to do so irrespective of sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression and sex characteristics, and that all individuals are able to participate, without restriction, subject only to reasonable, proportionate and non-arbitrary requirements to participate in line with their self-declared gender.
 - Remove, or refrain from introducing, policies that force, coerce or otherwise pressure women athletes into undergoing unnecessary, irreversible and harmful medical examinations, testing and/or procedures in order to participate as women in sport.⁷⁹³

Benefits of physical activity and sport

Sport and physical activity have important health benefits for everyone. This applies to people of all ages, including children and young people. Research on physical activity in trans and gender diverse populations shows a relationship between gender-affirming healthcare, physical activity and gender dysphoria. Gender-affirming healthcare contributes to better body satisfaction. This has the flow-on effect of trans and gender diverse people taking more interest in exercise and healthy physical activities. Physical activity also helps trans and gender diverse people to prepare for gender affirming procedures and maintain good mental health.⁷⁹⁴

Submissions pointed to the important benefits of trans and gender diverse people participating in sport and physical activity. Your Community Health stated:

As a healthcare service, we know sport is integral in maintaining positive health and wellbeing, through both exercise and community connection. For trans people who already experience poorer health and wellbeing outcomes, barriers to exercise need to be removed. Equal access to sport, free from discrimination, is a human right not all can enjoy.⁷⁹⁵

Research on improving physical activity and health outcomes for trans and gender diverse people consistently points to the need for safe and accessible spaces.⁷⁹⁶ Indeed, one study identifies that ‘the practice of physical activity and sports is ... an important coping mechanism that helps trans individuals deal with the gender identification process’.⁷⁹⁷ Because trans and gender diverse populations experience many health disparities (see section 3.2), it is even more important that those who want to participate in sport are supported to do so.



Anti-discrimination laws and sport in Australia

Trans and gender diverse people have been participating in Australian sports for decades.⁷⁹⁸ Under the SDA, it is unlawful to discriminate based on sex or gender identity in sport unless the different treatment amounts to a special measure or there is an exemption. The most pertinent part of the SDA is section 42:

nothing in Division 1 or 2 renders it unlawful to discriminate on the ground of sex, gender identity or intersex status by excluding persons from participation in any competitive sporting activity in which the strength, stamina or physique of competitors is relevant.⁷⁹⁹

State and territory anti-discrimination laws also have exemptions for sport. Like other exemptions, the breadth varies across jurisdictions. Anti-Discrimination NSW explained in their submission:

Section 38P [of the *Anti-Discrimination Act 1977* (NSW)] provides a broad exception permitting the exclusion of transgender persons from participating in any sporting activity for members of the sex with which the transgender person identifies. The exception does not extend to the coaching or administration of sporting activity. Other jurisdictions have narrower exceptions, such as allowing discrimination in competitive sporting activity for people aged 12 and over where the strength, stamina or physique of competitors is relevant.⁸⁰⁰

The ACT, Victoria, Western Australia, Queensland, South Australia and Northern Territory use similar language in their sport exemptions as the federal legislation: when ‘strength, stamina, or physique’ is relevant to the sport or activity.⁸⁰¹ Section 29 of the *Anti-Discrimination Act 1998* (Tas) allows for exceptions to sporting activities ‘by restricting participation to persons of one gender of 12 years of age or more’.⁸⁰² The Tasmanian clause explicitly reflects the main purpose of many of these exemptions: to legalise separate women and men’s sports. Yet, the clauses also apply when considering trans and gender diverse people’s participation in sport.

Guidelines for the Inclusion of Transgender and Gender Diverse People in Sport

In June 2019, Sport Australia and the Australian Human Rights Commission, in collaboration with the Coalition of Major Professional and Participation Sports (COMPPS), released the ‘Guidelines for the Inclusion of Transgender and Gender Diverse People in Sport’. These guidelines were developed in consultation with trans and gender diverse athletes and experts in inclusion and gender diversity. They offer principles to assist the sports sector around the participation of trans and gender diverse athletes, particularly at the community level. They outline the relevant legislative frameworks as well as ways sporting organisations and clubs can be inclusive through leadership, codes of conduct, uniforms, facilities and data collection.⁸⁰³

While the guidelines are not legally binding, many Australian sporting organisations have applied them. In August 2019, Cricket Australia launched its policy for trans and gender diverse players’ inclusion.⁸⁰⁴ In October 2020, 8 more peak national sporting organisations launched inclusion policies which aligned with the guidelines:

- AFL
- Hockey Australia
- Netball Australia
- Rugby Australia
- Tennis Australia
- Touch Football Australia
- UniSport Australia
- Water Polo Australia.⁸⁰⁵

Barriers and exclusion from sport

Despite the guidelines and anti-discrimination protections, research suggests that trans and gender diverse people in Australia are significantly underrepresented in sport and physical activity compared with their cisgender peers.⁸⁰⁶

Multiple factors are driving trans and gender diverse people, especially trans women, out of sport:

- the introduction of exclusionary policies from global sports bodies
- hostile sport environments
- a lack of appropriate policies at the grassroots and community level of sport.⁸⁰⁷

Other barriers to sport and physical activity are internal. For instance, many trans and gender diverse people experience dysphoria, fear and anxiety about other people's reactions and possible discrimination.⁸⁰⁸

Research in Australian sport has shown that discrimination and transphobia are common and persistent. This drives trans and gender diverse people away from sport clubs and spaces.⁸⁰⁹ Many sport and exercise professionals lack understanding and cultural competence to work with and cater to the needs of trans and gender diverse people.⁸¹⁰

Submissions identified other barriers trans and gender diverse people face. These include needing to provide evidence of legal and medical gender change. Parents for Trans Youth Equity cited work conducted with a university researcher that 'identified that parents navigate a complex set of legal documentation requirements to affirm their child's name and gender [which] is important for TGD children to ... play community sports'.⁸¹¹ Switchboard Victoria also noted that trans and gender diverse children and young people experience discrimination in sports in and out of school.⁸¹²

There is one notable exception to these reports about trans and gender diverse people facing exclusion: research has shown that trans and gender diverse people experience far lower rates of discrimination in queer and inclusive clubs.⁸¹³ These clubs demonstrate how affirming environments can support trans and gender diverse people.

Gyms and fitness facilities

The 'Free to Exist' report collected comprehensive Australian data about sport and sexual orientation, gender identity and sex characteristics. The report found that young LGBTIQ+ people are using gyms and leisure and fitness facilities at higher rates than they are engaging with organised sport. This is in part because these spaces do not necessarily enforce a gender binary. Trans and gender diverse people can do activities such as gym classes and weight training individually.⁸¹⁴

Yet, the report also found that gyms, leisure centres and public spaces may be sites of discrimination and harassment. Some trans and gender diverse participants spoke of being misgendered by staff and receiving intrusive and inappropriate comments from other patrons.⁸¹⁵

International debates about participation in sport

For decades, opponents of trans and gender diverse rights have used sport to argue against anti-discrimination laws or other initiatives to support trans and gender diverse people. Indeed, they have often brought up sport because of the passions it generates among the cisgender majority. It is easy to play on myths and misunderstandings about trans and gender diverse people in sport.⁸¹⁶

Debates involving sport and trans and gender diverse people have become more prominent in the last decade. The debates have focused especially on whether trans women have physical advantages which would impact on the fairness of sporting competitions. Policies, practices and discussions about sport often exclude non-binary people and trans men.

There are complex factors which influence sports performance. As numerous scholars who work in fields of kinesiology (sport science), law, policy and gender studies have written, evidence does not support claims that all trans women would, by virtue of having gone through male puberty, automatically have unfair advantages in sport.⁸¹⁷

The focus on trans women's perceived advantage and threats to safety disregards

the diversity of trans women. Indeed, as researchers have noted, opponents of trans women in sport make assumptions about both cisgender and trans women which arbitrarily set parameters of 'masculine' and 'feminine' bodies. These arbitrary judgements hurt not only trans women, but also people with IVSC (also known as intersex variations) and cisgender women whose bodies do not fit within supposed norms.⁸¹⁸

Both advocates and opponents of trans women's participation in sport have pointed to scientific studies to argue their cases. However, a literature review performed by the Canadian Centre for Ethics in Sport found that most studies referenced to oppose trans women's participation in sport 'have used either cis men or sedentary [physically inactive] trans women as proxies for elite trans women athletes'.⁸¹⁹

Another recently published evidence review found that evidence from 52 studies did not support the theory that trans women have an inherent athletic advantage over cisgender women.⁸²⁰

Internationally, the debates over trans and gender diverse people in sport have led many peak sporting bodies to ban trans women's participation at all or some levels. These bodies include World Rugby, World Athletics, Union Cycliste Internationale, International Rugby League and World Aquatics. Most of these bans apply only to trans women who went through 'male puberty' – meaning those who did not take puberty suppressants as children. Many of the groups, politicians and media calling to ban trans women from sport also oppose gender-affirming healthcare for children and young people.

Since 2021, the International Olympic Committee (IOC) has taken a sport-by-sport approach to trans and gender diverse inclusion – allowing the sport bodies to set the rules for participation in the Olympics. In November 2025, though, media reported that the IOC was preparing to release a new policy which would effectively ban trans women who went through male puberty from competing.⁸²¹



In recent years, some organisations which claim to support women's sports have framed trans women as a threat to cisgender women athletes or to women's sport in general. Critics have pointed out that these organisations focus only on trans and gender diverse people in sport, rather than other challenges affecting women in sport. For instance, one study with cisgender women rugby players found that they did not consider trans women's participation to be a priority. Instead, they cited as their biggest challenges: men's sexist and homophobic behaviours and attitudes, sexual harassment at social events, overt discrimination, subtle discrimination (e.g. prioritising men for physiotherapy) and unsafe and substandard training facilities.⁸²²

Australian attitudes towards trans and gender diverse participation in sport

Submissions from trans and gender diverse people, advocates and researchers opposed any formal or informal exclusion from sport. ACON argued that 'sport plays such a significant role in the lives of Australians and is often seen as the platform for change in societal attitudes. Sports policies and practices must pave the way for inclusivity, ensuring trans people can participate fully and safely.'⁸²³

A minority of submissions opposed trans women's participation in sport – often in the context of their arguments about single-sex spaces (see section 3.4). These submissions echoed international debates about unfair advantages and safety. For instance, one individual wrote:

Trans women, more often than not, are larger bodies which could inflict serious damage to a biological woman if there was a collision or stumble. This matter cannot be ignored for the safety of women, the integrity of women's sport and the rights of women to be comfortable and safe in whatever they wish to pursue.⁸²⁴

Women's Forum Australia's submission to Queensland's Birth, Deaths and Marriages Registration Bill 2022 also suggested that trans and gender diverse 'inclusion means that women and girls who would otherwise

have a chance to compete or to excel, miss out, either because a male athlete has taken their spot or their placing'.⁸²⁵

The public debates have led to bullying, harassment and discrimination against trans women in sporting environments. For example, submissions from ACON, Switchboard Victoria, Your Community Health and Inner City Legal Centre described sport as a key area where 'trans people are the targets of escalating anti trans and gender-based hate campaigns'.⁸²⁶ Illawarra Shoalhaven Gender Alliance cited members who reported that 'trans and gender diverse people, particularly women, [are] being verbally intimidated and harassed at places such as community leisure facilities resulting in a reluctance for them to participate in health promoting physical activities'.⁸²⁷

Community versus elite sport

The 2019 'Guidelines for the Inclusion of Transgender and Gender Diverse People in Sport' distinguish between approaches for elite or high-performance competitions versus grassroots or community sport. According to the Australian Institute for Sport (AIS), all national sporting organisations have their own ways to classify elite athletes.⁸²⁸ The AIS also has a framework known as FTEM to distinguish between elite and grassroots or community sport: Foundations – Talent – Elite – Mastery.⁸²⁹

Many of the debates about trans women in sport tend to conflate the grassroots and elite environments. At the elite and high-performance levels, policies need to consider sport-specific factors and the 'the range of competitive advantages and abilities that are already accepted in the cisgender population'.⁸³⁰ However, there are very few trans and gender diverse athletes competing and eligible to participate in elite competitions, both in Australia and internationally.⁸³¹

Most trans and gender diverse people participate in grassroots and community sport. Best practice at that level – as reflected in the 2019 guidelines – is to prioritise inclusion.⁸³²

5. Appendices

5.1 Appendix A: previous Australian Human Rights Commission documents addressing trans and gender diverse rights

- [‘Sex Files: The Legal Recognition of Sex in Documents and Government Records – Concluding Paper of the Sex and Gender Diversity Project’](#) (2009): This project explored legal recognition of people’s sex and gender on documents and in government records. The final report made recommendations about how to improve legal recognition and change of gender in government records.
- [‘Addressing sexual orientation and sex and/or gender identity discrimination: Consultation report’](#) (2011): In 2010 the Commission began a consultation process to hear people’s experiences of discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation or gender identity. The consultation report addressed a range of areas of public life, including: anti-discrimination laws, education, health, aged care, prisons and legal recognition on documents.
- [‘Resilient Individuals: Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, and Intersex Rights’](#) (2015): This report explored the discrimination and marginalisation faced by LGBTI+ people in Australia. It highlighted policy reform needed to address issues of systemic discrimination enforced through binary and traditional views of gender identity.
- [‘Religious Exemptions under the SDA – Information Sheet’](#) (2017): This document explained the provisions in the SDA that allow religious organisations to legally discriminate against individuals based on sex, sexuality or gender identity.
- [‘Guidelines for Including Transgender and Gender Diverse People in Sport’](#) (2019): These guidelines provided recommendations for sports organisations to create inclusive environments for trans and gender diverse individuals. The document emphasised how to retain fair competition while upholding the right of trans and gender diverse people to participate safely in all levels of sport.
- [‘Wiyi Yani U Thangani \(Women’s Voices\)’](#) (2020): This report brought together findings and recommendations from Australia’s first national consultation with Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women. The report includes the voices of First Peoples who identify as transgender, and as Sistergirls and Brotherboys.
- [‘Trans and gender diverse people’s rights in Australia’](#) (2026): These are 3 explainer documents which provide summaries of concepts and laws around trans and gender diverse people’s rights in Australia. There are 3 explainer documents covering an overview of trans and gender diverse people’s rights, legal protections and rights for trans and gender diverse young people

5.2 Appendix B: Yogyakarta Principles and plus 10 principles⁸³³

Principle 1	the Right to the Universal Enjoyment of Human Rights
Principle 2	the Rights to Equality and Non-Discrimination
Principle 3	the Right to Recognition before the Law
Principle 4	the Right to Life
Principle 5	the Right to Security of the Person
Principle 6	the Right to Privacy
Principle 7	the Right to Freedom from Arbitrary Deprivation of Liberty
Principle 8	the Right to a Fair Trial
Principle 9	the Right to Treatment with Humanity while in Detention
Principle 10	the Right to Freedom from Torture and Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment
Principle 11	the Right to Protection from All Forms of Exploitation, Sale and Trafficking of Human Beings
Principle 12	the Right to Work
Principle 13	the Right to Social Security and to Other Social Protection Measures
Principle 14	the Right to an Adequate Standard of Living
Principle 15	the Right to Adequate Housing
Principle 16	the Right to Education
Principle 17	the Right to the Highest Attainable Standard of Health
Principle 18	Protection from Medical Abuses
Principle 19	the Right to Freedom of Opinion and Expression
Principle 20	the Right to Freedom of Peaceful Assembly and Association
Principle 21	the Right to Freedom of Thought, Conscience and Religion
Principle 22	the Right to Freedom of Movement
Principle 23	the Right to Seek Asylum
Principle 24	the Right to Found a Family

Principle 25	the Right to Participate in Public Life
Principle 26	the Right to Participate in Cultural Life
Principle 27	the Right to Promote Human Rights
Principle 28	the Right to Effective Remedies and Redress
Principle 29	Accountability
Principle 30	the Right to State Protection
Principle 31	the Right to Legal Recognition
Principle 32	the Right to Bodily and Mental Integrity
Principle 33	the Right to Freedom from Criminalisation and Sanction on the Basis of Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, Gender Expression or Sex Characteristics
Principle 34	the Right to Protection from Poverty
Principle 35	the Right to Sanitation
Principle 36	the Right to the Enjoyment of Human Rights in Relation to Information and Communication Technologies
Principle 37	the Right to Truth
Principle 38	the Right to Practise, Protect, Preserve and Revive Cultural Diversity

5.3 Appendix C: Sample of international trans and gender diverse rights

This appendix outlines some examples of the experience of trans and gender diverse rights internationally. These are just select examples and not an exhaustive list of all nations and their initiatives. The examples are a mix of both positive and negative reforms around trans and gender diverse rights.

Criminalised jurisdictions

There are still many countries that criminalise trans and gender diverse people. The International Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Trans and Intersex Association (ILGA) is a federation of over 2,000 non-profit organisations worldwide that campaign for LGBTIQ+ human rights. In September 2020, ILGA published the most recent edition of its 'Trans Legal Mapping Report'. This report explored the legal situation in 143 member states of the United Nations. According to that report, 13 countries criminalised trans and gender diverse people through 'cross-dressing' laws. Punishments range from imprisonment and corporal punishment to death. These countries were Brunei, the Gambia, Indonesia, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Malawi, Malaysia, Nigeria, Oman, South Sudan, Tonga and the United Arab Emirates. There were 37 additional countries that have laws which disadvantage trans and gender diverse people, often through anti-homosexual laws and provisions.⁸³⁴

Since that report's publication, several countries have rolled back various trans and gender diverse rights.

Pacific

Most Pacific nations – including Tonga, Papua New Guinea, Solomon Islands, Tuvalu, Cook Islands and Kiribati – have no legal protections for trans and gender diverse people. In Samoa, workplace anti-discrimination law includes gender as a protected attribute,⁸³⁵ but the Samoa Fa'afafine Association has indicated that this does not apply to fa'afafine or fa'afatama.⁸³⁶ Fiji's 2013 constitution bans discrimination based on sexual orientation, gender identity

or expression.⁸³⁷ However, there are no provisions in Fijian law for people to change their legal gender.

Aotearoa New Zealand introduced self-identification legislation in 2023. This means individuals can change sex and gender markers on birth certificates based on self-identification. They do not require supporting evidence from medical practitioners to make these changes.⁸³⁸

In November 2025, the Aotearoa New Zealand Government announced a ban on prescribing puberty suppressants for trans and gender diverse children. This ban is in effect until the completion of clinical trials in the United Kingdom. That is not expected until 2031.⁸³⁹

Asia

Since 2014, India has recognised a third gender. The Indian Supreme Court ruled in *National Legal Services Authority v Union of India* that trans and gender diverse people have a right to legal recognition of their self-identified gender.⁸⁴⁰

Similarly, Pakistan introduced the *Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights Act) 2018*. This law guarantees fundamental rights to trans and gender diverse people and prohibits discrimination. In 2023, the Federal Shariat Court of Islamabad struck down some provisions of the law around self-recognition of gender.⁸⁴¹

Latin America

In 2020, Argentina passed a law that introduced a quota that reserves 1% of government jobs for trans and gender diverse people.⁸⁴² This added to existing legislation which recognises trans and gender diverse rights. For instance, the *Gender Identity Law 2012* says that everyone has a right to recognition of their gender identity.⁸⁴³

In February 2025, the Argentinian president issued a decree modifying the *Gender Identity Law 2012* to ban gender-affirming healthcare for children and young people

under the age of 18. The decree also limited trans and gender diverse people in the criminal justice system from accessing accommodation in their affirmed gender.⁸⁴⁴

In Colombia, legislators introduced the Comprehensive Trans Law into the legislature in June 2025. The law followed public outcry after the brutal murder of trans woman Sarah Millery. The bill would introduce anti-discrimination protections, self-identification for legal recognition of gender, the introduction of non-binary and trans or travesti gender markers, require data collection around gender identity and includes sections recognising intersectionality. The bill must still pass through the legislature – but it is the first time such a bill has made it to legislative debate in Colombia.⁸⁴⁵

Africa

As noted above, several African nations have criminalised trans and gender diverse people through cross-dressing laws.

South Africa tends to have the continent's most progressive laws relating to LGBTIQA+ people. The 1994 Constitution enshrines protections from discrimination on the basis of sex, gender and sexual orientation. *The Promotion of Equality and Prevention of Unfair Discrimination Act 4 of 2000* also outlaws unfair discrimination and harassment for protected attributes which include sex, gender and sexual orientation. Although the laws do not explicitly mention gender identity, courts and the South African Human Rights Commission have interpreted the protections to apply to trans and gender diverse people.⁸⁴⁶

On legal recognition of gender, as early as 1974 – under Apartheid – the *Trans Rights Law* amended the *Births, Deaths and Marriages Act* to permit people who had gender affirming surgery to change their gender markers. The post-Apartheid government passed the *Alteration of Sex Description and Sex Status Act 49 of 2003*. This requires someone to have undergone a medical or surgical procedure to update the gender markers on their birth certificates.⁸⁴⁷

Europe

European countries have been at the forefront of introducing and/or expanding equality laws to include trans and gender diverse people. For example, in 1972, Sweden was the first country to allow legal change of gender and introduced free gender affirming surgery. In 2024 the Swedish Parliament passed a new law that lowered the age of who can change their legal gender to 16 years.⁸⁴⁸

In 2023 the Spanish Parliament passed legislation extending protections and rights for trans and gender diverse people. The law introduced self-identification for anyone over the age of 16 to change their legally recognised gender. There are also provisions for children aged 12–16 to change their legally recognised gender either with parental consent or court approval. The law also banned conversion practices.⁸⁴⁹

The European Court of Human Rights and European Court of Justice have also played key roles to support legal recognition and protection for trans and gender diverse people. The European Court of Justice case *P v S and Cornwall County Council* (1996) concerned a trans woman who lost her job after disclosing to her employer that she was undergoing a gender affirming procedure. The European Court of Justice held that this constituted sex discrimination under the Equal Treatment Directive in the Charter of Fundamental Rights. This directive enshrines the right to equal treatment between men and women. The Court reasoned that under this right, a person being dismissed on the grounds of undergoing gender reassignment 'is treated unfavourably by comparison with persons of the sex to which he or she was deemed to belong before undergoing gender reassignment'.⁸⁵⁰

United Kingdom

Until mid-2025, human rights laws in the United Kingdom were relatively favourable for trans and gender diverse people. For example, the *Equality Act 2010* includes ‘gender reassignment’ as a protected attribute. This protects any individual who is ‘proposing to undergo, is undergoing or has undergone a process (or a part of a process) for the purpose of reassigning the person’s sex by changing physiological or other attributes of sex’.⁸⁵¹

Under the *Gender Recognition Act 2004* trans and gender diverse adults may legally change their gender. However, the process is not based on self-identification. Individuals must apply to the Gender Recognition Panel to receive a Gender Recognition Certificate. The application requires:

- the person to have lived in their affirmed gender for 2 years
- medical evidence confirming a diagnosis of gender dysphoria
- a statement of intent to live in their affirmed gender until they die.⁸⁵²

In recent years there have been other restrictions imposed on trans and gender diverse rights. For instance, following the publication of the Cass Review, in March 2024 the Health Minister banned the prescription of puberty suppressants to trans and gender diverse children through the NHS.

In April 2025, the United Kingdom Supreme Court ruled in *For Women Scotland Ltd v The Scottish Ministers* that, under the *Equality Act 2010*, the word ‘sex’ only refers to a person’s sex recorded at birth.⁸⁵³ Following the ruling, the UK Equality and Human Rights Commission (EHRC) issued interim advice that trans and gender diverse people could only access single-sex facilities (i.e. bathrooms) and services aligned with their sex recorded at birth. Human rights groups have noted that this has major negative consequences for trans and gender diverse human rights in the United Kingdom.⁸⁵⁴

United States

Across the United States, federal and state legislatures have been debating and passing laws to restrict trans and gender diverse rights. The Trans Legislation Tracker shows a significant increase in bills introduced and passed in the last 5 years:

Year	Number of bills introduced	Number of bills passed
2021	153	18
2022	174	26
2023	615	87
2024	701	51
2025	1,022	126

These bills have targeted trans and gender diverse rights in healthcare, sport, bathrooms, prisons and education.⁸⁵⁵

Since January 2025, President Donald Trump has issued several executive orders restricting trans and gender diverse rights. One executive order signed in January recognises 2 sexes – male and female – and says that gender cannot be changed.⁸⁵⁶ A week later an executive order banned trans and gender diverse people from the US military unless they used facilities and uniforms aligned with their sex recorded at birth. In February 2025, another executive order banned trans and gender diverse athletes from competing in women’s sports when there was federal funding attached.

Some state governments have responded to these debates and actions by strengthening protections for trans and gender diverse people. However, the current trajectory in the United States suggests more challenges for trans and gender diverse rights.



Endnotes

- 1 Amao Leota Lu, as told to Bobuq Sayed, 'Dancing with my Ancestors', in Sam Elkin, Alex Gallagher, Yves Rees and Bobuq Sayed (eds), *Nothing to Hide: Voices of Trans and Gender Diverse Australia*, Allen & Unwin, Sydney, 2022: 144.
- 2 [Language](#) [website], TransHub, n.d., accessed 19 November 2025.
- 3 [Trans Mob](#) [website], TransHub, n.d., accessed 20 January 2026.
- 4 ['Mental health findings for LGBTQ+ Australians'](#), Australian Bureau of Statistics, 27 February 2024, accessed 19 November 2025.
- 5 ['Darlington Statement'](#), 17 March 2017, accessed 19 November 2025.
- 6 ['Mental health findings for LGBTQ+ Australians'](#), Australian Bureau of Statistics.
- 7 [Trans Mob](#) [website].
- 8 Submission 5.
- 9 [The Foundation of International Human Rights Law](#) [website], United Nations, n.d., accessed 18 November 2025.
- 10 [International Bill of Human Rights: A brief history, and the two International Covenants](#) [website], United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), n.d., accessed 18 November 2025.
- 11 Human Rights Committee, *G v Australia*, Communication No. 2172/2012, UN Doc CCPR/C/119/D/2172/2012 (28 June 2017) at [4.14], [6.6] and [7.12]; Human Rights Committee, *MZBM v Denmark*, Communication No. 2593/2015, UN Doc CCPR/C/119/D/2593/2015 (12 May 2017) at [6.6]; Human Rights Committee, *Nepomnyashchiy v Russian Federation*, Communication No. 2318/2013, UN Doc CCPR/C/123/D/2318/2013 (23 August 2018) at [7.3]; Human Rights Committee, *Ivanov v Russian Federation*, Communication No. 2635/2015, UN Doc CCPR/C/131/D/2635/2015 (14 May 2021) at [7.12]; Human Rights Committee, *Alekseev v Russian Federation*, Communication No. 2757/2016, UN Doc CCPR/C/130/D/2757/2016 (9 June 2021) at [9.14]; Human Rights Committee, *Mikhailova et al v Russian Federation*, Communication No. 2943/2017, UN Doc CCPR/C/134/D/2943/2017 (29 August 2022) at [9.12]; Human Rights Committee, *Savolaynen v Russian Federation*, Communication No. 2830/2016, UN Doc CCPR/C/135/D/2830/2016 (23 Jan 2023) at [7.15].
- 12 [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights](#) (ICCPR).
- 13 Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, *General comment No 20: Non-discrimination in economic, social and cultural rights*, 2 July 2009, UN Doc E/C.12/GC/20 at [32].
- 14 Sonia Onufer Corrêa and Vitit Muntarbhorn, ['Introduction to the Yogyakarta Principles'](#), 2006, accessed 18 November 2025.
- 15 Michael O'Flaherty, ['The Yogyakarta Principles at Ten'](#), *Nordic Journal of Human Rights*, 2015, 33(4): 287–294. See also *F v Bevándorlási és Állampolgársági Hivatal*, case C-473/16, 25 January 2018; *Adheep Pokhrel and Tobias Volz v. Ministry of Home Affairs, Department of Immigration*, 28 June 2023.
- 16 Onufer Corrêa and Muntarbhorn, ['Introduction to the Yogyakarta Principles'](#).
- 17 [The YP+10](#) [website], n.d., accessed 19 January 2026.
- 18 UN Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women, ['General Recommendation No. 28 on the Core Obligations of States Parties under Article 2 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women'](#), 16 December 2010.
- 19 CEDAW Committee, *Flamer-Caldera v Sri Lanka*, Communication 134/2018, UN Doc CEDAW/C/81/D/134/2018 at [9.7].
- 20 CEDAW Committee, ['Concluding Observations on the eighth periodic report of Australia'](#), 25 July 2018, CEDAW/C/AUS/CO/8 at [4(d)], [49(e)], [50(e)].
- 21 United Nations General Assembly, Human Rights Council, ['17/19 Human rights, sexual orientation and gender identity'](#), 14 July 2011.
- 22 [LGBTI / Ten years since the first SOGI resolution, LGBTI history continues to be made at the United Nations](#) [website], International Service for Human Rights, 25 June 2021, accessed 18 November 2025.
- 23 [Independent Expert on sexual orientation and gender identity](#) [website], United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), n.d., accessed 18 November 2025.
- 24 [Secretary-General's Message for the International Day against Homophobia, Biphobia and Transphobia](#) [media release], United Nations Secretary-General, 23 May 2023, accessed 18 November 2025.
- 25 [LGBTQIA+ Rights](#) [website], UN Women Australia, n.d., accessed 18 November 2025.
- 26 Rodrigo Fontenele and Margarita Abi Zeid Daou, ['Transgender history, part I: An anthropology of gender-nonconformity across ages and cultures'](#), *Behavioural Science & the Law*, 2025, 43(3): 323–328.
- 27 Julia K. Moore, et al, ['Cass Review does not guide care for trans young people'](#), *Medical Journal of Australia*, 6 October 2025, 223(7): 333.
- 28 Damien W. Riggs and Kate Toone, ['Indigenous Sistersgirls' Experiences of Family and Community'](#), *Australian Social Work*, 2017, 70(2): 230.
- 29 Noah Riseman, [Transgender Australia: A History since 1910](#), Melbourne University Press, Melbourne, 2023: 139–140.
- 30 ['Rainbow terminology: Sex, gender, sexuality & other key terms'](#), InsideOUT Kōaro, June 2021, accessed 25 November 2025; Alan Weedon, ['Fa'afafine, fakaleiti, fakafifine – understanding the Pacific's alternative gender expressions'](#), *ABC News*, 31 August 2019, accessed 24 November 2025.

- 31 [Inclusivity for transgender people/irawhiti/fa'afāfine/fa'atama](#) [website], The Royal New Zealand College of General Practitioners Te Whare Tohu Rata o Aotearoa, 10 November 2021, accessed 25 November 2025.
- 32 ['Rainbow terminology: Sex, gender, sexuality & other key terms'](#), InsideOUT Kōaro.
- 33 Harlan Pruden and Se-ah-dom Edmo, ['Two-Spirit People: Sex, Gender & Sexuality in Historic and Contemporary Native America'](#), National Congress of the American Indian, 2013, accessed 24 November 2025.
- 34 Percy Lezard Dr, Noe Prefontaine, Dawn-Marie Cederwall, Corrina Sparrow, Sylvia Maracle, Albert Beck and Albert McCleod, ['2SLGBTQQIA+ Sub-Working Group MMIWG2SLGBTQQIA+ National Action Plan: Final Report'](#), April 2021.
- 35 Sandy O'Sullivan, 'The Colonial Trappings of Gender', in Elkin, Gallagher, Rees and Sayed (eds), [Nothing to Hide](#): 136–147.
- 36 Lou Rich, ['Gender Transgressions: Nonbinary Spaces in Greco-Roman Antiquity and Ancient China'](#), *Women's Studies Quarterly*, 2023, 51(3/4): 113–129; Domitilla Campanile, Filippo Carlà-Uhink and Margherita Facella (eds), [TransAntiquity: Cross-Dressing and Transgender Dynamics in the Ancient World](#), Routledge, London and New York, 2017.
- 37 Tess Wingard, ['The Trans Middle Ages: Incorporating Transgender and Intersex Studies into the History of Medieval Sexuality'](#), *The English Historical Review*, 2023, 138(593): 933–951.
- 38 C. Derry, ['“Female Husbands”, Community and Courts in the Eighteenth Century'](#), *Journal of Legal History*, 2017, 38(1): 54–79; Jen Manion, [Female Husbands: A Trans History](#), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020; Gary Kates, [Monsieur d'Eon Is a Woman: A Tale of Political Intrigue and Sexual Masquerade](#), Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2001.
- 39 Susan Stryker, [Transgender History: The Roots of Today's Revolution](#), 2nd ed. Seal Press, New York, 2017; Christine Burns (ed), [Trans Britain: Our Journey from the Shadows](#), Unbound Publishing, London, 2018; Patricio Simonetto, [A Body of One's Own: A Trans History of Argentina](#), University of Texas Press, Austin, 2024.
- 40 Lucy Chesser, [Parting with My Sex: Cross-dressing, Inversion and Sexuality in Australian Cultural Life](#), Sydney University Press, Sydney, 2008; Riseman, [Transgender Australia](#).
- 41 Stryker, [Transgender History](#): 1.
- 42 Noah Riseman, ['A History of Trans Health Care in Australia: A Report for the Australian Professional Association for Trans Health \(AusPATH\)'](#), AusPATH, May 2022: 9–15.
- 43 Riseman, ['A History of Trans Health Care in Australia'](#): 33; Ben Vincent, [Non-Binary Genders: Navigating Communities, Identities, and Healthcare](#), Policy Press, Bristol, 2020.
- 44 K.J. Rawson and C. Williams, ['Transgender*: The Rhetorical Landscape of a Term'](#), *Present Tense*, 2024, 3(2): 1–9.
- 45 Stephanie D. Clare, [Nonbinary: A Feminist Autotheory](#), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023.
- 46 Noah Riseman, ['Representing Transgender in the 1970s Australian Media'](#), *Gender & History*, 2021, 33(1): 227–248; Noah Riseman, ['Finding “evidence of me” through “evidence of us”: Transgender oral histories and personal archives speak'](#), in C. Summerskill, A. Tooth Murphy and E. Vickers (eds), *New Directions in Queer Oral History: Archives of Disruption*, Routledge, Abingdon, UK, 2022: 59–70.
- 47 Sandy O'Sullivan, ['No Cession: Indigenous trans people have always been, are, and will be. Proof is not required'](#), *Pipe Wrench*, summer 2022, 7, accessed 24 November 2025.
- 48 [Sex Discrimination Act 1984](#) (Cth), sections 3(b) and 14–27.
- 49 [Applying intersectionality](#) [website], Commission for Gender Equality in the Public Sector, 20 December 2024, accessed 18 November 2025.
- 50 Paula Gerber, [Sex, Gender & Identity: Trans Rights in Australia](#), Melbourne, Monash University Publishing, 2025.
- 51 ['National Action Plan for the Health and Wellbeing of LGBTIQ+ People 2025–2035'](#), Australian Government, Department of Health and Aged Care, 2024.
- 52 [Universal Declaration of Human Rights](#), 1948; [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights](#) (ICCPR).
- 53 [Convention on the Rights of the Child](#) (CRC).
- 54 [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights](#) (ICCPR).
- 55 [Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women](#) (CEDAW).
- 56 Yogyakarta Principles, [Principle 5: The Right to Security of the Person](#), 2006.
- 57 Yogyakarta Principles +10, [Principle 30 \(YP+10\)](#), 2017.
- 58 D. Callander, J. Wiggins, S. Rosenberg, V.J. Cornelisse, E. Duck-Chong, M. Holt, M. Pony, E. Vlahakis, J. MacGibbon and T. Cook, ['The 2018 Australian Trans and Gender Diverse Sexual Health Survey: Report of Findings'](#), 2019, The Kirby Institute, Sydney; E. Smith, T. Jones, R. Ward, J. Dixon, A. Mitchell and L. Hillier, ['From blues to rainbows: Mental health and wellbeing of gender diverse and transgender young people in Australia'](#), The Australian Research Centre in Sex, Health & Society, La Trobe University, Melbourne, 2014; S. Zwickel, et al, ['Factors associated with suicide attempts among Australian transgender adults'](#), *BMC Psychiatry*, 2021, 21(1): 81–91; N. Amos, A. Hill, C. Donovan, M. Carman, M. Parsons, R. McNair, A. Lyons and A. Bourne, ['Family violence within LGBTQ communities in Australia: Intersectional experiences and associations with mental health outcomes'](#), *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 2023, 20(1): 1316–1327; A.O. Hill, A. Bourne, R. McNair, M. Carman and A. Lyons, [Private Lives 3: The health and wellbeing of LGBTIQ people in Australia](#), ARCSHS Monograph Series No. 122, Australian Research Centre in Sex, Health and Society, La Trobe University, Melbourne, 2020.
- 59 Submissions 4, 10, 11, 15, 16, 18, 24, 27, 28, 32, 34, 35, 38, 40, 44, 45, 46, 47, 55, 84, 86 and 93.
- 60 Submissions 26, 28, 40, 41, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 61, 69, 75, 76, 86, 91 and 97.

- 61 Trans Justice Project and Victorian Pride Lobby, [‘Fuelling Hate: Abuse, Harassment, Vilification and Violence Against Trans People In Australia’](#), 2023.
- 62 Submission 22. Cited studies: J.M. Ussher, A. Hawkey, J. Perz, P. Liamputtong, B. Marjadi, V. Schmied, T. Dune, J.A. Sekar, S. Ryan, R. Charter, J. Thepsourinthone, K. Noack-Lundberg and E. Brook, [‘Crossing the line: Lived experience of sexual violence among trans women of colour from culturally and linguistically diverse \(CALD\) backgrounds in Australia’](#) (Research report, 14/2020), ANROWS, Sydney, 2020; L. Dean, et al, [‘Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender health: Findings and concerns’](#), *Journal of the Gay and Lesbian Medical Association*, 2000, 4(3): 102–151.
- 63 Submissions 22, 38, 50 and 61.
- 64 Submissions 48 and 57.
- 65 B. Fileborn and S. Hinds, [‘It is “part of this larger tapestry of anti-queer experiences”: LGBTQ+ Australians’ experiences of street harassment’](#), *Critical Criminology*, 2024, 31: 971–988.
- 66 Submissions 2, 6, 14 and 82.
- 67 Submissions 2, 6, 14 and 82.
- 68 Submission 82.
- 69 F. Rivara, et al, [‘The Effects Of Violence On Health’](#), *Health Affairs*, 2019, 38(10): 1622–1629.
- 70 Submission 18.
- 71 Submission 10.
- 72 Submission 22. Cited studies: H.M. Levitt and M.R. Ippolito, [‘Being transgender: Navigating minority stressors and developing authentic self-presentation’](#), *Psychology of Women Quarterly*, 2014, 38(1): 46–64; Ussher, et al, [‘Crossing the line’](#).
- 73 [Passing](#) [website], TransHub, n.d., accessed 13 November 2025.
- 74 State of Victoria, [Royal Commission into Family Violence: Report and recommendations, Vol V](#), Parl Paper No 132 (2014–16): 144.
- 75 Submission 50. Cited study: Madeleine Gibson, Ghassan Khassisieh, Alice Lloyd and Beth McCann, [‘There’s No Safe Place at Home: Domestic and family violence affecting LGBTIQ+ people’](#), 2020, Equality Australia and the Centre for Family Research and Evaluation, Sydney and Melbourne.
- 76 Submissions 11, 21, 47 50, 54, 73 and 84.
- 77 Submission 84.
- 78 State of Victoria, [Royal Commission into Family Violence: Report and recommendations, Vol V](#): 145.
- 79 State of Victoria, [Royal Commission into Family Violence: Report and recommendations, Vol V](#): 154; 157.
- 80 Submissions 22, 44, 47, 54, 65 and 92.
- 81 D. Callander, et al, [‘The 2018 Australian Trans and Gender Diverse Sexual Health Survey: Report of Findings’](#): 10.
- 82 Submissions 22 and 44.
- 83 Submission 44.
- 84 E. Layard, J. Parker, T. Cook, J. Murray, N. Asquith, B. Fileborn, R. Mason, A. Barnes, A. Dwyer and S. Mortimer, [‘LGBTQ+ peoples experiences and perceptions of sexual violence’](#), ACON research summary report, 2022.
- 85 Submission 47.
- 86 A.O. Hill, A. Lyons, J. Jones, I. McGowan, M. Carman, M. Parsons, J. Power and A. Bourne, [Writing Themselves In 4: The health and wellbeing of LGBTQA+ young people in Australia](#), ARCSHS Monograph series number 124, Australian Research Centre in Sex, Health and Society, La Trobe University, Melbourne, February 2021: 157.
- 87 A.O. Hill, N. Amos, A. Bourne, M. Parsons, C. Bigby, M. Carman and A. Lyons, [‘Violence, abuse, neglect and exploitation of LGBTQA+ people with disability: a secondary analysis of data from two national surveys’](#), Australian Research Centre in Sex, Health and Society, La Trobe University, Melbourne, November 2022.
- 88 Submission 22. Cited studies: T. Fletcher, ‘Trans sex workers: Negotiating sex, gender, and non-normative desire’, in S. van de Meulen, E.M. Durisin and V. Love (eds), [Selling sex: Experience, advocacy, and research on sex work in Canada](#), University of British Columbia Press, Vancouver: 65–73; L.A. Sausa, J. Keatley and D. Operario, [‘Perceived risks and benefits of sex work among transgender women of color in San Francisco’](#), *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 2007, 36(6): 768–777; Ussher, et al, , [‘Crossing the line’](#).
- 89 K. McCausland, R. Lobo, M. Lazarou, J. Hallett, J. Bates, B. Donovan and L.A. Selvey, [“‘It is stigma that makes my work dangerous’: experiences and consequences of disclosure, stigma and discrimination among sex workers in Western Australia’](#), *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, 2022, 24(2): 180–195.
- 90 Submissions 4 and 24.
- 91 Submission 44. Cited study: Ussher, et al, [‘Crossing the line’](#).

- 92 Kurt Sengul and Barrie Shannon, [‘Mainstreaming LGBTQIA+ hate: The far-right’s anti-gender countermovement in Australia’](#), *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 11 December 2025. See also: Elise Thomas, [‘A Year of Hate: Anti-Drag Mobilisation Efforts Targeting LGBTQ+ People in Australia’](#), 2024, The Institute of Strategic Dialogue; S. Elizabeth Corredor, [‘Unpacking “gender ideology” and the global right’s antigender countermovement’](#), *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, 2019, 44(3): 613–638; T.J. Billard, [‘“Gender-Critical” Discourse as Disinformation: Unpacking TERF Strategies of Political Communication’](#), *Women’s Studies in Communication*, 2023, 46(2): 235–243; Roman Kuhar and Rok Smrdelj, ‘The Rise of Anti-Gender Mobilizations’, in Rok Smrdelj and Roman Kuhar (eds), [‘Anti-Gender Mobilizations in Europe and the Feminist Response’](#), Palgrave Macmillan, Cham, Switzerland, 2025: 1–5; Juliana Martínez, Ángela Duarte and María Juliana Rojas, [‘Manufacturing Moral Panic: Weaponizing Children to Undermine Gender Justice and Human Rights’](#), 2021, The Elevate Children Funders Group and Global Philanthropy Project (GPP); Michael Burke and Matthew Klugman, [‘Trans* Athletes in Sport: Not Ceding to the Sex/Gender Binary of Gender Critical Feminism’](#), *Sociology of Sport Journal*, 2025, 42: 270–279. See also submissions 4, 11, 13, 15, 16, 24, 26, 27, 34, 37, 38, 40, 41, 49, 54, 57, 61, 67, 71, 74, 75, 77, 86, 87, 90, 93 and 95.
- 93 Submission 13.
- 94 Martínez, Duarte and Rojas, [‘Manufacturing Moral Panic’](#).
- 95 Sengul and Shannon, [‘Mainstreaming LGBTQIA+ hate’](#).
- 96 Submission 54.
- 97 Sarah Lamble, [‘Confronting complex alliances: Situating Britain’s gender critical politics within the wider transnational anti-gender movement’](#), *Journal of Lesbian Studies*, 2024, 28(3): 504–517.
- 98 H. Sältenberg, S. Díaz Fernández and P. Caravantes, [‘Two Gender-Equal Nations? Anti-Gender Re-Configurations of National Belonging in Sweden and Spain’](#), *NORA - Nordic Journal of Feminist and Gender Research*, 2025, 33(1): 105. See also R. Kuhar and D. Paternotte (eds), [‘Anti-gender campaigns in Europe: Mobilizing against equality’](#), Rowman & Littlefield, Lanham, MD, 2017.
- 99 Roman Kuhar and Rok Smrdelj, [‘The Rise of Anti-Gender Mobilizations’](#), in Smrdelj and Kuhar (eds), *Anti-Gender Mobilizations in Europe and the Feminist Response*: 1–5.
- 100 Agnieszka Graff, [‘“Gender Ideology”: Weak Concepts, Powerful Politics’](#), *Religion & Gender*, 2016, 6(2): 268–272.
- 101 Corredor, [‘Unpacking “gender ideology” and the global right’s antigender countermovement’](#): 613–638.
- 102 S. Duffy, [‘“Ideological colonising”: The influence of anti-gender movements on domestic and international human rights law’](#), in T.P. Paige C. O’Hara (eds), [‘Queer encounters with international law’](#), Routledge, Abingdon, 2024, UK: 175. Original emphasis. See also Agnieszka Graff and Elżbieta Korolczuk, [‘Anti-Gender Politics in the Populist Moment’](#), Taylor & Francis, Abingdon, 2021.
- 103 H. McEwan and L. Narayanaswamy, [‘The international anti-gender movement: Understanding the rise of anti-gender discourses in the context of development, human rights and social protection’](#), The United Nations Research Institute for Social Development (UNRISD), May 2023.
- 104 Elżbieta Korolczuk, Agnieszka Graff and Johanna Kantola, [‘Gender Danger: Mapping a decade of research on anti-gender politics’](#), *Journal of Gender Studies*, 2025, 34(5): 621–640.
- 105 C. Jones, V. Trott, B. Robards and S. Roberts, [‘“You can’t trust the mainstream media”: exploring shifts in racist, homophobic, transphobic, sexist, antisemitic and Islamophobic sentiment within Australian far-right alternative news media’](#), *Media International Australia*, 2024: 15. See also Sengul and Shannon, [‘Mainstreaming LGBTQIA+ hate’](#).
- 106 Sengul and Shannon, [‘Mainstreaming LGBTQIA+ hate’](#): 8.
- 107 C. Jones and J. Slater, [‘The toilet debate: Stalling trans possibilities and defending “women’s protected spaces”’](#), *The Sociological Review*, 2020, 68(4): 838.
- 108 Sengul and Shannon, [‘Mainstreaming LGBTQIA+ hate’](#): 14.
- 109 Quinnehtukqut McLamore and Kat Fuller, [‘Dynamics of Transphobic Content and Disinformation: Introduction to the Special Issue’](#), *Bulletin of Applied Transgender Studies*, 2025, 4(1–3): 1–14; Martínez, Duarte and Rojas, [‘Manufacturing Moral Panic’](#).
- 110 Submission 47.
- 111 Beck Corby, [‘“No one really knows”: The Impact of Structural Ignorance on Transphobic Disinformation’](#), *Transgender Studies Bulletin*, 2025, 4(1–3): 15–39; Billard, [‘“Gender-Critical” Discourse as Disinformation’](#): 235–243.
- 112 Submission 4.
- 113 Submission 48.
- 114 Submission 15.
- 115 Martínez, Duarte and Rojas, [‘Manufacturing Moral Panic’](#): 10.
- 116 Submission 49.
- 117 Submission 49
- 118 Sav Zwickl, et al, [‘Exposure to anti-trans rhetoric, policies, and violence: associations with mental health outcomes in Australian trans and gender diverse communities’](#), 21 July 2025, accessed 3 December 2025.
- 119 Centre on Extremism, [‘Extremists Target LGBTQ+ Community During Pride Month’](#), Anti-Defamation League, 15 June 2022, accessed 11 November 2025; S. Brightman, E. Lenning, K.J. Lurie and C. DeJong, [‘Anti-Transgender Ideology, Laws, and Homicide: An Analysis of the Trifecta of Violence’](#), *Homicide Studies*, 2023, 28(3): 251–269.
- 120 Submissions 10, 11, 13, 38, 41, 45, 48, 49, 50, 55, 56, 61, 68, 69, 71, 74, 77, 80, 86, 90 and 91.
- 121 Submission 10.
- 122 Submission 74.

123 Submission 74.

124 Submission 10.

125 Submission 10.

126 For example, submissions 10, 11, 15, 18, 28, 35, 40, 41, 47, 49, 74 and 78.

127 Submission 40. See also submission 27.

128 Submission 47.

129 Elle Hardy, [‘Inside the Save The Children conspiracy theory that’s targeting suburban mums in the US’](#), *ABC News*, 20 September 2020, accessed 2 January 2026.

130 Submissions 15, 87 and 90.

131 Sengul and Shannon, [‘Mainstreaming LGBTQIA+ hate’](#). See also Max Soar, Sophie Beaumont and Alex Ker, [‘Anti-vax, anti-mask, anti-gender: The transphobic turn of the “freedom movement” during COVID-19’](#), *Crime, Media, Culture: An International Journal*, 20 June 2025.

132 Sengul and Shannon, [‘Mainstreaming LGBTQIA+ hate’](#).

133 Submission 15.

134 Submissions 15, 28 and 93.

135 Joanna McIntyre, [‘Explainer: the difference between being transgender and doing drag’](#), *The Conversation*, 27 July 2018, accessed 11 November 2025.

136 Matteo Vergani, Andrea Giovannetti and Dan Goodhardt, [‘Tracking the 2023 wave of anti-trans and anti-drag mobilisation in Australia’](#), 2025, Deakin University: 3.

137 Submission 15.

138 Submission 93. See also submission 28.

139 Submission 15. See also Tim Squirrel and Jacob Davey, [‘A Year of Hate: Understanding Threats and Harassment Targeting Drag Shows and the LGBTQ+ Community’](#), Institute for Strategic Dialogue, 2023.

140 Submission 34.

141 [Discrimination Act 1991](#) (ACT), section 67A Unlawful vilification.

142 [Criminal Code 2002](#) (ACT), section 750 Serious vilification.

143 [Anti-Discrimination Act 1977](#) (NSW), Division 5 Transgender vilification.

144 [Crimes Act 1900](#) (NSW), section 93Z Offence of publicly threatening or inciting violence on grounds of race, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity or intersex or HIV/AIDS status.

145 [Anti-Discrimination Act 1992](#) (NT), section 20A Inciting hatred, serious contempt or severe ridicule because of attribute

146 [Anti-Discrimination Act 1991](#) (Qld), section 124A Vilification on grounds of race, religion, sexuality, sex characteristics or gender identity unlawful.

147 [MEDIA STATEMENT: Crisafulli Government to consult on anti-discrimination laws](#) [media release], Attorney-General and Minister for Justice and Minister for Integrity, The Honourable Deb Frecklington, 14 March 2025, accessed 16 February 2026.

148 [Criminal Code Act 1899](#) (Qld), section 52A Offence of serious racial, religious, sexuality, sex characteristics or gender identity vilification.

149 [Anti-Discrimination Act 1998](#) (Tas), section 19 Inciting hatred.

150 New sections 102D Unlawful vilification and 102E Unlawful vilification – incitement will be introduced to the *Equal Opportunity Act 2010* from 30 June 2026. These amendments came from the [Justice Legislation Amendment \(Anti-vilification and Social Cohesion\) Act 2025](#) (Vic).

151 [Crimes Act 1958](#) (Vic), sections 195N Incitement on ground of protected attribute, 195O Threaten physical harm or property damage on ground of protected attribute.

152 Submissions 28, 30, 32, 34, 37, 40, 45, 71 and 73.

153 Submission 28.

154 Submission 34.

155 Submission 40.

156 M. Mitchell, A. McCrory, I. Skaburskis and B. Appleton, [‘Criminalising Gender Diversity: Trans and Gender Diverse People’s Experiences with the Victorian Criminal Legal System’](#), *International Journal for Crime, Justice and Social Democracy*, 2022, 11(2): 99–112; Adrien McCrory, [Policing \(trans\)gender: Trans and gender diverse interactions with the Australian criminal legal system since the twentieth century](#), PhD thesis, Australian Catholic University, 2023.

157 Submission 24.

158 G. Lim, S. Lusby, M. Carman and A. Bourne, [‘LGBTQ Victim-Survivors’ Experiences and Negotiations of Service Worker and Service System Discrimination’](#), *Journal of Family Violence*, 2023, 40(1): 181–195; Ussher, et al, [‘Crossing the line’](#). See also submission 27.

159 Submission 84.

160 Ussher, et al, [‘Crossing the line’](#).

146 Equal identities: A human rights review of the experiences of trans and gender diverse people in Australia

- 161 Submission 22. Cited studies: Ussher, et al, '[Crossing the line](#)'; M. Salter, E. Conroy, M. Dragiewicz, J. Burke, J. Ussher, W. Middleton, S. Vilenica, B. Martin Monzon and K. Noack-Lundberg, ["A deep wound under my heart": Constructions of complex trauma and implications for women's wellbeing and safety from violence](#)', (Research report, 12/2020), ANROWS, Sydney, 2020.
- 162 State of Victoria, [Royal Commission into Family Violence: Report and recommendations, Vol V](#): 159-160.
- 163 Yogyakarta Principles +10, [Principle 36 \(YP+10\)](#), 2017.
- 164 Ussher, et al, '[Crossing the line](#)'; S. Evelyn, E.M. Clancy, B. Klettke and R. Tatnell, '[A phenomenological investigation into cyberbullying as experienced by people identifying as transgender or gender diverse](#)', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 2022, 19(11): 1-13; A. Powell, A.J. Scott and N. Henry, '[Digital harassment and abuse: Experiences of sexuality and gender minority adults](#)', *European Journal of Criminology*, 2020, 17(2): 199-223.
- 165 Submissions 57 and 74
- 166 Submissions 4, 10, 11, 16, 15, 18, 22, 26, 34, 44, 46, 49, 57, 61, 71, 73, 75, 95 and 97.
- 167 Submission 10.
- 168 Submission 77.
- 169 Submissions 10, 15, 26, 43, 46, 47, 50, 55, 71, 75, 76, 86, 90 and 97.
- 170 Submission 74.
- 171 Lydia Channon and Nicola Mathieson, '[Automated Detection of Mainstreamed Transphobic Content on YouTube](#)', *Bulletin of Applied Transgender Studies*, 2025, 4(1-3): 51.
- 172 Submissions 34, 41, 47, 48 and 49. Cited study: Trans Justice Project and Victorian Pride Lobby, '[Fuelling Hate](#)': 6.
- 173 [How common is cyberbullying among children in Australia?](#) [website], eSafety Commissioner, 27 June 2025, accessed 12 November 2025; [How common are experiences of seeing online hate among children in Australia?](#) [website], eSafety Commissioner, 23 July 2025, accessed 12 November 2025; eSafety Commissioner, '[Digital use and risk: Online platform engagement among children aged 10 to 15](#)', July 2025: 17; 29.
- 174 Submission 97.
- 175 Submission 49.
- 176 eSafety Commissioner, '[Protecting voices at risk online](#)', December 2020: 25.
- 177 eSafety Commissioner, '[Protecting voices at risk online](#)': 26.
- 178 eSafety Commissioner, '[Protecting LGBTIQ+ voices online: resource development research. Qualitative report](#)', August 2021.
- 179 Submission 40.
- 180 Submissions 22 and 44.
- 181 Bronwyn Carlson and Madi Day, 'Technology-Facilitated Abuse: The Need for Indigenous Led Research and Response', in B. Harris and D. Woodlock (eds), [Technology and Domestic Violence: Victimisation, Perpetration and Responses](#), Routledge, London, 2021: 33-48; Bronwyn Carlson, '[Love and hate at the Cultural Interface: Indigenous Australians and dating apps](#)', *Journal of Sociology*, 2020, 56(2): 133-150.
- 182 Submission 43.
- 183 Submissions 15, 34, 40, 43, 48, 49, 61 and 97.
- 184 B. Anderson and M.A. Wood, '[Harm imbrication and virtualised violence: Reconceptualising the harms of doxxing](#)', *International Journal for Crime, Justice and Social Democracy*, 2022, 11(1): 196.
- 185 Submission 34.
- 186 Submission 26.
- 187 Submission 86.
- 188 Submission 61.
- 189 [Criminal Code Act 1995 \(Cth\)](#), section 474.17D.
- 190 [Social media age restrictions](#) [website], eSafety Commissioner, 4 November 2025, accessed 12 November 2025.
- 191 Lorraine Finlay and Anne Hollonds, [Australian parents and kids deserve better](#) [media release], Australian Human Rights Commission, 25 November 2024, accessed 12 November 2025.
- 192 eSafety Commissioner, '[Digital use and risk: Online platform engagement among children aged 10 to 15](#)', July 2025: 7-13.
- 193 Submission 94.
- 194 P. Byron and L. McDaid, '[Informal digital peer support for mental health: Understanding the digital support practices of LGBTIQ+ young people in Australia](#)', *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, 2025: 1-18.
- 195 eSafety Commissioner, '[Digital use and risk](#)': 13; 29.
- 196 Benjamin Hanckel and Shiva Chandra, '[Social Media insights from sexuality and gender diverse young people during COVID-19](#)', Western Sydney University, Sydney, 2021: 29.
- 197 Hanckel and Chandra, '[Social Media insights from sexuality and gender diverse young people during COVID-19](#)': 2.
- 198 Benjamin Hanckel, Son Vivienne, Paul Byron, Brady Robards and Brendan Churchill, '["That's not necessarily for them": LGBTIQ+ young people, social media platform affordances and identity curation](#)', *Media, Culture & Society*, 2019, 41(8): 1261-1278.

- 199 P. Byron and L. McDaid, [‘Informal digital peer support for mental health: Understanding the digital support practices of LGBTQ+ young people in Australia’](#), *Culture, Health & Sexuality*, 12 February 2025.
- 200 A. Hickey-Moody and P. Maughan, [‘Trans youth are already among Australia’s most marginalised people – the social media ban could make this worse’](#), *The Conversation*, 29 November 2024, accessed 11 November 2025.
- 201 [‘Disinformation and Misinformation’](#), Australian Electoral Commission, n.d., accessed 11 December 2025.
- 202 Corby, [“‘No One Really Knows’”](#): 32.
- 203 E. Korolczuk, [‘The Vatican and the Birth of Anti-Gender Studies’](#), *Religion and Gender*, 2016, 6(2): 293–296.
- 204 Submissions 15, 32, 49, 68, 75 and 95.
- 205 Submission 55.
- 206 A. Birhane, [‘Algorithmic injustice: A relational ethics approach’](#), *Patterns*, 2021, 2(2): 1–9; C. Stinson and S. Vlaad, [‘A feeling for the algorithm: Diversity, expertise, and artificial intelligence’](#), *Big Data & Society*, 2024, 11(1): 1–13; A. Marwick, B. Clancy and K. Furl, [‘Far-right online radicalization: A review of the literature’](#), *The Bulletin of Technology and Public Life*, Center for Information, Technology, and Public Life, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 2022; A.E. Kakavand, [‘Far-right social media communication in the light of technology affordances: A systematic literature review’](#), *Annals of the International Communication Association*, 2023, 48(1): 37–56.
- 207 Submissions 43 and 49.
- 208 Submission 49.
- 209 Submission 71.
- 210 A. Yang, [‘Twitter quietly changes its hateful conduct policy to remove standing protections for its transgender users’](#), *NBC News*, 19 April 2023, accessed 12 November 2025; F. Jetson, [‘LGBTQ+ advocates alarmed by Meta’s hateful conduct policy changes’](#), *ABC News*, 10 January 2025, accessed 12 November 2025; S. Biddle, [‘Leaked Meta rules: Users are free to post “Mexican immigrants are trash!” or “Trans people are immoral!”’](#), *The Intercept*, 9 January 2025, accessed 12 November 2025; J. Williams and K. Albury, [‘Meta’s shift to “community notes” risks hurting online health info providers more than ever’](#), *The Conversation*, 16 January 2025, accessed 12 November 2025.
- 211 [Hateful Conduct Policy Details](#) [website], Meta, accessed 22 January 2026.
- 212 [GLAAD Response: Meta and Mark Zuckerberg remove longstanding anti-LGBTQ hate speech policies after announcing end of fact-checking program](#) [media release], GLAAD, 7 January 2025, accessed 12 November 2025.
- 213 C. Are, [‘Flagging as a silencing tool: Exploring the relationship between de-platforming of sex and online abuse on Instagram and TikTok’](#), *New Media & Society*, 2025, 27(6): 3577–3595.
- 214 Hanckel and Chandra, [‘Social Media insights from sexuality and gender diverse young people during COVID-19’](#): 28.
- 215 Hanckel and Chandra, [‘Social Media insights from sexuality and gender diverse young people during COVID-19’](#): 27.
- 216 United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), [Convention and protocol relating to the status of refugees](#), December 2010.
- 217 UNHCR, [Guidelines on international protection no. 9](#), 23 October 2012.
- 218 Yogyakarta Principles, [Relating to the Right to Seek Asylum \(Principle 23\)](#), 2006.
- 219 Yogyakarta Principles +10, [Principle 31 \(YP+10\)](#), 2017.
- 220 Submissions 11, 17, 23, 42, 50 and 53.
- 221 UNHCR, [LGBTIQ+ persons](#) [website], n.d., accessed 27 October 2025.
- 222 UNHCR, [LGBTQIA+ refugees](#) [website], n.d., accessed 27 October 2025. See also [‘Protection against violence and discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity in relation to forced displacement’](#), United Nations Human Rights Council, 17 April 2025.
- 223 UNHCR, [Protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, and queer \(LGBTIQ+\) persons](#) [website], 27 January 2025, accessed 27 October 2025.
- 224 UN Women, [In search of safety – LGBTIQ+ people on the move](#) [website], June 2024, accessed 27 October 2025.
- 225 A. Nematy, Y. Namer and O. Razum, [‘LGBTQI+ refugees’ and asylum seekers’ mental health: A qualitative systematic review’](#), *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 2022, 20(1): 637.
- 226 UNHCR, [Guidelines on international protection no. 9](#), 23 October 2012, accessed 27 October 2025.
- 227 Submissions 11 and 53.
- 228 B. Cochrane, T. Dixon and R. Dixon, [“‘Inhabiting Two Worlds At Once’: Survey on the experiences of LGBTIQ+ settlement in Australia’](#), *Forcibly Displaced People Network*, 2023.
- 229 Nematy, Namer and Razum, [‘LGBTQI+ refugees’ and asylum seekers’ mental health’](#): 637.
- 230 Submission 53.
- 231 Jaz Dawson, [‘Past and Present: From Misunderstanding Sexuality to Misunderstanding Gender Identity in Australian Refugee Claims’](#), *Australian Journal of Politics & History*, 2019, 65(4): 600–619.
- 232 Submission 17.
- 233 Submission 53.
- 234 Submission 50.

- 235 Department of Home Affairs, [‘Gender and Sexual Orientation: Procedural Instruction’](#), 18 April 2018, accessed 27 October 2025.
- 236 Nematy, Namer and Razum, [‘LGBTQI+ refugees’ and asylum seekers’ mental health’](#): 637. Cited studies: S. Borges, [“‘We have to do a lot of healing’: LGBTQ migrant Latinas resisting and healing from systemic violence’](#), *Journal of Lesbian Studies*, 2020, 24(2): 94–109; Y. Van der Pijl, B.C. Oude Breuil, L. Swetzer, M. Drymiot and M. Goderie, [“‘We do not matter’: Transgender migrants/refugees in the Dutch Asylum System’](#), *Violence and Gender*, 2018, 5(1): 1–11.
- 237 E.J. Alessi, [‘Resilience in sexual and gender minority forced migrants: A qualitative exploration’](#), *Traumatology*, 2016, 22(3): 203–213; S. Kahn and E.J. Alessi, [‘Coming out under the gun: Exploring the psychological dimensions of seeking refugee status for LGBT claimants in Canada’](#), *Journal of Refugee Studies*, 2018, 31(1): 22–41; S. Kahn, E.J. Alessi, H. Kim, L. Woolner and C.J. Olivieri, [‘Facilitating mental health support for LGBT forced migrants: A qualitative inquiry’](#), *Journal of Counselling and Development*, 2018, 96(3): 316–326.
- 238 Submission 20.
- 239 Cochrane, Dixon and Dixon, [“‘Inhabiting Two Worlds At Once’”](#).
- 240 Cochrane, Dixon and Dixon, [“‘Inhabiting Two Worlds At Once’”](#).
- 241 Cochrane, Dixon and Dixon, [“‘Inhabiting Two Worlds At Once’”](#).
- 242 Nematy, Namer and Razum, [‘LGBTQI+ refugees’ and asylum seekers’ mental health’](#): 636.
- 243 Cochrane, Dixon and Dixon, [“‘Inhabiting Two Worlds At Once’”](#).
- 244 Submissions 23 and 53.
- 245 Submission 23.
- 246 Nematy, Namer and Razum, [‘LGBTQI+ refugees’ and asylum seekers’ mental health’](#): 637. Cited studies: E. Aygün, [‘Practice, Prospects, and Challenges of NGOs Working with LGBTIQ Asylum-Seekers in Germany: Homonationalism as a Practice Informing Concept for International Social Work with LGBTIQ Asylum-Seekers and Refugees’](#), Master’s thesis, University of Applied Sciences Würzburg-Schweinfurt, 2019; A. Zappulla, [‘Forgotten twice: the untold story of LGBT refugees’](#), *World Economic Forum*, 19 January 2018, accessed 13 November 2025.
- 247 [‘About immigration and detention in Australia’](#) [website], Australian Human Rights Commission, n.d., accessed 18 December 2025; [‘Use of force in immigration detention’](#), Australian Human Rights Commission, October 2019.
- 248 [‘Migration Act 1958’](#) (Cth), sections 189, 196.
- 249 Submissions 11, 23 and 42.
- 250 Submission 23.
- 251 Submissions 11, 23, 42 and 53.
- 252 Submission 42.
- 253 Millie Roberts, [‘Transgender woman feels isolation, risk of deportation in Villawood Immigration Detention Centre’](#), *ABC News*, 10 December 2024, accessed 10 November 2025.
- 254 [“‘Not just an afterthought’: The experience of women in immigration detention’](#), Australian Human Rights Commission, December 2024: 30, 38.
- 255 Department of Home Affairs, [‘Department of Home Affairs response to recommendations’](#), n.d., accessed 28 October 2025.
- 256 [‘International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights’](#) (ICCPR).
- 257 [‘Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment’](#) (CAT).
- 258 [‘United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Administration of Juvenile Justice \(‘The Beijing Rules’\)](#), 29 November 1985.
- 259 [‘United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners \(the Nelson Mandela Rules\)’](#), 17 December 2015.
- 260 [‘Yogyakarta Principles’](#), 2006; [‘Yogyakarta Principles plus 10’](#), 2017.
- 261 Charlie Winter, [‘Correctional policies for the management of trans people in Australian prisons’](#), *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 2024, 25(2): 130–148.
- 262 M. Maycock, S. O’Shea and V. Jenness (eds), [‘Transgender people involved with carceral systems: International perspectives’](#), Routledge, Abingdon, 2024; H. Panter and A. Dwyer (eds), [‘Transgender identities and criminal justice: An examination of issues in victimology, policing, sentencing, and prisons’](#), Palgrave Macmillan, London, 2023.
- 263 Mitchell, McCrory, Skaburskis and Appleton, [‘Criminalising Gender Diversity’](#): 99–112; Mandy Wilson, Paul L. Simpson, Tony G. Butler, Juliet Richters, Lorraine Yap and Basil Donovan, [“‘You’re a woman, a convenience, a cat, a poof, a thing, an idiot’: Transgender women negotiating sexual experiences in men’s prisons in Australia’](#), *Sexualities*, 2017, 20(3): 380–402.
- 264 McCrory, [‘Policing \(trans\)gender’](#); Noah Riseman, [‘Speaking Out Against Anti-Trans Violence: A Call for Justice’](#), The Gender Centre and SWOP, Sydney, 2022: 17–20; 23–25, State of NSW, [‘Special Commission of Inquiry into LGBTIQ hate crimes’](#), December 2023.
- 265 A. Dwyer, [“‘It’s not like we’re going to jump them’: How transgressing heteronormativity shapes police interactions with LGBT young people’](#), *Youth Justice*, 2011, 11(3): 201–219; A. Dwyer, [‘Policing visible sexual/gender diversity as a program of governance’](#), *International Journal for Crime, Justice and Social Democracy*, 2012, 1(1): 14–26; A. Dwyer, [‘Teaching young queers a lesson: How police teach lessons about non-heteronormativity in public spaces’](#), *Sexuality and Culture*, 2015, 19(3): 493–512.
- 266 Submission 37.

- 267 Rebecca Gray, Tommy Walker, Jen Hamer, Timothy Broady, Jessica Kean, Joycelyn Ling and Brandon Bear, [‘Developing LGBTQ programs for perpetrators and victims/survivors of domestic and family violence’](#) (Research Report, 10/2020), ANROWS, Sydney: 46.
- 268 Submissions 11, 13, 16, 22, 24, 28, 34, 37 and 45.
- 269 Submissions 27, 34, 37, 40, 45, 77, 79 and 92.
- 270 [‘National Access to Justice Partnership 2025–30’](#), Schedule A3.
- 271 [‘National Access to Justice Partnership 2025–30’](#), section 37(b).
- 272 [‘A Blueprint for Equality: Resourcing LGBTQIA+ Community Legal Centres’](#), Consulting & Implementation Services, commissioned by Inner City Legal Centre, August 2025: 15–30.
- 273 A. Brömdal, et al, [‘Navigating intimate trans citizenship while incarcerated in Australia and the United States’](#), *Feminism & Psychology*, 2023, 33(1): 42–64.
- 274 C. Mallory, A. Hasenbush and B. Sears, [‘Discrimination and Harassment by Law Enforcement Officers in the LGBT Community’](#), The Williams Institute, March 2015.
- 275 Mitchell, McCrory, Skaburskis and Appleton, [‘Criminalising Gender Diversity’](#): 99–112.
- 276 Dwyer, [“‘It’s not like we’re going to jump them’”](#): 201–219; Dwyer, [‘Policing visible sexual/gender diversity as a program of governance’](#): 14–26.
- 277 Riseman, [‘Speaking Out Against Anti-Trans Violence’](#): 23, State of NSW, Special Commission of Inquiry into LGBTIQ hate crimes, December 2023.
- 278 Mitchell, McCrory, Skaburskis and Appleton, [‘Criminalising Gender Diversity’](#): 99–112.
- 279 Coroners Court of Victoria, [COR 2020 006727](#), 29 August 2024: 56, quoting submission of Transgender Victoria.
- 280 Submissions 11, 13, 16, 22, 24, 28, 34, 37, 43, 44, 45, 83 and 90.
- 281 Submission 45.
- 282 Submission 28.
- 283 Submissions 11, 13, 24, 28, 37 and 40.
- 284 Submission 24.
- 285 Submission 40.
- 286 T. Miles-Johnson, [‘Policing transgender people: Discretionary police power and the ineffectual aspirations of one Australian police initiative’](#), *SAGE Open*, 2015, 5(2): 1–14; T. Miles-Johnson, [‘Policing diversity: Examining police resistance to training reforms for transgender people in Australia’](#), *Journal of Homosexuality*, 2016, 63(1): 103–136.
- 287 [‘Special Commission of Inquiry into LGBTIQ hate crimes’](#), vol. 1, December 2023, NSW Premier’s Department: 42.
- 288 Riseman, [‘Speaking Out Against Anti-Trans Violence’](#): 27–28. See also A. Dwyer, M. Ball, M. Lee, T. Crofts and C. Bond, [‘Barriers stopping LGBTI people from accessing LGBTI police liaison officers: analysing interviews with community and police’](#), *Criminal Justice Studies*, 2020, 33(3): 256–275.
- 289 Submission 22. Cited study: Rebecca Gray, Tommy Walker, Jen Hamer, Timothy Broady, Jessica Kean, Joycelyn Ling and Brandon Bear, [‘Developing LGBTQ programs for perpetrators and victims/survivors of domestic and family violence’](#) (Research Report, 10/2020), ANROWS, Sydney. See also submissions 16, 28, 34, 37, 40, 43, 45, 62, 64, 69, 71, 73, 78, 83 and 92.
- 290 [‘All charges dropped against Victoria Police officer who shared details of Dani Laidley’s 2020 arrest’](#), *ABC News*, 13 May 2022, accessed 12 November 2025.
- 291 Submission 64.
- 292 Mitchell, McCrory, Skaburskis and Appleton, [‘Criminalising Gender Diversity’](#): 99–112.
- 293 E. Genovese, [‘Administering harm: the treatment of trans people in Australian criminal courts’](#), *Current Issues in Criminal Justice*, 2024, 36(2): 177–196. See also Riseman, [‘Speaking Out Against Anti-Trans Violence’](#): 28–29.
- 294 Riseman, [‘Speaking Out Against Anti-Trans Violence’](#): 29.
- 295 Genovese, [‘Administering harm’](#): 181–184.
- 296 Julia Sinclair-Palm and Kit Chokly, [“‘It’s a giant faux pas’: exploring young trans people’s beliefs about deadnaming and the term deadname’](#), *Journal of LGBT Youth*, 2022, 20(2): 370–389.
- 297 Submission 27.
- 298 Submission 37 and 64.
- 299 Submission 25.
- 300 Genovese, [‘Administering harm’](#): 177–196.
- 301 [‘Resilient Individuals: Sexual Orientation Gender Identity & Intersex Rights: National Consultation Report’](#), Australian Human Rights Commission, 2015: 69.
- 302 K.A. Clark, A. Brömdal, T. Phillips, T. Sanders, A.B. Mullens and J.M.W. Hughto, [‘Developing the “oppression-to-incarceration cycle” of Black American and First Nations Australian trans women: Applying the intersectionality research for transgender health justice framework’](#), *Journal of Correctional Health Care*, 2023, 29(1): 28.

- 303 Clark, et al, [‘Developing the “oppression-to-incarceration cycle” of Black American and First Nations Australian trans women’](#): 27–38; T. Sanders, et al, [‘Trans architecture and the prison as archive: “Don’t be a queen and you won’t be arrested”’](#), *Punishment & Society*, 2023, 25(3): 742–765.
- 304 For example, see the discussion in *EIX20 v State of Western Australia (No 2)* [2025] FCA 28 at [93]–[114].
- 305 Corrective Services Administrators’ Council, [‘Guiding Principles for Corrections in Australia: Revised 2025’](#).
- 306 Josh van Staden and Cheyne Anderson, [“‘Degraded and uneasy’: Incarcerated trans women push back on NT prison ban proposal’](#), *SBS News*, 16 October 2025, accessed 12 November 2025.
- 307 [Media release re: Concern over Misleading and Harmful Statements on Transgender Prisoners](#) [media release], Northern Territory Anti-Discrimination Commission, 15 October 2025, accessed 13 November 2025.
- 308 Annette Brömdal, Tait Sanders, Melinda Stanners, Carol du Plessis, Jessica Gildersleeve, Amy B. Mullens, Tania M. Phillips, Joseph Debattista, Kirstie Daken, Kirsty A. Clark and Jaclyn M.W. Hughto, [‘Where do incarcerated trans women prefer to be housed and why? Adding nuanced understandings to a complex debate through the voices of formerly incarcerated trans women in Australia and the United States’](#), *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 2023, 25(2): 167–186.
- 309 McCrory, [Policing \(trans\)gender](#): 250–251.
- 310 McCrory, [Policing \(trans\)gender](#): 249–254.
- 311 McCrory, [Policing \(trans\)gender](#): 247.
- 312 Carol du Plessis, Tait Sanders, Jessica Gildersleeve, Amy Mullens, Adam Olczak and Annette Brömdal, [‘Gender minority stress, resilience, and coping experiences of trans women incarcerated in Australia’](#), *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 3 April 2025: 7–8; McCrory, [Policing \(trans\)gender](#): 251; Submission 83.
- 313 Submissions 5, 11, 16, 28, 37, 45 and 83.
- 314 Submission 37.
- 315 Brömdal, et al, [‘Where do incarcerated trans women prefer to be housed and why?’](#): 168. See also submission 83.
- 316 Submissions 11, 28, 37, 45, 64, 78 and 83.
- 317 Submission 37.
- 318 Submission 83.
- 319 Submission 78.
- 320 McCrory, [Policing \(trans\)gender](#): 256.
- 321 [“‘Left Alone’: A Review of Solitary Confinement and Similar Practices in Australia’s Youth Justice Systems’](#), Australian Human Rights Commission, December 2025: 14–18.
- 322 T. Sanders, et al, [‘Trans architecture and the prison as archive’](#): 756.
- 323 V. Jenness, L. Sexton and J. Sumner, [‘Sexual victimization against transgender women in prison: Consent and coercion in context’](#), *Criminology*, 2019, 57(4): 603–631; du Plessis, et al, [‘Gender minority stress, resilience, and coping experiences of trans women incarcerated in Australia’](#): 10–11.
- 324 J. Marksamer, [‘And by the way, do you know he thinks he’s a girl? The failures of law, policy, and legal representation for transgender youth in juvenile delinquency courts’](#), *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 2008, 5(1): 72–92.
- 325 Submissions 11, 37, 45, 78 and 83.
- 326 Plessis, et al, [‘Gender minority stress, resilience, and coping experiences of trans women incarcerated in Australia’](#): 9–12; Submissions 37 and 83.
- 327 A. Holmes, [‘Transgender prisoner policy issued but not followed as Marjorie Harwood held in male prison seven times, documents show’](#), *ABC News*, 7 May 2024, accessed 12 November 2025; [‘Beyond Bricks & Bars Trans Decarceration Project: Evaluation Report’](#), August 2024: 10.
- 328 du Plessis, et al, [‘Gender minority stress, resilience, and coping experiences of trans women incarcerated in Australia’](#): 13.
- 329 Submissions 37 and 83.
- 330 [‘Beyond Bricks & Bars Trans Decarceration Project: Evaluation Report’](#): 2.
- 331 Submission 83.
- 332 Dean Connelly and Gail Gilchrist, [‘Prevalence and correlates of substance use among transgender adults: A systematic review’](#), *Addictive Behaviors*, 2020, 111: 106544; Jesse Ezra Shircliff, et al, [‘Does Workplace Discrimination Contribute to Sex Work for Trans and Nonbinary Workers?’](#) *Social Problems*, 2025, 72(2): 643–659; Corrinne Tayce Sullivan, [‘Majesty in the city: experiences of an Aboriginal transgender sex worker in Sydney, Australia’](#), *Gender, Place & Culture: A Journal of Feminist Geography*, 2018, 25(12): 1681–1702.
- 333 [‘Drug decriminalisation in Portugal: Setting the record straight’](#), Transform Drug Policy, 2021.
- 334 [Sex work laws in Australia](#) [website], Scarlet Alliance, n.d., accessed 12 November 2025.
- 335 Dwyer, [“‘It’s not like we’re going to jump them’”](#): 201–219.
- 336 D. Brennan, [‘Australia spending \\$6.8 billion a year on prisons as Indigenous incarceration continues to rise’](#), *National Indigenous Times*, 5 February 2025, accessed 13 November 2025.
- 337 [Justice Reinvestment](#) [website], Australian Government, Attorney-General’s Department, n.d., accessed 13 November 2025; F. Allison and C. Cunneen, [‘Justice Reinvestment in Northern Australia’](#), The Cairns Institute, James Cook University, Cairns, 2018.

- 338 Submissions 78 and 83.
- 339 [‘Beyond Bricks & Bars Trans Decarceration Project: Evaluation Report’](#).
- 340 [International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights](#) (ICESCR).
- 341 [What does ‘Housing as a human right’ mean in Australia?](#) [website], Australian Housing and Urban Research Institute, 17 July 2024, accessed 13 November 2025.
- 342 Yogyakarta Principles, [Principle 15](#), 2006.
- 343 W. Stone, A. Sharam, Z. Goodall, M. Reynolds, S. Sinclair, D. Faulkner, A. James and T. Zhang, [‘Gendered housing matters: Toward gender-responsive data and policy making’](#), (AHURI Final Report No. 415), Australian Housing and Urban Research Institute Limited, February 2024.
- 344 Stone, et al, [‘Gendered housing matters’](#); Matthew Ng, Alessandra Buxton, Chris Martin and Hal Pawson, [‘Gender, housing insecurity, and homelessness in Australia: Data insights’](#), YWCA Australia and City Futures Research Centre, University of New South Wales, 2 December 2023.
- 345 [What does ‘Housing as a human right’ mean in Australia?](#) [website].
- 346 [Housing becomes a human right in the ACT](#) [media release], ACT Human Rights Commission, 17 September 2025, accessed 13 November 2025.
- 347 [A Human Rights Act: Addressing Australia’s housing crisis](#) [website], Amnesty International Australia, 1 April 2025, accessed 13 November 2025.
- 348 Ng, Buxton, Martin and Pawson, [‘Gender, housing insecurity, and homelessness in Australia’](#).
- 349 Stone, et al, [‘Gendered housing matters’](#); Ng, Buxton, Martin and Pawson, [‘Gender, housing insecurity, and homelessness in Australia’](#).
- 350 Submissions 11, 16, 22, 27, 28, 30, 32, 33, 34, 37, 38, 40, 44, 47, 49, 50, 62, 77, 84 and 87.
- 351 Submission 50.
- 352 Hill, Bourne, McNair, Carman and Lyons, [Private Lives 3](#): 14.
- 353 Hill, Bourne, McNair, Carman and Lyons, [Private Lives 3](#): 35.
- 354 Submissions 22, 27 and 84.
- 355 Submission 50.
- 356 Submission 27.
- 357 Submission 40. See also submission 50.
- 358 S. Zwickl, J. Grace, C. Manifold, E. Ward-Smith, J. Murray, F. Skewis, S. Bailey, T. Ruggles and A.S. Cheung, [‘Trans and Gender Diverse People’s Housing Experiences: Household and Neighbourhood Safety, Housing Insecurity and Homelessness’](#), 2025, Trans Health Research Group, Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne: 5.
- 359 Submission 87.
- 360 Submission 30.
- 361 Submissions 11, 22, 27, 30, 77, 84 and 87.
- 362 Submission 27.
- 363 Submission 30.
- 364 Department of Social Services, [National Plan to End Violence against Women and Children 2022–2032](#), 2022: 116
- 365 Department of Social Services, [National Plan to End Violence against Women and Children 2022–2032](#): 117.
- 366 State of Victoria, [Royal Commission into Family Violence: Report and recommendations, Vol V](#): 158.
- 367 State of Victoria, [Royal Commission into Family Violence: Report and recommendations, Vol V](#): 162.
- 368 State of Victoria, [Royal Commission into Family Violence: Report and recommendations, Vol V](#): 161.
- 369 State of Victoria, [Royal Commission into Family Violence: Report and recommendations, Vol V](#): 161.
- 370 State of Victoria, [Royal Commission into Family Violence: Report and recommendations, Vol V](#): 160.
- 371 [Rainbow Tick](#) [website], Rainbow Health Australia, n.d., accessed 13 November 2025.
- 372 [‘A Human Rights-Based Approach to Data: Leaving no one behind in the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development’](#), Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), 2018, accessed 5 November 2025. See also [‘Roadmap for Digital Cooperation: implementation of the recommendations of the High-level Panel on Digital Cooperation’](#), Report of the Secretary-General, 29 May 2020; [‘Guidance of the Secretary General: Human Rights Due Diligence for Digital Technology Use’](#), United Nations, May 2024; Tlaleng Mofokeng, [‘Report of the Special Rapporteur on the right of everyone to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health: Digital Innovation, technologies and the right to health’](#), UN Doc A/HRC/53/65, 21 April 2023.
- 373 Yogyakarta Principles +10, [Principle 25e \(YP+10\)](#), 2017.
- 374 Kevin Guyan, [Queer Data: Using Gender, Sex and Sexuality Data for Action](#), Bloomsbury Publishing, London, 2022: 168.
- 375 Submissions 20 and 21.
- 376 Submission 71.

- 377 Submission 21.
- 378 ACON, [‘A Blueprint for Improving The Health and Wellbeing of the Trans and Gender Diverse Community in NSW’](#), AIDS Council of New South Wales, 2019: 4.
- 379 [Commission welcomes resolution in Census inclusion complaint](#) [media release], Australian Human Rights Commission, 15 August 2023, accessed 5 November 2025; [Statement of regret: 2021 Census](#) [media release], Australian Bureau of Statistics, 14 August 2023, accessed 15 January 2026.
- 380 [‘Order of 10 September 2024 \(597\) relating to 2026 Census’](#), Parliament of Australia, document number 2024-002845, 18 September 2024: 132-145.
- 381 [‘Estimates and characteristics of LGBTI+ populations in Australia’](#), Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), 19 December 2024, accessed 5 November 2025.
- 382 [Population figures](#) [website], InterAction for Health and Human Rights, 6 February 2025, accessed 5 November 2025.
- 383 [Intersex for allies](#) [website], InterAction for Health and Human Rights, 30 August 2023, accessed 5 November 2025.
- 384 [Forms and data collection](#) [website], InterAction for Health and Human Rights, 10 October 2023, accessed 5 November 2025.
- 385 Guyan, [Queer Data](#); Anthony Lyons, Mary Lou Rasmussen, Joel Anderson and Edith Gray, [‘Counting gender and sexual identity in the Australian census’](#), *Australian Population Studies*, 2021, 5(1): 40-48.
- 386 Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), [Standard for Sex, Gender, Variations of Sex Characteristics and Sexual Orientation Variables](#), 14 January 2021, accessed 5 November 2025.
- 387 Stats NZ Tauranga Aotearoa, [Data standard for gender, sex, and variations of sex characteristics](#), 21 April 2021 [updated 30 January 2024], accessed 5 November 2025. Cited website: [‘Prism: Human rights issues relating to sexual orientation, gender identity and expression, and sex characteristics \(SOGIESC\) in Aotearoa New Zealand – A report with recommendations’](#), New Zealand Human Rights Commission, June 2020.
- 388 [Sex, gender, variations of sex characteristics and sexual orientation in the grant program](#) [website], National Health and Medical Research Council, November 2025, accessed 24 November 2025.
- 389 Submissions 20, 21, 22, 23, 27, 41 and 71.
- 390 Submissions 21, 22, 23 and 27.
- 391 [Researchers](#) [website], TransHub, n.d., accessed 5 November 2025.
- 392 [Testing of questions on gender, sexual orientation and variations of sex characteristics](#) [media release], Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS), 13 September 2024, accessed 5 November 2025.
- 393 Anthony KJ Smith, Allegra Schermuly, Christy E. Newman, Lisa Fitzgerald and Mark D.M. Davis, [‘Empowering Queer Data Justice’](#), *The American Journal of Bioethics*, 2023, 23(11): 56-58.
- 394 [Researchers](#) [website], TransHub.
- 395 Marina Carman, Claire Farrugia, Adam Bourne, Jennifer Power and Shoshana Rosenberg, [‘Research Matters: How many people are LGBTIQ?’](#), Rainbow Health Victoria, 2020: 3.
- 396 [International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights](#) (ICESCR).
- 397 [Convention on the Rights of the Child](#) (CRC).
- 398 [Convention on the Rights of the Child](#) (CRC).
- 399 [Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities](#) (CRPD).
- 400 [United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples](#) (UNDRIP).
- 401 Yogyakarta Principles, [The Right to the Highest Attainable Standard of Health \(Principle 17\)](#), 2006.
- 402 [3 Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages](#) [webpage], United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Sustainable Development, n.d., accessed 6 November 2025.
- 403 Submission 32. Studies cited: L. Hughes, T.I. Shireman and J. Hughto, [‘Privately insured transgender people are at elevated risk for all chronic conditions compared with cisgender counterparts’](#), *Health Affairs*, 2021, 40(9): 1440-1448; C.N. Dragon, P. Guerino, E. Ewald and A.M. Laffan, [‘Transgender Medicare beneficiaries and chronic conditions: exploring fee-for-service claims data’](#), *LGBT Health*, 2017, 4(6): 404-411.
- 404 Submission 70. Study cited: A.J. Rich, A.I. Scheim, M. Koehoorn and T. Poteat, [‘Non-HIV chronic disease burden among transgender populations globally: A systematic review and narrative synthesis’](#), *Preventive medicine reports*, 28 November 2020, 20: 101259.
- 405 Submission 32.
- 406 Submission 89.
- 407 Submission 10. See also Jaclyn M.W. Hughto, David Pletta, Lily Gordon, Sean Cahill, Matthew J. Mimiaga and Sari L. Reisner, [‘Negative Transgender-Related Media Messages Are Associated with Adverse Mental Health Outcomes in a Multistate Study of Transgender Adults’](#), *LGBT Health*, 2021, 8(1): 32-41.
- 408 Submissions 21, 27, 30, 30, 37, 48 and 56. See also Hill, Bourne, McNair, Carman and Lyons, [Private Lives 3](#): 46-53.
- 409 Submission 90.
- 410 Submission 32.

- 411 P. Strauss, A. Cook, S. Winter, V. Watson, D. Wright Toussaint and A. Lin, [Trans Pathways: the mental health experiences and care pathways of trans young people. Summary of results](#), Telethon Kids Institute, Perth, 2017.
- 412 Wilson Y. Lee, J. Nicholas Hobbs, Steven Hobaica, Myeshia N. Price and Ronita Nath, [‘State-level anti-transgender laws increase past-year suicide attempts among transgender and non-binary young people in the USA’](#), *Nature Human Behaviour*, November 2024, 8(11): 2096–2106.
- 413 Submission 59.
- 414 Submission 44. Cited study: E. Layard, J. Parker, T. Cook, J. Murray, N. Asquith, B. Fileborn, R. Mason, A. Barnes, A. Dwyer and S. Mortimer, [‘LGBTQ+ people’s experiences and perceptions of sexual violence’](#), ACON research summary report, 2022.
- 415 Ussher, et al, [‘Crossing the line’](#).
- 416 Submission 22.
- 417 Submission 70. Cited study: M.L. Hatzenbuehler, J.C. Phelan and B.G. Link, [‘Stigma as a fundamental cause of population health inequalities’](#), *American Journal of Public Health*, 2013, 103(5): 813–821.
- 418 Submission 23.
- 419 Submissions 21 and 76.
- 420 Submission 27.
- 421 [‘Mental health findings for LGBTQ+ Australians’](#), Australian Bureau of Statistics; Cochrane, Dixon and Dixon, [“‘Inhabiting Two Worlds At Once’”](#).
- 422 Medical Board of Australia, [‘Good medical practice: a code of conduct for doctors in Australia’](#), October 2020, accessed 6 November 2025.
- 423 Australian Medical Association (AMA), [‘AMA Code of Ethics 2004. Editorially Revised 2006. Revised 2016’](#), 17 March 2017, accessed 6 November 2025.
- 424 Australian Medical Association, [‘LGBTQIASB+ Health Position Statement’](#), 6 February 2024, accessed 6 November 2025.
- 425 Submissions 30, 32, 38, 41, 48, 50, 77, 84, 91 and 96.
- 426 Submission 59.
- 427 Submissions 21, 30, 32, 41, 48, 55, 77, 84 and 91.
- 428 Submission 25.
- 429 Submission 76.
- 430 Submissions 25, 27, 32, 37, 41, 48, 52, 77, 84 and 89.
- 431 Submission 32.
- 432 Submissions 41 and 84.
- 433 Submission 91.
- 434 Submissions 5, 37 and 41.
- 435 Submission 5.
- 436 Submission 84.
- 437 Submissions 32, 38, 48, 77 and 84.
- 438 Submissions 22, 26 and 50.
- 439 Submission 90.
- 440 Submissions 41, 84 and 91.
- 441 Submissions 41 and 48.
- 442 Riseman, [‘A History of Trans Health Care in Australia’](#): 76.
- 443 Submission 41.
- 444 Submission 50.
- 445 Submission 53.
- 446 Submission 28.
- 447 Department of Home Affairs, [Department of Home Affairs response to recommendations](#).
- 448 Submission 23.
- 449 Submission 85.
- 450 Submissions 18 and 69.
- 451 Submission 49. Cited study: Callander, et al, [‘The 2018 Australian Trans and Gender Diverse Sexual Health Survey: Report of Findings’](#).
- 452 Submission 43.
- 453 Submission 51.
- 454 [Convention on the Rights of the Child](#) (CRC).

- 455 [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights](#) (ICCPR).
- 456 Yogyakarta Principles, [The Right to the Highest Attainable Standard of Health \(Principle 17\)](#), 2006.
- 457 [Gender incongruence and transgender health in the ICD](#) [website], World Health Organization, n.d., accessed 6 November 2025.
- 458 Sand C. Chang, Anneliese A. Singh and Lore M. Dickey, [A Clinician's Guide to Gender-Affirming Care: Working with Transgender and Gender-Nonconforming Clients](#), Oakland, Context Press, 2018; Ada S. Cheung, Katie Wynne, Jaco Erasmus, Sally Murray and Jeffrey D. Zajac, 'Position statement on the hormonal management of adult transgender and gender diverse individuals', *Medical Journal of Australia*, 5 August 2019, 211(3): 127–133; Madeleine Muller, Elma de Vries, Anastacia Tomson and Christine McLachlan, 'An introduction to gender affirming healthcare: What the family physician needs to know', *South African Family Practice*, 2023, 65(1): 5770; Rona Carroll and Fiona Bisshop, 'What you need to know about gender-affirming healthcare', *Emergency Medicine Australasia*, 2022, 34: 438–441.
- 459 AusPATH, [Australian Standards of Care for Informed Consent Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy](#), version 2, 2025.
- 460 E. Coleman, et al, 'Standards of Care for the Health of Transgender and Gender Diverse People, Version 8', *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 2022, 23(suppl 1): S1–S259. See also Cheung, Wynne, Erasmus, Murray and Zajac, 'Position statement on the hormonal management of adult transgender and gender diverse individuals': 127–133; P. Cundill, 'Hormone therapy for trans and gender diverse patients in the general practice setting', *Australian Journal of General Practice*, July 2020, 49(7): 385–390.
- 461 AusPATH, [Australian Standards of Care for Informed Consent Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy](#): 12.
- 462 Wesley Verbeek, Wayne Baici, Kinnon R MacKinnon, Juveria Baheer and June Sing Hong Lam, "'Mental Readiness" and Gatekeeping in Trans Healthcare', *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 22 November 2022, 67(11): 828–830. See also Florence Ashley, 'Gatekeeping hormone replacement therapy for transgender patients is dehumanising', *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 2019, 45: 480–482.
- 463 Riseman, 'A History of Trans Health Care in Australia'.
- 464 [Australian Informed Consent Standards of Care for Gender Affirming Hormone Therapy](#) [website], Royal Australian College of General Practitioners, n.d., accessed 6 November 2025.
- 465 [RACP statement on gender dysphoria](#) [media release], Royal Australasian College of Physicians, 6 March 2020, accessed 6 November 2025.
- 466 Australian Medical Association, [LGBTQIASB+ Health Position Statement](#), 6 February 2024, accessed 6 November 2025.
- 467 Australian Women's Health Alliance, [Organisational Anti-Discrimination Statement](#), July 2023, accessed 6 November 2025.
- 468 Endocrine Society of Australia, [Statement from the Endocrine Society of Australia regarding marriage equality and gender issues](#), 22 June 2017, accessed 7 November 2025.
- 469 [AusPATH: Public Statement on Gender Affirming Healthcare, including for Trans Youth](#) [media release], AusPATH, 26 June 2021, accessed 6 November 2025.
- 470 Submission 48.
- 471 Submission 76.
- 472 Submissions 1, 3, 6, 7, 9, 14, 39, 60, 72, 82 and 94.
- 473 P. Bragge, N. Cong-Lem, V. Delafosse, E. Goldberg, M. Temple-Smith and L. Sancu, 'Evidence Check: Evidence for effective interventions for children and young people with gender dysphoria – Update: An Evidence Check rapid review brokered by the Sax Institute for the NSW Ministry of Health', Sax Institute, February 2024; [RESOURCE PACK: Living true, living better](#) [website], AusPATH, November 2024, accessed 7 November 2025; François Brezin, et al, 'Endocrine management of transgender adolescents: Expert consensus of the French society of pediatric endocrinology and diabetology working group', *Archives de pediatrie: organe officiel de la Societe francaise de pediatrie*, 17 November 2024; Joanne LaFleur, 'Gender-affirming medical treatments for pediatric patients with gender dysphoria', University of Utah College of Pharmacy, Drug Regimen Review Center, for the Utah Department of Health, 6 August 2024; A. Gawlik-Starzyk, et al, 'Framework guidelines for the process of caring for the health of adolescent transgender (T) and non-binary (NB) people experiencing gender dysphoria – the position statement of the expert panel', *Endokrynologia Polska*, 2025, 76(1): 1–28; German Association of Scientific Medical Societies, 'Gender Incongruence and Gender Dysphoria in Childhood and Adolescence – Diagnosis and Treatment', (S2k) AWMF Registry No. 028-014, English version, 24 June 2025.
- 474 'Assessing Certainty of Evidence', *Guidelines for Guidelines: Assessing certainty of evidence*, version 5.0, 6 September 2019, accessed 4 February 2026.
- 475 Jeremy Howick, et al, 'The quality of evidence for medical interventions does not improve or worsen: A metaepidemiological study of Cochrane reviews', *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, October 2020, 126: 154–159.
- 476 Holger Schünemann, Jan Brożek, Gordon Guyatt and Andrew Oxman (eds), [GRADE Handbook](#), October 2013, accessed 4 February 2026.
- 477 Submission 68. See also 'Medical treatment for minors with gender dysphoria: Ethical and legal considerations', The Swiss National Advisory Commission on Biomedical Ethics, Opinion paper no 43/2024, 7 November 2024, Bern.
- 478 Michelle M. Telfer, Michelle A. Tollit, Carmen C. Pace and Ken C. Pang, 'Australian standards of care and treatment guidelines for transgender and gender diverse children and adolescents', *Medical Journal of Australia*, 2018, 209(3): 132–136; Michelle M. Telfer, Michelle A. Tollit, Carmen C. Pace and Ken C. Pang, [Australian standards of care and treatment guidelines for transgender and gender diverse children and adolescents](#), version 1.4, 2023, The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne.
- 479 'Gender-affirming care needed for transgender children', *The Lancet*, 30 June 2018, 391(10140): 2576.
- 480 [Health care for trans and gender diverse Australian children and adolescents](#) [media release], The Hon Mark Butler MP, 31 January 2025, accessed 10 November 2025.

481 Mickey Emmanuel and Brooke R. Bokor, [‘Tanner Stages’](#), in *StatPearls*, 11 December 2022, StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island, FL, accessed 13 January 2026.

482 [Re: Jamie](#) [2013] FamCAFC 110.

483 [Re: Kelvin](#) [2017] FamCAFC 258 at [10], [116], [162] and [164].

484 [Re: Kelvin](#) [2017] FamCAFC 258 at [116].

485 [Re: Kelvin](#) [2017] FamCAFC 258 at [159]–[160].

486 [Re: Kelvin](#) [2017] FamCAFC 258 at [162].

487 Bragge, et al, [‘Evidence Check: Evidence for effective interventions for children and young people with gender dysphoria’](#).

488 Bragge, et al, [‘Evidence Check: Evidence for effective interventions for children and young people with gender dysphoria’](#); [RESOURCE PACK: Living true, living better](#) [website], AusPATH.

489 Bragge, et al, [‘Evidence Check: Evidence for effective interventions for children and young people with gender dysphoria’](#); [RESOURCE PACK: Living true, living better](#) [website], AusPATH.

490 Bragge, et al, [‘Evidence Check: Evidence for effective interventions for children and young people with gender dysphoria’](#).

491 Telfer, Tollit, Pace and Pang, [Australian standards of care and treatment guidelines for transgender and gender diverse children and adolescents](#): 16.

492 Iris de Nie, Norah M. van Mello, Emanuel Vlahakis, Charlie Cooper, Angus Peri, Martin den Heijer, Andreas Meißner, Judith Huirne and Ken C. Pang, [‘Successful restoration of spermatogenesis following gender-affirming hormone therapy in transgender women’](#), *Cell Reports Medicine*, 17 January 2023, 4(1): 100858.

493 Submission 61.

494 Submission 31.

495 headspace, [‘Evidence Summary: The association between gender-affirming care and youth mental health and wellbeing outcomes’](#), August 2024: 7, accessed 7 November 2025.

496 Luke R. Allen, Cody G. Dodd, Christine N. Moser and Michelle M. Knoll, [‘Changes in Suicidality among Transgender Adolescents Following Hormone Therapy: An Extended Study’](#), *The Journal of Pediatrics*, February 2026, 289: 114883.

497 Submissions 27, 30, 73, 78 and 87.

498 Submission 27.

499 [Gillick v West Norfolk and Wisbech Area Health Authority](#) [1986] AC 112 at 237–238.

500 Richard Griffith, [‘What is Gillick competence?’](#) *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, 2015, 12(1): 244–247.

501 [Consent to Medical Treatment and Palliative Care Act 1995](#) (SA), section 6.

502 [Consent to Medical Treatment and Palliative Care Act 1995](#) (SA). See also Sara Bird, [‘Consent to medical treatment: the mature minor’](#), *Australian Family Physician*, 2011, 40(3): 159–160; Cristina Huesch, [What is a Gillick competent child?](#) [website], Alliance Family Law, 24 November 2021, accessed 7 November 2025.

503 Submission 20

504 Submission 88.

505 CRC, article 18.

506 CRC, article 5.

507 [Juridical Condition and Human Rights of the Child \(Advisory Opinion\)](#) [2002] Inter-American Court of Human Rights (Ser A) No 17, p 79 at [1]; [Gillick](#) at 183–184; CRC article 12.

508 The Westmead study refers to a publication coming out of the Sydney Children’s Network Trans and Gender Diverse Health Service at Westmead Hospital. The study alleged that doctors were too eager to prescribe puberty suppressing medication and GAHT without adequately considering children and young people’s social support. See Kasia Kozłowska, et al, [‘Australian Children and Adolescents with Gender Dysphoria: Clinical Presentations and Challenges Experienced by a Multidisciplinary Team and Gender Service’](#), *Human Systems*, 2021, 1(1): 70–95.

509 Submission 92.

510 B.A. Clark and A. Virani, [“‘This Wasn’t a Split-Second Decision’: An Empirical Ethical Analysis of Transgender Youth Capacity, Rights, and Authority to Consent to Hormone Therapy’](#), *Journal of Bioethical Inquiry*, March 2021, 18(1): 151.

511 Submission 28.

512 Submission 25.

513 Joel R. Anderson, Jan Kilicaslan, Lily Sedgwick, Kian Jin Tan and Michael Thai, [‘A Qualitative Exploration of Trans Adults’ Experiences of Engaging with Health Professionals in Australia’](#), *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 10 October 2025.

514 Submission 34; Liam Mannix, [‘Warning as trans people go DIY on HRT’](#), *The Age*, 18 October 2025: 1.

515 Submissions 25, 38, 41, 81 and 91.

516 Submissions 41 and 56.

517 Submissions 16 and 32.

518 Submission 32.

- 519 Submission 89.
- 520 Submissions 45, 48, 50 and 56.
- 521 Submission 81.
- 522 Strauss, et al, [Trans Pathways](#): 117.
- 523 [Challenges and solutions for transgender individuals](#) [website], United Nations Free & Equal (UNFE), n.d., accessed 7 November 2025.
- 524 Submissions 25, 30, 38, 41, 48 and 50.
- 525 Hill, Bourne, McNair, Carman and Lyons, [Private Lives 3](#): 16.
- 526 Ruby Grant, Gene Lim, Ash Russell, Sasha Bailey and Sharon Dane, [Trans healthcare temporalities: the impacts of waiting for gender affirmation on trans identities, wellbeing, and futurity](#), *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 1 March 2025.
- 527 Submissions 67, 76, 83, 89 and 91.
- 528 Submissions 11 and 30.
- 529 Submission 89; cited study: Kai Schweizer, et al [‘Eating and exercise experiences of Australian trans and gender diverse folks: lived experience and stakeholder perspectives’](#), *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 2026, 27(1): 423–446.
- 530 Submission 11.
- 531 Submission 51.
- 532 Submission 83.
- 533 Mikhael Touma, Frankie Hanman-Siegersma, Blossom Ah Ket, Paige Matthews and Monica Quijano de Brasdefer, [‘Towards language justice: queering solidarities between interpreters, service providers, and community members’](#), *Frontiers in Communication*, 27 August 2025, 10.
- 534 Submission 33.
- 535 Submissions 25, 26, 52, 56 and 76.
- 536 Anderson, Kilicaslan, Sedgwick, Jin Tan and Thai, [‘A Qualitative Exploration of Trans Adults’ Experiences of Engaging with Health Professionals in Australia’](#).
- 537 Submission 26. Cited study: Tonia Poteat, Andrew M. Davis and Alex Gonzalez, [‘Standards of Care for Transgender and Gender Diverse People’](#), *JAMA*, 2023, 329(21): 1872–1874.
- 538 Submission 76. See also Ruby Grant, Ash Russell, Sharon Dane and Isabel Dunn, [‘Navigating access to medical gender affirmation in Tasmania, Australia: An Exploratory study’](#), *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 2024, 25(4): 804–815.
- 539 Submission 46.
- 540 Submissions 1 and 3.
- 541 Submission 72.
- 542 Bragge, et al, [‘Evidence Check: Evidence for effective interventions for children and young people with gender dysphoria’](#).
- 543 G.H. Guyatt, A.D. Oxman, R. Kunz, G.E. Vist, Y. Falck-Ytter and H.J. Schünemann, [‘What is “quality of evidence” and why is it important to clinicians?’](#) *BMJ*, 2008, 336: 995–998.
- 544 Armand H. Matheny Antommara, Matthew Kelleher and Rachel J. Peterson, [‘Quality of Evidence and Strength of Recommendations in American Academy of Pediatrics’ Guidelines’](#), *Pediatrics*, 2025, 155(4): e2024067836.
- 545 Naomi Laventhal, Beth Tarini and John Lantos, [‘Ethical Issues in Neonatal and Pediatric Clinical Trials’](#), *Pediatric clinics of North America*, 2012, 59(5): 1205–1220.
- 546 Schünemann, Brožek, Guyatt and Oxman (eds), [GRADE Handbook](#), section 6.3.2.
- 547 Submission 94.
- 548 Submissions 6, 7 and 94.
- 549 Coleman, et al, [‘Standards of Care for the Health of Transgender and Gender Diverse People, Version 8’](#): S75; Telfer, Tollit, Pace and Pang, [Australian standards of care and treatment guidelines for transgender and gender diverse children and adolescents](#): 14.
- 550 Submissions 3, 14, 60 and 63.
- 551 Submission 3.
- 552 Submission 63.
- 553 Submission 3.
- 554 Hilary Cass, [The Cass Review: Independent review of gender identity services for children and young people](#), April 2024, accessed 7 November 2025.
- 555 Cass, [The Cass Review](#).
- 556 [PATHWAYS TRIAL](#) [website], King’s College London, n.d., accessed 22 January 2026.

- 557 See, for example, Julia K. Moore, et al, '[Cass Review does not guide care for trans young people](#)', *Medical Journal of Australia*, 6 October 2025, 223(7): 331–337; Chris Noone, et al, '[Critically appraising the cass report: methodological flaws and unsupported claims](#)', *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 2025, 25(8): 128; D.M. Grijseels, '[Biological and psychosocial evidence in the Cass Review: a critical commentary](#)', *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 2026, 27(1): 278–288; Simona Giordano, '[Keep calm but do not carry on: ethical issues with the recommendations made by the Cass Review \(2024\)](#)', *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 29 May 2025; Cal Horton, '[The Cass Review: Cis-supremacy in the UK's approach to healthcare for trans children](#)', *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 2025, 26(4): 1120–1144.
- 558 Noone, et al, '[Critically appraising the cass report](#)': 128.
- 559 Florence Ashley, Diana M. Tordoff, Johanna Olson-Kennedy and Arjee J. Restar, '[Randomized-controlled trials are methodologically inappropriate in adolescent transgender healthcare](#)', *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 2024, 25(3): 407–418.
- 560 Submission 39.
- 561 Submission 94.
- 562 Submission 88.
- 563 Submissions 14, 46 and 60.
- 564 Ronald E. Riggio and Clara R. Riggio, 'Social contagion', in Howard S. Friedman and Charlotte H. Markey (eds), *Encyclopedia of Mental Health*, 3rd ed, Academic Press, Oxford, 2023: 270.
- 565 Submission 60.
- 566 Submission 46. Cited studies: L. Littman, '[Parent reports of adolescents and young adults perceived to show signs of a rapid onset of gender dysphoria](#)', *PLoS ONE*, 2018, 13(8); L. Littman, '[Correction: Parent reports of adolescents and young adults perceived to show signs of a rapid onset of gender dysphoria](#)', *PLoS ONE*, 2019, 14(3); J. Heber, '[Correcting the scientific record on gender incongruence – and an apology](#)', *EveryONE Blog* [website], 19 March 2019, accessed 10 November 2025; N. Kennedy, '[Deferral: the sociology of young trans people's epiphanies and coming out](#)', *Journal of LGBT Youth*, 2020, 19(1): 53–75; G.R. Bauer, M.L. Lawson and D.L. Metzger, '[Do Clinical Data from Transgender Adolescents Support the Phenomenon of "Rapid Onset Gender Dysphoria"?](#) ', *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 2022, 243: 224–227; J.L. Turban, B. Dolotina, T.M. Freitag, D. King and A.S. Keuroghlian, '[Age of Realization and Disclosure of Gender Identity Among Transgender Adults](#)', *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 2023, 72(6): 852–859; L. Leveille, '[A New Era: Key Actors Behind Anti-Trans Conversion Therapy](#)', *Health Liberation Now!* [website], 1 June 2022, accessed 10 November 2025.
- 567 Benjamin deMayo, Natalie Gallagher, Rachel Leshin and Kirstina Olson, '[Stability and Change in Gender Identity and Sexual Orientation Across Childhood and Adolescence](#)', *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 2025, 90(1–3): 7–172.
- 568 [MEDIA STATEMENT: Independent Review into puberty blockers](#) [media release], Minister for Health and Ambulance Services, The Honourable Tim Nicholls, 28 January 2025, accessed 10 November 2025.
- 569 '[Queensland Children's Gender Service: External Clinical Service Evaluation](#)', Queensland Health, July 2024: 7.
- 570 Andrew Messenger, '[Queensland's ban on puberty blockers and hormones left Liam devastated. A Victorian doctor stepped in](#)', *The Guardian*, 9 February 2025, accessed 19 February 2026.
- 571 Natacha Kennedy, '[Harming children: The effects of the UK puberty blocker ban](#)', *Journal of Gender Studies*, 17 June 2025.
- 572 [AB v Chief of Executive of Queensland Health \[2025\] QSC 277](#).
- 573 '[Independent Review Advice Report: Advice and evidence base regarding policy options for the use of puberty suppression \(Stage 1\) and gender affirming \(Stage 2\) hormones for children and adolescents with gender dysphoria in Queensland's public hospital system](#)', Queensland Health, 28 November 2025.
- 574 [Calm, considered and person-centred decisions needed on puberty blockers](#) [media release], Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists, 22 December 2025, accessed 22 January 2026.
- 575 Submissions 14, 88 and 94.
- 576 Submission 88.
- 577 Jack L. Turban, Stephanie S. Loo, Anthony N. Almazan and Alex S. Keuroghlian, '[Factors Leading to "Detransition" Among Transgender and Gender Diverse People in the United States: A Mixed-Methods Analysis](#)', *LGBT Health*, 1 June 2021, 8(4): 273–280.
- 578 V.P. Bustos, et al, '[Regret after gender-affirmation surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prevalence](#)', *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery – Global Open*, 2021, 9(3): 1–12.
- 579 Blake S. Cavve, et al, '[Reidentification With Birth-Registered Sex in a Western Australian Pediatric Gender Clinic Cohort](#)', *JAMA Pediatrics*, 1 May 2024, 178(5): 446.
- 580 S. Rachel Skinner, et al, '[Recognizing and responding to misleading trans health research](#)', *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 2024, 25(1): 2.
- 581 Submission 68.
- 582 Submissions 25, 32, 46, 55, 68 and 75.
- 583 Submission 46.
- 584 Submission 55.
- 585 Submissions 25, 32, 41, 55, 61 and 68.
- 586 Submission 41.

- 587 D. Alempijevic, et al, '[Statement of the Independent Forensic Expert Group on Conversion Therapy](#)', *Torture*, 2020, 30(1): 66; D. Alempijevic, et al, '[Statement on conversion therapy](#)', *Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine*, 2020, 72: 101930.
- 588 Kate Osborne, '[For a ban on conversion practices](#)' Council of Europe Parliamentary Assembly, Doc. 16315, 6 January 2026.
- 589 Tiffany Jones, Jennifer Power, Adam O. Hill, Nathan Despott, Marina Carman, Timothy W. Jones, Joel Anderson and Adam Bourne, '[Religious Conversion Practices and LGBTQA+ Youth](#)', *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 2022, 19: 1155–1164.
- 590 Joel R Anderson, Timothy Jones, Jennifer Power, Tiffany M Jones, Nathan Despott, Maria Pallotta-Chiarolli and Percy Gurtler, '[Mental Health Practitioners' Knowledge of LGBTQA+ Conversion Practices and Their Perceptions of Impacts on Survivors](#)', *Journal of Homosexuality*, 2025, 72(2): 213–227.
- 591 Joel R Anderson, Nathan Despott and Timothy W. Jones, '[LGBTQA+ Conversion Ideology and Practices](#)', in L. Allen and M.L. Rasmussen (eds), *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Sexuality Education*, Springer Nature Link, Cham, 2023.
- 592 J. Drescher, et al, '[The Growing Regulation of Conversion Therapy](#)', *Journal of Medical Regulation*, 2016, 102(2): 7–12; E. Dromer, O. Ferlatte, T. Goodyear, D.J. Kinitz and T. Salway, '[Overcoming Conversion Therapy: A Qualitative Investigation of Experiences of Survivors](#)', *Qualitative Research in Health*, 2022, 2(1): 100194; D.C. Haldeman, '[The practice and ethics of sexual orientation conversion therapy](#)', *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1994, 62(2): 221–227; I. Trispiotis and C. Purshouse, '["Conversion Therapy" As Degrading Treatment](#)', *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 2021, 42(1): 104–132.
- 593 Alempijevic, et al, '[Statement of the Independent Forensic Expert Group on Conversion Therapy](#)': 66; Alempijevic, et al, '[Statement on conversion therapy](#)': 101930.
- 594 Submission 55. Cited studies: '[Practices of So-Called "Conversion Therapy": Report of the Independent Expert on Protection Against Violence and Discrimination Based on Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity](#)', United Nations Independent Expert on protection against violence and discrimination based on Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity – IESOGI, 1 May 2020, accessed 6 November 2025; '[Report of the American Psychological Association Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation](#)', American Psychological Association, August 2009, accessed 6 November 2025; S. Meanley, S.A. Haberlen, C.N. Okafor, A. Brown, M. Brennan-Ing, D. Ware, J.E. Egan, L.A. Teplin, R.K. Bolan, M.R. Friedman and M.W. Plankey, '[Lifetime Exposure to Conversion Therapy and Psychosocial Health Among Midlife and Older Adult Men Who Have Sex With Men](#)', *The Gerontologist*, 2020, 60(7): 1291–1302; J.L. Turban, N. Beckwith, S.L. Reisner and A.S. Keuroghlian, '[Association Between Recalled Exposure to Gender Identity Conversion Efforts and Psychological Distress and Suicide Attempts Among Transgender Adults](#)', *JAMA Psychiatry*, 2020, 77(1): 68.
- 595 Alempijevic, et al, '[Statement of the Independent Forensic Expert Group on Conversion Therapy](#)': 66; Alempijevic, et al, '[Statement on conversion therapy](#)': 101930.
- 596 SOGICE Survivors, '[SOGICE Survivor Statement](#)', July 2020, accessed 14 November 2025.
- 597 Submissions 21 and 76.
- 598 [Sexuality and Gender Identity Conversion Practices Act 2020](#) (ACT); [Conversion Practices Ban Act 2024](#) (NSW); [Public Health Act 2005](#) (Qld), amendment chapter 5B under the act; [Conversion Practices Prohibition Act 2024](#) (SA); [Change or Suppression \(Conversion\) Practices Prohibition Act 2021](#) (Vic).
- 599 '[Statutory Review Report: Sexuality and Gender Identity Conversion Practices Act 2020](#)' ACT Chief Minister Treasury and Economic Development Directorate, February 2024: 17–19.
- 600 A. Langenberg, '[Draft bill to ban gay conversion practices in Tasmania criticised by advocates for having too many loopholes](#)', *ABC News*, 13 December 2023, accessed 14 November 2024.
- 601 Submission 77.
- 602 Submission 73.
- 603 Submissions 11, 21, 30, 32, 34, 38, 55, 56, 67, 73, 87 and 89.
- 604 Submission 88.
- 605 [Gender incongruence and transgender health in the ICD](#) [website], World Health Organization, n.d., accessed 9 February 2026.
- 606 Australian Psychological Society, '[Use of psychological practices that attempt to change or suppress sexual orientation or gender: Position statement](#)', February 2021.
- 607 Submissions 3, 9, 60, 63, 72 and 82.
- 608 Submissions 1, 14, 60 and 88.
- 609 Submission 1.
- 610 Submission 67.
- 611 headspace, '[Evidence Summary: The association between gender-affirming care and youth mental health and wellbeing outcomes](#)', August 2024: 11, accessed 7 November 2025.
- 612 Florence Ashley, '[Interrogating Gender-Exploratory Therapy](#)', *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 6 September 2022, 18(2): 472–481.
- 613 Submission 67.
- 614 [Universal Declaration of Human Rights](#), 1948; [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights](#) (ICCPR).
- 615 Yogyakarta Principles, [Principle 3: The Right to recognition before the law](#), 2006.
- 616 *NSW Registrar of Births, Deaths and Marriages v Norrie* (2014) 250 CLR 490.
- 617 Riseman, [Transgender Australia](#): 107–108; 233.

- 618 [‘Sex Files: the legal recognition of sex in documents and government records’](#), Australian Human Rights Commission, March 2009.
- 619 Australian Government, [‘Australian Government Guidelines on the Recognition of Sex and Gender’](#), July 2013, updated November 2015.
- 620 Submissions 46 and 73.
- 621 [Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration Act 1996](#) (Vic), section 30A. A parent or guardian may make an application on behalf of a person under age 18 in certain circumstances.
- 622 E. Genovese, [‘The spectacle of respectable equality: Queer discrimination in Australian law post marriage equality’](#), *University of New South Wales Law Journal*, 2023, 46(2): 673–674.
- 623 [Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration Act 1999](#) (Tas), section 28A. A parent or guardian may make an application on behalf of a person under age 16 in certain circumstances. [Gender Registration](#) [website], Tasmanian Government, 6 June 2025, accessed 10 November 2025.
- 624 [Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration Act 1999](#) (Tas), section 3A.
- 625 Submissions 17, 22, 25, 27, 28, 29, 32, 33, 34, 37, 38, 41, 43, 45, 46, 48, 49, 50, 64, 76, 77, 81, 83, 84, 87, 91 and 92.
- 626 Submission 25.
- 627 Submission 41.
- 628 Submission 43.
- 629 Submission 37.
- 630 Submission 22.
- 631 Submission 27.
- 632 Submission 34.
- 633 Submission 22.
- 634 Submission 50.
- 635 [‘Sex Discrimination Amendment \(Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity and Intersex Status\) Bill 2013: Explanatory Memorandum’](#), at [84]–[85].
- 636 Submissions 1, 2 and 6.
- 637 Submissions 13, 16, 17, 18, 25, 28, 29, 34, 48, 49, 50, 52, 71, 74, 73, 81, 84 and 87.
- 638 Submissions 1, 2, 6 and 14.
- 639 Submission 1.
- 640 Stuart J. Turnbull-Dugarte and Fraser McMillan, [“‘Protect the women!’ Trans-exclusionary feminist issue framing and support for transgender rights’](#), *Policy Studies Journal*, 3 November 2022.
- 641 Jones and Slater, [‘The toilet debate’](#): 834–851; Turnbull-Dugarte and McMillan, [“‘Protect the women!’”](#).
- 642 Women’s Forum Australia, [‘Submission to the Legal Affairs and Safety Committee on the Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration Bill 2022 \(Qld\)’](#), 2022.
- 643 Submission 2.
- 644 Submission 6.
- 645 [Majority of Australians support anti-discrimination protection for transgender people](#) [website], Ipsos, 3 June 2023, accessed 10 November 2025.
- 646 Jody L. Herman, Andrew R. Flores and Elana Redfield, [‘Safety and Privacy in Public Restrooms and Other Gendered Facilities’](#), Williams Institute, UCLA School of Law, February 2025; Amira Hasenbush, Andrew R. Flores and Jody L. Herman, [‘Gender Identity Nondiscrimination Laws in Public Accommodations: A Review of Evidence Regarding Safety and Privacy in Public Restrooms, Locker Rooms, and Changing Rooms’](#), *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*, 2019, 16: 70–83.
- 647 [‘Ia Tangata: Protections in the Human Rights Act 1993 for people who are transgender, people who are non-binary and people with innate variations of sex characteristics’](#), Te Aka Matua o te Ture | Law Commission, Pūrongo|Report 150, August 2025: 325.
- 648 Jacinta Francis, et al, [‘Gender-Neutral Toilets: A Qualitative Exploration of Inclusive School Environments for Sexuality and Gender Diverse Youth in Western Australia’](#), *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15 August 2022, 19: 10089; Francisco Perales, Mai Giang and Nicki Elkin, [‘Access to inclusive public-toilet options and the wellbeing of trans and gender diverse employees: Novel evidence from a large Australian workplace survey’](#), *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 27 Feb 2025: 1–12.
- 649 [Tickle v Giggle for Girls Pty Ltd \(No 2\)](#) [2024] FCA 960.
- 650 [Temporary Exemptions under the Sex Discrimination Act 1984 \(Cth\)](#) [website], Australian Human Rights Commission, 14 December 2012, accessed 10 February 2026.
- 651 Submissions 11, 16, 17, 41 and 42.

- 652 N.L. Clarkson, [‘Incoherent Assemblages: Transgender Conflicts in US Security’](#), *Surveillance & Society*, 2019, 17(5): 618–630; F. Hamidi, M.K. Scheuerman and S.M. Branham, [‘Gender Recognition or Gender Reductionism?’](#), *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems – CHI*, 2018, 8(1): 1–13; O. Keyes, [‘The misgendering machines: Trans/HCI implications of automatic gender recognition’](#), *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 2018, 2(88): 1–22; C.L. Quinan and M. Hunt, [‘Biometric bordering and automatic gender recognition: Challenging binary gender norms in everyday biometric technologies’](#), *Communication, Culture & Critique*, 2022, 15(2): 211–226; M.K. Scheuerman, J.M. Paul and J.R. Brubaker, [‘How computers see gender: An evaluation of gender classification in commercial facial analysis and image labeling services’](#), *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 2019, 3(CSCW): 1–33.
- 653 C.L. Quinan and N. Bresser, [‘Gender at the Border: Global Responses to Gender-Diverse Subjectivities and Nonbinary Registration Practices’](#), *Global Perspectives*, 2020, 1(1): 12553; Quinan and Hunt, [‘Biometric bordering and automatic gender recognition’](#): 211–226; C.L. Quinan and D. Oosthoek, [‘Trans and non-binary identities and a politics beyond recognition: On the possibility of the X’](#), in A. Johnson, B. Rogers and T. Taylor (eds), *Advances in trans studies*, Emerald Publishing, Bingley, UK, 2021: 93–107.
- 654 [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights](#) (ICCPR).
- 655 [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights](#) (ICCPR).
- 656 Yogyakarta Principles, [Principle 21: The Right to Freedom of Thought, Conscience and Religion](#), 2006.
- 657 Yogyakarta Principles, [Principle 21: The Right to Freedom of Thought, Conscience and Religion](#).
- 658 M. Pallotta-Chiarolli, B. Sudarto and J. Tang, [‘Navigating intersectionality: Multicultural and multifaith LGBTIQ+ Victorians talk about discrimination and affirmation’](#), AGMC/MASC/DPC, Melbourne, 2021.
- 659 Cochrane, Dixon and Dixon, [“‘Inhabiting Two Worlds At Once’”](#).
- 660 Josephine McDonnell Inkpin, [‘Climbing out from being thrown under the bus: queer faith futures in a transphobic political world’](#), *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 2024, 59(1): 119.
- 661 Submission 79.
- 662 T.W. Jones, N. Despott, T.M. Jones, J. Anderson, M. Pallotta-Chiarolli and J. Power, [‘A guide to improving safety in pastoral care with LGBTQA+ people’](#), La Trobe University, Melbourne, 2023: 2.
- 663 R. Lekwauwa, M.C. Funaro and B. Doolittle, [‘Systematic review: The relationship between religion, spirituality, and mental health in adolescents who identify as transgender’](#), *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Mental Health*, 2023, 27(4): 421.
- 664 [Freedom of religion or belief not incompatible with equality for LGBT persons – UN expert](#) [media release], Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), 21 June 2023, accessed 14 November 2025.
- 665 Trish Hindmarsh, [Rainbow of God’s Creation: LGBTIQ+ Realities and Catholicism](#), Garratt Publishing, Melbourne, 2025.
- 666 Submission 79.
- 667 Buddhist Council of NSW, ‘submission (18) to [‘Inquiry into Religious Discrimination Bill 2021 \[Provisions\]: Religious Discrimination \(Consequential Amendments\) Bill 2021 \[Provisions\] and Human Rights Legislation Amendment Bill 2021 \[Provisions\]’](#)’, Senate Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs, accessed 18 February 2026.
- 668 Quakers Australia, submission (86) to [‘Inquiry into Religious Discrimination Bill 2021 \[Provisions\]: Religious Discrimination \(Consequential Amendments\) Bill 2021 \[Provisions\] and Human Rights Legislation Amendment Bill 2021 \[Provisions\]’](#)’, Senate Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs, accessed 18 February 2026.
- 669 Anglican Church of Australia Public Affairs Commission, submission (62) to [‘Inquiry into Religious Discrimination Bill 2021 \[Provisions\]: Religious Discrimination \(Consequential Amendments\) Bill 2021 \[Provisions\] and Human Rights Legislation Amendment Bill 2021 \[Provisions\]’](#)’, Senate Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs, accessed 18 February 2026.
- 670 A.A.M. Zaharin and M. Pallotta-Chiarolli, [‘Countering Islamic conservatism on being transgender: Clarifying Tantawi’s and Khomeini’s fatwas from the progressive Muslim standpoint’](#), *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 2020, 21(3): 235.
- 671 Zaharin and Pallotta-Chiarolli, [‘Countering Islamic conservatism on being transgender’](#): 235–241; M. Alipour, [‘Islamic shari’a law, neotraditionalist Muslim scholars and transgender sex-reassignment surgery: A case study of Ayatollah Khomeini’s and Sheikh al-Tantawi’s fatwas’](#), *International Journal of Transgenderism*, 2017, 18(1): 91–103; Maria Pallotta-Chiarolli, [“‘Safe Spaces, Inclusive Services’”: Support service access and engagement by LGBTIQ+ Muslims’](#), Muslim Collective, Melbourne, June 2018.
- 672 Hill, Bourne, McNair, Carman and Lyons, [Private Lives 3](#): 26.
- 673 Submissions 42, 34 and 79.
- 674 Submission 79. See also Jones, et al, [‘A guide to improving safety in pastoral care with LGBTQA+ people’](#).
- 675 Submissions 38, 54, 75, 77 and 87.
- 676 A. Dwyer, R. Grant, R. Mason and A. Barnes, [“‘Just listen properly, like with intent’”: LGBTIQ+ Tasmanians: Telling us the story - Final Report’](#), December 2021: 64.
- 677 Submissions 10 and 74.
- 678 J. Baker and P. Duffin, [“‘Soldiers of God’”: The religious rights movement born in a Strathfield office’](#), *Sydney Morning Herald*, 24 March 2023, accessed 14 November 2025; C. Wilson, [‘Christian Lives Matter: Inside the Facebook group endorsing anti-LGBTQIA+ vandalism and protests’](#), *Crikey*, 6 March 2023, accessed 14 November 2025.
- 679 Submission 24.
- 680 S. Thomas, [‘Homophobic Bigots Fail To Stop Sydney Church’s Pride Celebrations’](#), *Star Observer*, 3 March 2023, accessed 14 November 2025.

681 M. Rachwani and C. Knaus, '[Videos urged counter-protesters to attack LGBTQ+ activists outside Sydney church](#)', *The Guardian*, 22 March 2023, accessed 14 November 2025.

682 Submission 79.

683 D. Prestipino, '[WA "gay conversion therapy" roadshow rolls on, next stop: Geraldton](#)', *WAtoday*, 11 June 2021, accessed 14 November 2025.

684 Submission 87.

685 [Sex Discrimination Act 1984 \(Cth\)](#), section 37(1)(d).

686 [Sex Discrimination Act 1984 \(Cth\)](#), section 37(2).

687 '[Supplementary Explanatory Memorandum, Sex Discrimination Amendment \(Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity and Intersex Status\) Bill 2013 \(Cth\)](#)'.

688 Submissions 37, 38, 44, 47, 48, 50, 61, 66, 77, 81 and 87.

689 Submission 87.

690 Submission 81.

691 Submissions 50, 61, 81 and 87.

692 Submissions 38, 48, 66 and 87.

693 Submissions 37, 79, 81 and 87.

694 Submission 48.

695 Submission 66.

696 Ghassan Kassisieh and Oliver Ray, '[Dismissed, Denied and Demeaned: A National Report on LGBTQ+ Discrimination in Faith-Based Schools and Organisations](#)', Equality Australia, March 2024: xviii.

697 Submission 79.

698 [International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights](#) (ICESCR).

699 [International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights](#) (ICCPR).

700 [Convention on the Rights of the Child](#) (CRC).

701 Yogyakarta Principles, [Principle 16: The Right to Education](#), 2006.

702 Education Council, '[Alice Springs \(Mparntwe\) Education Declaration](#)', December 2019: 5.

703 S. Bailey, et al, '[Affirming schools, population-level data, and holistic public health are key to addressing mental ill-health and substance use disparities among gender and sexuality diverse young people in Australia and Aotearoa New Zealand](#)', *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 2024, 48(5): 2.

704 [Guide to LGBTQIA+ students](#) [website], Te Kete Ipurangi: Inclusive Education, n.d., accessed 14 November 2025.

705 Submission 77.

706 Jacqueline Ullman, Kate Manlik and Tania Ferfolja, '[Supporting the inclusion of gender and sexuality diversity in schools: Auditing Australian education departmental policies](#)', *The Australian Educational Researcher*, 2024, 51: 2049–2068.

707 Bailey, et al, '[Affirming schools, population-level data, and holistic public health are key to addressing mental ill-health and substance use disparities among gender and sexuality diverse young people in Australia and Aotearoa New Zealand](#)': 2.

708 Submission 75.

709 Submissions 10, 11, 27, 55, 61 and 77.

710 Submission 86.

711 Submissions 11, 77, 86 and 90.

712 Benjamin Law, [Moral Panic 101: Equality, Acceptance and the Safe Schools Scandal](#), Quarterly Essay 67, Schwartz Publishing, Melbourne, 2017.

713 Submissions 60 and 82.

714 Submission 60.

715 Submission 60.

716 Submission 1.

717 Sengul and Shannon, '[Mainstreaming LGBTQIA+ hate](#)': 13.

718 Submission 77.

719 Corredor, '[Unpacking "Gender Ideology" and the Global Right's Antigender Countermovement](#)': 613–638.

720 Jacqueline Ullman, Tania Ferfolja and Lucy Hobby, '[Parents' perspectives on the inclusion of gender and sexuality diversity in K-12 schooling: results from an Australian national study](#)', *Sex Education: Sexuality, Society and Learning*, 2022, 22(4): 441.

721 Submissions 11, 55, 66 and 84.

722 Submission 84.

723 Submissions 5, 16, 19, 25, 26, 30, 49, 50, 61, 68, 76, 84 and 92.

- 724 Submission 50.
- 725 Submission 11.
- 726 Steven Roberts and Stephanie Wescott, [‘To quell the problem, we must name the problem: the role of social media “manfluencers” in boys’ sexist behaviours in school settings’](#), *Educational and Developmental Psychologist*, 2024, 41(2): 125–128.
- 727 Submission 19.
- 728 [‘Encouraging a sense of belonging and connectedness in secondary schools’](#), Australian Education Research Organisation, April 2023; Robert Balfanz, Angela Jerabek, Krystal Payne and Jenny Scala, [‘Strengthening School Connectedness to Increase Student Success’](#), EdResearch for Action, May 2024.
- 729 Submission 25.
- 730 Submission 68.
- 731 J.G. Kosciw, E.A. Greytak, A.D. Zongrone, C.M. Clark and N.L. Truong, [‘The 2017 National School Climate Survey: The experiences of lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer youth in our nation’s schools’](#), GLSEN, New York, 2018; T. Jones, E. Smith, R. Ward, J. Dixon, L. Hillier and A. Mitchell, [‘School experiences of transgender and gender diverse students in Australia’](#), *Sex Education: Sexuality, Society and Learning*, 2016, 16(2): 156–171.
- 732 Submissions 25, 26, 27, 61, 68 and 70.
- 733 Submission 25.
- 734 W. Heywood, P. Myers, A. Powell, G. Meikle and D. Nguyen, [‘National Student Safety Survey: Report on the prevalence of sexual harassment and sexual assault among university students in 2021’](#), The Social Research Centre, Melbourne, 2022: 1.
- 735 Jacqueline Ullman, Kate Manlik and Tania Ferfolja, [‘Supporting the inclusion of gender and sexuality diversity in schools: Auditing Australian education departmental policies’](#), *The Australian Educational Researcher*, 2024, 51: 2050.
- 736 Submissions 19, 27, 30, 75 and 84.
- 737 Submission 19.
- 738 Submission 25.
- 739 Submission 5.
- 740 Submission 19.
- 741 D. Ezzy, B. Fielder, A. Dwyer and L. Richardson-Self, [‘LGBT+ equality, religious freedom, and government-funded faith-based religiously affiliated educational workplaces’](#), *Australian Journal of Social Issues*, 2022, 57: 185.
- 742 [Sex Discrimination Act 1984 \(Cth\)](#), section 38.
- 743 Submission 50. Cited report: Kassisieh and Ray, [‘Dismissed, Denied and Demeaned’](#).
- 744 Submission 37.
- 745 [‘Final Report: Maximising the Realisation of Human Rights: Religious Educational Institutions and Anti-Discrimination Laws’](#), Australian Law Reform Commission, 15 December 2023.
- 746 Maani Truu, [‘Faith and LGBTQ+ groups dismayed by abandoned push for religious discrimination protections’](#), *ABC News*, 14 August 2024, accessed 14 November 2025.
- 747 Submissions 24, 37, 61 and 87.
- 748 Submission 24.
- 749 C. Sargeant, [‘Alex Greenwich speaks out about “heartbreaking” decision to strip back LGBTIQ+ Equality Bill’](#), *Star Observer*, 15 October 2024, accessed 17 November 2025.
- 750 [‘Cross-jurisdictional summary of exceptions for religious educational institutions’](#), Australian Law Reform Commission, January 2023.
- 751 Jack Hislop, [‘NT government’s anti-discrimination law changes to “restore” free speech pass parliament’](#), *ABC News*, 17 October 2025, accessed 14 November 2025.
- 752 D. Ezzy, B. Fielder, A. Dwyer and L. Richardson-Self, [‘LGBT+ equality, religious freedom, and government-funded faith-based religiously affiliated educational workplaces’](#), *Australian Journal of Social Issues*, 2022, 57: 197.
- 753 C. Kelly, [‘Teacher takes legal action against Melbourne Catholic school group over refusal of pronouns’](#), *The Guardian*, 24 September 2025, accessed 14 November 2025.
- 754 Parliament of Tasmania, Government Administration Committee, [‘Inquiry into Discrimination and Bullying in Tasmanian Schools’](#), 14 February 2025: 40.
- 755 Submission 77.
- 756 [International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights](#) (ICESCR).
- 757 Yogyakarta Principles, [Principle 12: The right to Work](#), 2006.
- 758 [‘Practice Points – 2025 AWEI Employee Survey. Edition 3: Trans & Gender Diverse Inclusion’](#), Pride in Diversity, 2025.
- 759 Hill, Bourne, McNair, Carman and Lyons, [Private Lives 3](#): 26.
- 760 I. Bretherton, E. Thrower, S. Zwickl, A. Wong, D. Chetcuti, M. Grossmann, J.D. Zajac and A.S. Cheung, [‘The Health and Well-Being of Transgender Australians: A National Community Survey’](#), *LGBT Health*, 2021, 8(1): 42.

- 761 S. Zwickl, J. Grace, C. Manifold, E. Ward-Smith, J. Murray, F. Skewis, S. Bailey, T. Ruggles and A.S. Cheung, [‘Trans and gender diverse people’s employment experiences: Workforce participation and workplace treatment’](#), 2025, Trans Health Research Group, Department of Medicine, University of Melbourne: 5.
- 762 Submissions 10, 11, 27, 33, 35 and 41.
- 763 L. Nicholas, S. Chandra, B. Hanckel, J. Ullman and T. Ferfolja, [‘Gender Equity in the Workplace: Intersectional and gender & sexuality diverse approaches’](#), Western Sydney University, 2022: 8.
- 764 Submission 27.
- 765 Submission 41.
- 766 Bretherton, et al, [‘The Health and Well-Being of Transgender Australians: A National Community Survey’](#): 42–49.
- 767 [‘Discrimination at work on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity: Results of the ILO’s PRIDE Project’](#), International Labour Organization, 15 May 2015, accessed 14 November 2025.
- 768 Zwickl, et al, [‘Trans and gender diverse people’s employment experiences’](#): 5.
- 769 K.H. Robinson, et al, [‘#SpeakingOut@Work: Sexual harassment of LGBTQ young people in the workplace and workplace training’](#) (Research report, 10/2024), ANROWS, Sydney, 2024: 68.
- 770 [‘Time for respect: Fifth national survey on sexual harassment in Australian workplaces’](#), Australian Human Rights Commission, November 2022: 34, 48.
- 771 Zwickl, et al, [‘Trans and gender diverse people’s employment experiences’](#): 5.
- 772 Bretherton, et al, , [‘The Health and Well-Being of Transgender Australians’](#): 42–49.
- 773 Submission 19. Cited study: J. Ullman, [‘Present, yet not welcomed: Gender diverse teachers’ experiences of discrimination’](#), *Teaching Education*, 2020, 31(1): 67–83.
- 774 [‘Practice Points – 2025 AWEI Employee Survey. Edition 3: Trans & Gender Diverse Inclusion’](#), Pride in Diversity, 2025.
- 775 Submission 27.
- 776 R.C. Ladwig, [‘Proposing the safe and brave space for organisational environment: Including trans* and gender diverse employees in institutional gender diversification’](#), *Gender in Management*, 2022, 37(6): 751-762; Nicholas, Chandra, Hanckel, Ullman and Ferfolja, [‘Gender Equity in the Workplace’](#).
- 777 Australian Human Rights Commission, [‘Factsheet Series: Positive Duty under the Sex Discrimination Act 1984 \(Cth\)’](#), September 2023.
- 778 [Positive Duty](#) [website], Victorian Equal Opportunity & Human Rights Commission, n.d., accessed 17 November 2025; NT WorkSafe, [‘Employer’s Guide to Meeting Positive Duty Requirements’](#), 4 November 2024.
- 779 Submission 27.
- 780 Submission 4.
- 781 Nicholas, Chandra, Hanckel, Ullman and Ferfolja, [‘Gender Equity in the Workplace’](#).
- 782 K.H. Robinson, E. Wolfinger, L. Nicholas and C. Sullivan, [‘Vice-Chancellor’s GENDER EQUALITY FUND Final Report 2021: Addressing Intersectionality in Gender “Equity” at WSU: Experiences, Policies and Everyday Practices’](#), Western Sydney University, 2022.
- 783 Submission 33.
- 784 Submission 33.
- 785 [What we do](#) [website], Workplace Gender Equality Agency, n.d., accessed 17 November 2025.
- 786 [Gender equality and intersecting forms of diversity](#) [website], Workplace Gender Equality Agency, n.d., accessed 17 November 2025.
- 787 ACON, [‘ACON SUBMISSION TO Women’s Gender Equality Agency Review Team, Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet. Review of the Workplace Gender Equality Act 2012.’](#) November 2021, accessed 17 November 2025.
- 788 E.A. Paine, [“Too Much Trouble”: Transgender and Nonbinary People’s Experiences of Stigmatization and Stigma Avoidance in the Workplace’](#), *Work and Occupations*, 2025, 52(2): 232–233.
- 789 [Gender affirmation in Victorian public sector workplaces: A guide for managers supporting employees affirming their gender](#) [website], Victorian Public Sector Commission, 24 September 2024, accessed 17 November 2025.
- 790 [Universal Declaration of Human Rights](#), 1948.
- 791 [International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights](#) (ICESCR).
- 792 [Convention on the Rights of the Child](#) (CRC).
- 793 [Yogyakarta Principles plus 10](#), 2017: 25.
- 794 K. Schweizer, et al, [‘Physical activity behaviors in trans and gender diverse adults: a scoping review’](#), *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 2025, 26(1): 10–24.
- 795 Submission 41.
- 796 S. Zwickl, et al, [‘Factors associated with suicide attempts among Australian transgender adults’](#), *BMC Psychiatry*, 2021, 21(1): 81–91; B.A. Jones, E. Haycraft, W.P. Bouman and J. Arcelus, [‘The Levels and Predictors of Physical Activity Engagement Within the Treatment-Seeking Transgender Population: A Matched Control Study’](#), *Journal of Physical Activity & Health*, 2018, 15(2): 99–107; Schweizer, et al, [‘Physical activity behaviors in trans and gender diverse adults’](#): 10–24.
- 164 Equal identities: A human rights review of the experiences of trans and gender diverse people in Australia

- 797 J. Oliveira, R. Frontini, M. Jacinto and R. Antunes, [‘Barriers and motives for physical activity and sports practice among trans people: a systematic review’](#), *Sustainability*, 2022, 14(9): 5295.
- 798 Noah Riseman, [‘A history of transgender women in Australian Sports, 1976–2017’](#), *Sport in History*, 2022, 42(2): 280–307.
- 799 [Sex Discrimination Act 1984 \(Cth\)](#), section 42(1).
- 800 Submission 24.
- 801 [Discrimination Act 1991 \(ACT\)](#), section 41; [Equal Opportunity Act 2010 \(Vic\)](#), section 72; [Equal Opportunity Act 1984 \(WA\)](#), section 35; [Anti-Discrimination Act 1991 \(Qld\)](#), section 111; [Equal Opportunity Act 1984 \(SA\)](#), section 48; [Anti-Discrimination Act 1992 \(NT\)](#), section 56.
- 802 [Anti-Discrimination Act 1998 \(Tas\)](#), section 29.
- 803 Australian Human Rights Commission, [‘Guidelines for the Inclusion of Transgender and Gender Diverse People in Sport’](#), June 2019.
- 804 [‘Cricket Australia launches inclusion policy for transgender and gender diverse players’](#), *The Guardian*, 8 August 2019, accessed 2 December 2025.
- 805 Monique Schaffer and James Carmody, [‘Sporting bodies unveil policies for greater inclusion of trans and gender diverse people’](#), *ABC News*, 1 October 2020, accessed 17 November 2025.
- 806 B.A. Jones, E. Haycraft, W.P. Bouman and J. Arcelus, [‘The Levels and Predictors of Physical Activity Engagement Within the Treatment-Seeking Transgender Population: A Matched Control Study’](#), *Journal of Physical Activity & Health*, 2018, 15(2): 99–107; Ryan Storr, Carleigh Yeomans, Kath Albury, Nicola Ridgers and Emma Sherry, [‘Free to exist: Documenting participation data on LGBTIQA+ young people in sport and physical activity’](#), VicHealth, 2024; S. Bailey, B. Trevitt, S. Zwickl, B. Newell, E. Staples, R. Storr and A. Cheung, [‘Participation, barriers, facilitators and bullying experiences of trans people in sport and fitness: findings from a national community survey of trans people in Australia’](#), *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 2024, 58(23): 1434–1440.
- 807 S. Bekker, R. Storr and A. Posbergh, [‘Inclusion, fairness and non-discrimination in sport: a wider lens’](#), *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 2022, 56(19): 1064–1065.
- 808 J. Oliveira, R. Frontini, M. Jacinto and R. Antunes, [‘Barriers and motives for physical activity and sports practice among trans people: a systematic review’](#), *Sustainability*, 2022, 14(9): 5295; Q. Zhang, et al, [‘Epidemiological considerations in transgender health: A systematic review with focus on higher quality data’](#), *International Journal of Transgender Health*, 2020, 21(2): 125–137.
- 809 R. Storr, L. Nicholas, K. Robinson and C. Davies, [‘“Game to play?”: barriers and facilitators to sexuality and gender diverse young people’s participation in sport and physical activity’](#), *Sport, Education and Society*, 2022, 27(5): 604–617; L.K. Stewart, [Participation of trans women in sport](#), PhD thesis, La Trobe University, December 2019.
- 810 R. Storr, R. Jeanes, T. Rossi and L. Hunter, [‘Are we there yet? \(Illusions of\) Inclusion in sport for LGBT+ communities in Australia’](#), *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*, 2022, 57(1): 92–111.
- 811 Submission 25.
- 812 Submission 90.
- 813 Caroline Symons, Melissa Sbaraglia, Lynne Hillier and Anne Mitchel, [‘Come Out to Play: The Sports experiences of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender \(LGBT\) people in Victoria’](#), Institute of Sport, Exercise and Active Living (ISEAL) and the School of Sport and Exercise at Victoria University, Melbourne, May 2010: 10.
- 814 Ryan Storr, Carleigh Yeomans, Kath Albury, Nicola Ridgers and Emma Sherry, [‘Free to exist: Documenting participation data on LGBTIQA+ young people in sport and physical activity’](#), VicHealth, 2024.
- 815 Storr, Yeomans, Albury, Ridgers and Sherry, [‘Free to exist’](#).
- 816 Noah Riseman, [‘Transgender Inclusion and Australia’s Failed Sexuality Discrimination Bill’](#), *Australian Journal of Politics and History*, 2019, 62(2): 259–277.
- 817 [‘The Future of Women’s Sports Includes Transgender Women and Girls’](#) [statement], *Athlete Ally*, 15 November 2021, accessed 17 November 2025.
- 818 Burke and Klugman, [‘Trans* Athletes in Sport’](#): 270–279.
- 819 [‘Transgender Women Athletes and Elite Sport: A Scientific Review’](#), The Canadian Centre for Ethics in Sport (CCES), 2022, accessed 17 November 2025.
- 820 Sofia Mendes Sieczkowska, et al, [‘Body composition and physical fitness in transgender versus cisgender individuals: a systematic review with meta-analysis’](#), *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 2026. 60: 198–210.
- 821 [‘International Olympic Committee appears to move closer to banning transgender women from female sport’](#), *ABC News*, 11 November 2025, accessed 17 November 2025.
- 822 Richard Pringle and Erik Denison, [‘Examining World Rugby’s Transgender Ban and the Perspectives of Cisgender Women Who Play Rugby in England, Canada and Australia’](#), in Ali Durham Greey and Helen Jefferson Lenskyj (eds), *Justice for Trans Athletes: Challenges and Struggles*, Emerald Publishing, Bingley, UK, 2023: 85.
- 823 Submission 48.
- 824 Submission 12.
- 825 Women’s Forum Australia, [‘Submission to the Legal Affairs and Safety Committee on the Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration Bill 2022 \(Qld\)’](#), 2022.
- 826 Submission 40. See also submissions 41, 48 and 90.
- 827 Submission 76.

- 828 [Defining Elite Athletes](#) [website], Australian Institute for Sport, n.d., accessed 17 November 2025.
- 829 [Foundations - Talent - Elite - Mastery \(FTEM\) Pathways](#) [website], Australian Institute for Sport, n.d., accessed 17 November 2025.
- 830 Ada S. Cheung, Sav Zwickl, Kirsti Miller, Brendan J. Nolan, Alex Fang Qi Wong, Patrice Jones and Nir Eynon, '[The Impact of Gender-Affirming Hormone Therapy on Physical Performance](#)', *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 2024, 109(2): e455–e465.
- 831 M. Harry and E.I. Graves, '[Queering gender equity policies for trans college athletes](#)', *Sociology of Sport Journal*, 2024, 41(4): 342–352.
- 832 R. Storr, A. Posbergh and S. Bekker, '[Policy on the run: The development of trans and gender diverse inclusion policies in community sport in Australia](#)', in A.D. Greey and H. Lenskyj (eds), *Trans athletes' resistance: The struggle for justice in sport*, Emerald Publishing, Bingley, UK, 2023: 43–55.
- 833 [The Yogyakarta Principles](#) [website], n.d., accessed 19 January 2026.
- 834 Zhan Chiam, Sandra Duffy, Matilda González Gil, Lara Goodwin and Nigel Timothy Mpemba Patel, '[Trans Legal Mapping Report: Recognition before the law](#)', 3rd ed., ILGA World, Geneva, 2020.
- 835 [Labour and Employment Relations Act 2013](#) (Samoa), section 20.
- 836 '[UPR of Samoa: Summary Statement of Important Issues prepared by the Samoa Fa'afafine Association](#)', ILGA, March 2024, accessed 9 December 2025.
- 837 [Constitution of the Republic of Fiji](#), section 26.
- 838 [Self-identification process launched](#) [website], Department of Internal Affairs | Te Tari Taiwhenua, Aotearoa New Zealand, n.d., accessed 19 November 2025.
- 839 Lillian Hanly, '[NZ government's puberty blockers move attracts strong reactions](#)', *ABC News*, 20 November 2025, accessed 20 November 2025.
- 840 [Indian Supreme Court recognises third gender](#) [website], Human Rights Law Centre, n.d., accessed 19 November 2025.
- 841 [Pakistan: Revocation of rights of transgender and gender-diverse people must be stopped](#) [website], Amnesty International, 19 May 2023, accessed 19 November 2025.
- 842 [Decree regarding workplace quota for transvestite, transgender and transexual individuals in the public service](#) [media release], Embassy in Australia, Argentine Republic, 8 September 2020, accessed 19 November 2025.
- 843 [English translation of Argentina's Gender Identity Law as approved by the Senate of Argentina on May 8, 2012](#) [website], Institute on Inequalities in Global Health, University of Southern California, March 2017, accessed 19 November 2025.
- 844 Sarah Morland, '[Argentina to ban hormone therapy for trans children](#)', *Reuters*, 6 February 2025, accessed 3 December 2025.
- 845 Greace Vanegas, '[El Congreso de Colombia da un paso sin antecedentes: aprueba en primer debate la ley integral trans](#)', *El país*, 20 June 2025, accessed 3 December 2025.
- 846 Marie Claire Van Hout, '[Navigating the complexities of \(trans\) gender equality rights within the parameters of reasonable accommodation and security tensions in South African prisons: The judgement of September v Subramoney](#)', *Forensic Science International: Mind and Law*, 2022, 3: 100077.
- 847 '[Transgender Rights in South Africa](#)', South African Litigation Centre, August 2017, accessed 5 December 2025.
- 848 '[Sweden passes law lowering age to legally change gender from 18 to 16](#)', *The Guardian*, 18 April 2024, accessed 19 November 2025.
- 849 Sam Jones, '[Spain passes law allowing anyone over 16 to change registered gender](#)', *The Guardian*, 14 February 2023, accessed 4 December 2025.
- 850 [P v S and Cornwall County Council](#), Case C-13/94 [1996].
- 851 [Equality Act 2010](#) (UK), section 7.
- 852 [Gender Recognition Act 2004](#) (UK).
- 853 [For Women Scotland Ltd v The Scottish Ministers](#) [2025] UKSC 16.
- 854 Haroon Siddique, '[Trans people at risk of exclusion from many UK public spaces, rights expert says](#)', *The Guardian*, 15 October 2025, accessed 19 November 2025.
- 855 [2025 anti-trans bill tracker](#) [website], Trans Legislation Tracker, n.d., accessed 18 February 2026.
- 856 Donald J. Trump, '[Restoring Truth and Sanity to American History](#)', 27 March 2025, The White House.





GPO Box 5218 SYDNEY NSW 2001

Telephone: (02) 9284 9600

National Information Service: 1300 656 419

General enquiries: 1300 369 711

TTY: 1800 620 241

Fax: (02) 9284 9611

Website: www.humanrights.gov.au